Statement of Environmental Particulars for the North Norfolk Shoreline Management Plan 2
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Section 1 – Introduction

This Statement of Environmental Particulars indicates how environmental considerations and the views of interested parties (consultees) were taken into account during the preparation of the second Shoreline Management Plan (SMP) for north Norfolk. It explains how the Environment Agency and its partners (local authorities, Natural England, English Heritage and other organisations) selected the preferred options in the plan. This statement goes on to set out the monitoring procedures that have been put in place to monitor the significant environmental effects of implementing the plan.

The interpretation of the WFD assessment into the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) was queried though the consultation stage. This statement includes a reassessment to ensure that the SEA process adequately reflects the findings of the WFD assessment.

This statement also includes a detailed account of how the historic environment has been considered within the SEA in response to dialogue with Norfolk Landscape Archaeology and English Heritage (see appendix 1).

In addition to this, the statement also provides an updated series of assessment tables based on the final suite of policies that were agreed post-consultation and the updated assessment of the historic environment. This assessment ensures that the overall environmental assessment of the SMP2 is based on the policies provided in the final plan.

Purpose of this SEA Statement of Environmental Particulars

This Statement of Environmental Particulars is a requirement under the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004. It sets out how the findings of the SEA have been taken into account and how views expressed during the consultation period have been considered as the North Norfolk SMP2 has been finalised.

Section 2 – Background

The North Norfolk Shoreline Management Plan 2

A SMP is a large-scale assessment of the risks associated with coastal processes. It aims to reduce the risks to the social, economic, natural and historic environment through effective and sustainable shoreline management.

The SMP2 for north Norfolk addressed these issues in the context of its location. The north Norfolk coast has a wide variety of environmental designations and accommodates an extensive range of commercial, recreational and tourism-based activities. The North Norfolk SMP2 covers about 75 kilometres of coastline, stretching from Old Hunstanton to Kelling
Hard (figure 1). As well as subtidal and intertidal habitats, the north Norfolk coast contains a range of dune, shingle and estuarine systems. Such habitats and features support a large number of designated bird species. The environmental values of the north Norfolk coast are reflected in the numerous national and international nature conservation and landscape designations covering the area.

For the purposes of policy selection within the SMP2 boundary, the area was initially split geographically into large segments of coast called super-frontages (SFs). There are three SFs in the North Norfolk SMP2 study area (figure 2):

- SF 1 (between Old Hunstanton and Thornham).
- SF 2 (between Thornham and the River Stiffkey).
- SF 3 (between the River Stiffkey and Kelling Hard).

Each SF is then split into a number of policy development zones (PDZs) to provide discrete, spatial areas for policy application. There are 27 PDZs in the North Norfolk SMP2.

For the purpose of this assessment, PDZs within SF2 and SF3 have been grouped together according to the intent of policy – allowing natural coastal processes or hold the line (HtL) in some areas, while providing managed realignment (MR) to realise benefits in others. The assessment is therefore provided for the following units:

- SF 1
- SF 2a (areas within SF2 where the coast is being allowed to evolve naturally or the line is being held)
- SF 2b (areas within SF2 where MR is being pursued)
- SF 3a (areas within SF3 where the coast is being allowed to evolve naturally or the line is being held)
- SF 3b (areas within SF3 where MR is being pursued).

Finally, the assessment is carried out for three time periods (epochs). Epoch 1 covers the period from the present day to 2025, epoch 2 from 2026 to 2055 and epoch 3 from 2056 to 2105.

**Strategic Environmental Assessment**

In order to ensure environmental considerations were integrated throughout the development of the SMP, a non-statutory SEA was undertaken following the requirements of the SEA regulations (the SEA Directive 2001/42/EC is transposed into United Kingdom law by the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004) and the Environment Agency’s internal SEA procedure. This assessment seeks to ensure that any potentially significant effects of the SMP on the environment are considered throughout
its development. This reinforces procedures in the SMP guidance that acknowledge the importance of a range of features assessed by the SEA.

Within the SEA process, and in a manner similar to that used throughout the SMP process, the term ‘environment’ has been used to cover the following receptors (as defined in Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations, SI 1633 2004 (‘The SEA Regulations’)):

- population and communities (including human health, critical infrastructure etc.)
- cultural heritage, including architectural and archaeological heritage
- material assets
- biodiversity, fauna and flora
- soil
- water
- air
- climatic factors
- landscape.

The SEA process for the North Norfolk SMP has included: a Scoping Report (March 2009), an Environmental Report (appendix L of the SMP2) and an addendum to the Environmental Report (produced in December 2009).

The requirement for an addendum stemmed from discussions between Natural England and the Environment Agency (after the production of the Environment Report) which sought to ensure that the assessment of the SMP under the Habitats Regulations¹ accounted for the uncertainties inherent within a long term strategic plan. These discussions meant that the Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) (incorporating the Appropriate Assessment) was finalised after the SEA environmental report was published.

The SEA addendum provided an update of the environmental report following these discussions and completion of the HRA. The addendum therefore provided an up-to-date (at that time) and complete account of the assessment tables where they related to matters influenced by the HRA (assessing the effects on coastal processes, determining effects on the integrity of international sites² and the effects on SSSIs). It is a companion document to, and should be read with, the Environmental Report.

¹ SI 2010 No. 490, The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010. These regulations implement the requirements of the EC Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC) in the UK. They replace the Conservation (Natural Habitats &c.) Regulations 1994 (as amended)
² An international site is defined as being a Special Area of Conservation (SAC) (designated under Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the Conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora), a Special Protection Area (SPA) (designated under Council Directive 79/409/EEC on the conservation of wild birds) or a wetland of international importance designated under the Ramsar Convention (Ramsar). This definition encompasses those European sites below the high tide mark (whether SPA or SAC) which, following the updated nomenclature used in the Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009, are referred to as European Marine Sites. All sites in the process of being designated as SAC or SPA (for example, candidate SACs and potential SPAs) are also considered as international sites in the HRA.
A post-adoption statement will provide details of how to view and obtain copies of these documents.

**Section 3 - Alternatives**

This section sets out the reasons for selecting the preferred policy option for each super-frontage in each epoch in the light of other reasonable alternatives. Policy options available under the SMP are outlined in table 3.1.

**Table 3.1 Options used in SMP development**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SMP option</th>
<th>Description of option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hold the line (HtL)</td>
<td>Hold the existing defence line by maintaining or changing the standard of protection. This policy will cover those situations where work or operations are carried out in front of the existing defences (such as beach recharge, rebuilding the toe of a structure, building offshore breakwaters and so on), to improve or maintain the standard of protection provided by the existing defence line. This policy should include other policies that involve operations to the back of existing defences (such as building secondary flood walls) where they form an essential part of maintaining the current coastal defence system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advance the line (AtL)</td>
<td>Advance the existing defence line by building new defences on the seaward side of the original defences. Using this policy should be limited to those policy units where significant land reclamation is considered.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managed realignment (MR)</td>
<td>Managed realignment by allowing the shoreline to move backwards or forwards, with management to control or limit movement (such as reducing erosion or building new defences on the landward side of the original defences).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No active intervention (NAI)</td>
<td>Where there is no investment in coastal defences or operations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When considered in relation to the PDZs, policy options were ruled out immediately if they were not applicable or if it was obvious that there were no clear drivers but significant constraints. This was the case for advance the line policies. Additionally, at locations in front of an established settlement, only one policy (HtL) was considered realistic. The policies selected, and the alternative options considered (where these were available), are presented in table 3.2. For a detailed consideration of how SMP options were evaluated and developed, see section 1.4 and appendix 1a of the addendum to the SEA Environmental Report (December 2009) and appendix G (policy appraisal) of the SMP.
### Table 3.2 Policy options evaluated in the SEA Environmental Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy option</th>
<th>Assessment summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SF 1 (epoch 1)</strong>&lt;br&gt;(PDZ 1A to PDZ 1D)</td>
<td><strong>Preferred policies for epoch 1 are a combination of MR (PDZ 1B), HtL (PDZs 1A and 1C) and NAI (PDZ 1D)</strong>&lt;br&gt;The alternative policy options considered for this epoch are MR for PDZs 1A and 1C. No alternatives were considered for PDZs 1B or 1D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy option</td>
<td>Assessment summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SF 1 (epoch 2)</strong> (PDZ 1A to PDZ 1D)</td>
<td>These policies maintain all environmental values for this super-frontage.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Preferred policies for epoch 2 are a combination of MR (PDZs 1A and 1B), HtL (PDZ 1C) and NAI (PDZ 1D)**

The alternative policies considered would be HtL in PDZ 1A and MR in PDZ 1C. No alternatives were considered for PDZs 1B or 1D.

HtL in PDZ 1A would work against natural processes by not allowing the dunes to develop naturally. It may also increase the risk of breach as they are held in their current alignment.

MR was the only policy considered for PDZ 1B as discussed for epoch 1.

For PDZ 1C, MR in this epoch could bring forward the adverse effects on the terrestrial habitats that form part of the European sites and the loss of agricultural land. It was recognised that an improved understanding of the implications of realignment is required and, for these and other reasons, MR is not considered appropriate in this epoch.

At PDZ 1D, NAI is the only option for the same reasons as discussed for epoch 1.

| SF 1 (epoch 3) (PDZ 1A to PDZ 1D) | This option maintains all environmental values for this super-frontage with one exception. The conditional managed realignment at PDZ 1C would lead to the loss of some grade 4 agricultural land. |

**Preferred policies for epoch 3 are a combination of MR (PDZs 1A, 1B and 1C) and NAI (PDZ 1D)**

The alternative policies considered would be HtL in PDZs 1A and 1C. No alternatives were considered for PDZs 1B or 1D.

HtL in PDZ 1A was dismissed for the same reasons as for epoch 2.

PDZ 1B was only considered for the policy of MR as discussed for epochs 1 and 2.

For PDZ 1C, the policy is either MR or HtL depending on the results of monitoring. In this assessment MR has been considered the preferred policy as it would have the greater...
Policy option | Assessment summary
--- | ---

Impact. The HtL option would continue the negative impacts of the loss of intertidal habitats which are part of the European sites and the positive impact on navigation in channels would be lost. For PDZ 1D, NAI is the only option for the same reasons as discussed for previous epochs.

**SF 2a (epochs 1 to 3)**
(PDZ 2A, B, C, E, F, Gii, H, J, K, L and M)

**Preferred policies for these epochs are a combination of HtL (PDZs 2B, 2E, 2F, 2Gii, 2H, 2J, 2K and 2L) and NAI (PDZs 2A, 2C and 2M).**

This option maintains all environmental values for this super-frontage with three exceptions. HtL policies may lead to the loss of intertidal habitat through coastal squeeze which would have an adverse effect on the condition of European sites. This will also have a negative impact on the condition of the SSSIs which accompany these sites. Finally, the policies for PDZs 2K and 2M have been identified as affecting WFD objectives.

No alternative policy options were considered for the majority of PDZs. PDZ 2L was considered for MR while developing the SMP.

For frontages that are currently undefended it is unlikely there will be any reasons for management in the future.

For frontages where properties are located directly behind the defences, the objective to reduce the risks to those properties overrides other considerations.

Realignment at PDZ 2L would reduce loss of intertidal habitat through coastal squeeze, work with natural processes and potentially improve navigation to Wells harbour. However, the preferred policy will sustain agricultural land and the surface water drainage function that this area provides to Wells-next-the-Sea.
### SF 2b (epoch 1) (PDZ 2D, Gi, Giii and I)

**Preferred policies for epoch 1 are a combination of HtL (PDZs 2D, 2Gi, 2Giii) and MR (PDZ 2I)**

This option maintains all environmental values for this super-frontage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy option</th>
<th>Assessment summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No alternative policy options were considered for PDZ 2I. The alternative for the other PDZs would be MR.</td>
<td>Allowing the dunes to develop in a natural way in PDZ 2I is the only option. HtL would lead to loss of habitat through sea level rise while NAI would increase the risk of potentially flooding the large area behind the dunes. For the other PDZs, MR in this epoch would bring forward the adverse effects on the terrestrial habitats that form part of the European sites and the loss of agricultural land. For these reasons, and the recognition that an improved understanding of the implications of realignment is required, MR is considered unacceptable in epoch 1.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SF 2b (epoch 2) (PDZ 2D, Gi, Giii and I)

**Preferred policies for epoch 2 are a combination of HtL (PDZs 2Gi, 2Giii) and MR (PDZs 2D and 2I)**

This option maintains all environmental values for this super-frontage with two exceptions. The realignment at PDZ 2D would lead to the loss of terrestrial habitats so having an adverse effect on SPA and Ramsar cited bird species. In addition, there would be loss of agricultural land.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy option</th>
<th>Assessment summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No alternative policy options were considered for PDZ 2I. The alternative for the other PDZs would be MR in PDZ 2Gi and 2Giii and HtL for PDZ 2D.</td>
<td>Allowing the dunes to develop in a natural way in PDZ 2I is the only option as discussed for epoch 1. For PDZs 2Gi and 2Giii, MR in this epoch could bring forward the adverse effects on the terrestrial habitats that form part of the European sites and the loss of agricultural land. It was recognised that an improved understanding of the implications of realignment is required and, for these and other reasons, MR is considered preferable in epoch 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy option</td>
<td>Assessment summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>For PDZ 2D the policy is either MR or HtL depending on the results of monitoring. In this assessment MR has been considered the preferred policy as it would have the greater impact. The option for HtL would continue the negative impacts of the loss of intertidal habitats which are part of the European sites. Also, the positive impacts resulting from an increased tidal prism within the channel would be lost at this location which was a significant reason for realignment in this super-frontage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF 2b (epoch 3) (PDZ 2D, Gi, Giii and I)</td>
<td>This option maintains all environmental values for this super-frontage with two exceptions. Realignments at PDZs 2Gi and 2Giii would lead to the loss of terrestrial habitats so having an adverse effect on SPA and Ramsar cited bird species. In addition there would be loss of agricultural land. No alternative policy options were considered for PDZ 2I. The alternative for the other PDZs would be HtL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferred policies for epoch 3 are all MR (PDZs 2D, 2Gi, 2Giii and 2I), regardless of whether this is the continuation of a realignment started in the previous epoch or is a new realignment.</td>
<td>Allowing the dunes to develop in a natural way in PDZ 2I is the only option as discussed for epochs 1 and 2. For PDZs 2D, 2Gi and 2Giii the policy is for either MR or HtL depending on the results of monitoring. In this assessment MR has been considered the preferred policy as it would have the greater impact. The option for HtL would continue the negative impacts of the loss of intertidal habitats which are part of the European sites. The major positive impact on navigation and tidal prism in the channels would be lost and these were significant reasons for realignment here.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy option</td>
<td>Assessment summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **SF 3a (epochs 1 to 3)**  
**(PDZ 3Ai, Aii, Aiv, B, C and D)** | This option maintains all environmental values for this super-frontage. |
| Preferred policies for these epochs are a combination of HtL (PDZ 3Ai, 3Aii, 3Aiv and 3C), MR (PDZ 3D) and NAI (PDZ 3B) | For the frontage that is currently undefended (PDZ 3B) it is unlikely that there will be any reasons for management in the future. |
| No alternative policy options were considered for the majority of PDZs. PDZ 3Aii was considered for MR when developing the SMP. | For frontages where properties are located directly behind the defences (PDZ 3Aii and 3C) or river outfalls that protect properties located along the river valleys, the objective to protect those properties overrides other considerations. |
| | Realignment at PDZ 3Aii would have had the benefits of producing a net gain in BAP habitat. However, the consultation process showed that the potential driver for increasing navigation was not recognised as being important. |
| **SF 3b (epoch 1)**  
**(PDZ 3Aiii and Av)** | This option maintains all environmental values for this super-frontage. |
<p>| Preferred policies for epoch 1 are all HtL | For PDZs 3Aiii and 3Av, MR in this epoch would bring forward the adverse effects on the terrestrial habitats that form part of the European sites, the loss of agricultural land and loss of a listed building. For these reasons, and the recognition that an improved understanding of the implications of realignment at PDZ 3Av, time for adaptation and creation of compensatory habitat is required. MR is therefore considered to be preferable in the later epochs. |
| The alternatives for both PDZs would be MR. |  |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy option</th>
<th>Assessment summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SF 3b (epoch 2)</strong> (PDZ 3Aiii and Av)</td>
<td>Preferred policies for epoch 2 are a combination of HtL (PDZ 3Av) and MR (PDZ 3Aiii). These policies maintain all environmental values for this super-frontage with three exceptions. The realignment at PDZ 3Aiii would lead to an adverse effect on the cited SPA species through loss of terrestrial habitat. In addition this would lead to the loss of agricultural land, several historic assets and part of two conservation areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The alternative policy options would be HtL in PDZ 3Aiii and MR in PDZ 3Av.</td>
<td>At PDZ 3Aiii the option for HtL would continue the negative impacts of the loss of intertidal habitats which are part of the European sites. Also, the positive effect on navigation in channels would be lost at this location. This was a significant driver for realignment in this super-frontage. In addition, this realignment has been identified as an important source of compensatory intertidal habitat where this may be lost through sea level rise at HtL frontages. For PDZ 3Av, MR in this epoch would bring forward the adverse effects discussed for epoch 1. MR is therefore considered preferable in epoch 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SF 3b (epoch 3)</strong> (PDZ 3Aiii and Av)</td>
<td>Preferred policies for epoch 3 are a combination of MR (PDZ 3Av) and HtL following realignment in epoch 2 (PDZ 3Aiii). These policies maintain all environmental values for this super-frontage with three exceptions. The realignment at PDZ 3Av would lead to an adverse effect on the cited SPA species through loss of terrestrial habitat. In addition, this would lead to the loss of agricultural land. At PDZ 3Aiii, as with the assessment provided for epoch 1, all environmental values will be maintained.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The alternative policy options would be HtL in PDZ 3Av. In PDZ 3Aiii no alternatives were considered. Following MR at PDZ 3Aiii in epoch 2 the only viable option is HtL in the following epoch. There would be no space for additional realignments as the new defences would reduce the risk of flooding to properties. Where new defences are not built the policy will effectively be NAI as higher ground will limit the extent of the realignment.

For PDZ 3Av the policy is for either MR or HtL depending on the results of monitoring. In this assessment MR has been considered to be the preferred policy as it is felt to have the greater impact. The option for HtL would continue the negative effects of the loss of intertidal habitats (part of the European sites) and the major positive effect on navigation in channels would be lost. This was a significant driver for realignment in this super-frontage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy option</th>
<th>Assessment summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The alternative policy options would be HtL in PDZ 3Av. In PDZ 3Aiii no alternatives were considered.</td>
<td>Following MR at PDZ 3Aiii in epoch 2 the only viable option is HtL in the following epoch. There would be no space for additional realignments as the new defences would reduce the risk of flooding to properties. Where new defences are not built the policy will effectively be NAI as higher ground will limit the extent of the realignment. For PDZ 3Av the policy is for either MR or HtL depending on the results of monitoring. In this assessment MR has been considered to be the preferred policy as it is felt to have the greater impact. The option for HtL would continue the negative effects of the loss of intertidal habitats (part of the European sites) and the major positive effect on navigation in channels would be lost. This was a significant driver for realignment in this super-frontage.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 4 – Integration of environmental considerations

The decision to provide a stand-alone SEA for the North Norfolk SMP was taken after the SMP process began. Up to that point, SMPs had been accompanied by a SEA signposting exercise that highlighted the elements of the SMP that addressed the requirements of the SEA Regulations. Accordingly, the use of the SEA in the development, refinement and selection of North Norfolk SMP2 policy was limited. Nevertheless, the SMP followed the Defra SMP guidelines (Defra, 2006) which are intended to ensure that a consideration of environmental, social and economic factors is central to the development of policy options. A detailed account of how environmental issues have shaped the development of policies in the North Norfolk SMP is provided in appendix G of the SMP (policy appraisal). Further to the SMP policy appraisal process, subsequent assessment of preferred options in the SEA Environmental Report (and addendum) confirmed that a balanced approach was taken to selecting policies that have the most beneficial outcomes for the ‘environment’ (across the range of receptors specified) (see section 2).
The SEA process has developed three documents: a Scoping Report, an Environmental Report and Environmental Report addendum. These are described below.

The Scoping Report (March 2009)

The Scoping Report established an environmental baseline for the coastline of north Norfolk. In doing this, it developed a series of SEA assessment criteria by which SMP policies could be assessed. The suite of environmental concerns considered is:

- Need to maintain a balance of providing navigation and access to channels behind barrier islands while recognising their value to local communities.
- Threats from inappropriate coastal management to coastal communities, traditional activities and culture.
- Protection of coastal towns and settlements and the maintenance of features that support tourism and local commerce.
- Threats from inappropriate coastal management on the coastal landscape and Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) with regard to the provision of a mosaic of landscape features that is characteristic of the north Norfolk coast.
- Potential loss of historic and archaeological features on a dynamic coastline.
- Threats to biodiversity on a dynamic coast and the interactions between various coastal habitat types.
- Threats to the environmental conditions to support biodiversity and quality of life.
- Continuation of coastal processes required to maintain the integrity of critical coastal habitat and species.

The Environmental Report (August 2009) and addendum (December 2009)

Following the completion of the Scoping Report (and accompanying consultation period) the preferred policy options for the North Norfolk SMP were assessed in the Environmental Report. The Environmental Report was then supplemented by an addendum as previously detailed. On the basis of the assessment provided in the SEA Environmental Report and the addendum, the North Norfolk SMP was considered to have been successful in considering the range of environmental concerns. The majority of the remaining effects identified are either minor positive or neutral. While several major positive effects are likely to result from the adoption of the preferred policies, two major and several minor negative impacts were identified.

Major negative impacts of the SMP related to:
1) Where a HtL policy was pursued, which may lead to a loss of intertidal habitat through coastal squeeze (due to sea level rise).
2) Where a MR policy was pursued, which would lead to the loss of terrestrial or brackish habitat which is important for cited bird species.

These negative impacts were anticipated from policies that were selected in order to maintain wider environmental values. For example, a HtL policy maintains coastal communities and agricultural land, while a MR policy provides compensatory habitat for intertidal habitat that might be lost due to anticipated sea level rise. The negative impacts are therefore the product of a policy that provides a wider, more extensive range of positive environmental impacts – this is detailed in the Environmental Report and the supporting addendum.

In addition to these, some additional minor negative impacts have been determined. These relate to:

1. Where a policy of MR was pursued. This would lead to the loss of agricultural land in all cases.
2. Where a policy of HtL was pursued in super-frontage 2b, the loss of intertidal habitat through coastal squeeze would also affect the condition of the SSSI as well as the European sites.
3. Some policies have the potential to have negative effects on WFD objectives in other water bodies (PDZs 2M, 3Av, 3B and 3D) and potentially affect groundwater bodies (PDZs 2K and 2M).
4. The policy of MR at Blakeney Freshes (PDZ 3Aiii) will lead to the loss of one listed building.

The SMP can be concluded to have provided a range of positive benefits to the environment. Where negative impacts have been identified, monitoring has been devised to assess these impacts and determine necessary mitigation. Some of the negative impacts could also be avoided/reduced by scheme level mitigation. A summary of findings is given in table 6.5, while monitoring is outlined in section 7.

Section 5 – Influence of the Environmental Report

As described previously, because the SMP was progressed in advance of the SEA, it cannot be demonstrated that the SEA influenced the development of SMP policy. However, the consideration of environmental factors has played a crucial role in developing the SMP, as documented in appendix G of the SMP (policy appraisal). This consideration of environmental factors was based on adherence to SMP guidance and has previously been considered sufficient to meet the requirements of the SEA Regulations. The environmental elements of the SMP process (such as the theme review and policy appraisal) had full regard to how the policy may affect the environment. This process informed the development of the SMP. Although the Environmental Report and addendum followed this process, they confirm that
it achieved its intentions. They further confirm that the North Norfolk SMP provides a range of environmental benefits, through the maintenance of key coastal settlements, estuarine features to support coastal settlements, the management of coastal habitat and protection of the coastal landscape. Consideration of environmental issues can therefore be shown to have influenced SMP policy development.

The pursuit of managed realignment policies at key locations within the plan area actively sought to maintain community features and values (for example at PDZ 3Aiii by providing a navigable channel in front of Blakeney). It also offered intertidal habitat creation to help offset the loss through HTL policies elsewhere. In this respect, the evolution and development of policy at the PDZ level has sought to maintain a wide range of environmental values within the context of the wider management intent of the super-frontage.

Policies for dune systems (PDZs 1A, 1B and 2I) sought to allow the natural development of the dunes (as part of a naturally functioning coast) and to maintain the integrity of international sites. Additionally, this management approach to dune systems provides a valuable flood defence function for coastal communities. Such examples illustrate where policies have been developed to offer positive benefits for a range of criteria.

The mitigation and monitoring required based on the conclusions of the Environmental Report and addendum, and policy appraisal, is discussed in section 7. It should be noted that further assessment of environmental impacts and habitat regulation assessments will be carried out at strategy and scheme level. The monitoring and mitigation requirements will be reviewed as part of the next review of the shoreline management plan (SMP3).

**Section 6 – Consultation**

The Scoping Report underwent a three week consultation period with the North Norfolk SMP Client Steering Group (CSG) from 3 March to 25 March 2009. Table 6.1 outlines the consultation responses received at this stage and sets out how these have influenced the assessment. Following the consultation period, and the provision of feedback by the statutory consultees, the environmental assessment of preferred SMP policy was undertaken using the SEA assessment criteria agreed through the consultation period.
Table 6.1 Consultation responses and actions for the Scoping Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Action/comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environment Agency</td>
<td>Feedback focussed on making sure that the assessment criteria were more specific to:</td>
<td>Changes made to assessment criteria in SEA Environmental Report to ensure ecological and historic environment features were assessed in the correct way and to an appropriate level of detail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Norfolk District Council</td>
<td>• the range of designated sites and habitats under UK and environmental legislation • the range of historic features present</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural England</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Environmental Report underwent a two month public consultation period, from 4 September 2009 to 13 November, as part of the public consultation for the draft SMP for north Norfolk. Table 6.2 outlines the consultation responses and subsequent actions.

Table 6.2 Consultation responses and actions for the Environmental Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Action/comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Norfolk Landscape Archaeology</td>
<td>The SMP does not adequately cover the historic environment.</td>
<td>Improved assessment of the historic environment is included in this report and as an appendix.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>It does not reflect the diversity or the significance of the area or assess the impacts the proposals will have on the historic environment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The historic environment elements need to be revisited.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Heritage</td>
<td>Undervalues cultural, social and economic contribution the historic environment makes to the north Norfolk coast.</td>
<td>Improved assessment of the historic environment included in this report and as an appendix.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Historic assets not properly assessed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Listed buildings should be listed and mapped.</td>
<td>Listed buildings are mapped in figure 1 of the historic environment appendix (appendix 1) to this report (this reproduces figure 3.2 of...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisation</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Action/comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>the SEA Environmental Report). They are also listed in annex 1 of the historic environment appendix.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-designated sites considered to be of national importance should be mapped.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Improved assessment of the historic environment included in this report including non-designated sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not map palaeo-environmental deposits such as Holme beach, Titchwell and Holkham and does not consider how these would react to policies.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Improved assessment of the historic environment included in this report and as an appendix.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More inclusion of high mitigation costs for historic environment assets affected.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of consistency between Norfolk, Suffolk and Essex, detrimental to process and obscures view to engage in a systematic way for the whole coastline.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Due to differences in the features and processes of the coastlines in question, the differing management and structures (client and elected member groups and stakeholders) associated with different SMPs and their differing concerns, this is inevitable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSPB</td>
<td>Lack of recognition of SPA habitat.</td>
<td>International (SAC, SPA and Ramsar sites) are considered fully in the HRA accompanying the SMP2. The HRA findings inform the assessment against one of the SEA criteria. The HRA process also considers requirements for compensatory habitat and mechanisms for its provision.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No proposed recognition of compensation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>‘No major adverse affects’ but not clearly demonstrated as there is no compensation shown.</td>
<td>The HRA provides full assessment of the impacts on designated sites including appropriate mitigation. This includes acknowledgement of adverse affects on European sites as a major negative impact of the preferred policies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisation</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Action/comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monitoring is not a suitable mitigation method and,</td>
<td>Monitoring is required to determine the subsequent mitigation that will be carried out. This is detailed in the HRA and IROPI statement of case and forms part of the SMP action plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>although it will be needed, more specific actions need to be devised.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campaign to Protect Rural England</td>
<td>Split the mitigation and monitoring sections in the SEA.</td>
<td>The SEA ER is not updated, rather points are picked up in this SoEP. Whilst this comment is noted, monitoring and mitigation in this case are considered to be linked and the decision was previously taken to keep the sections together in the ER.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norfolk</td>
<td>Geodiversity is again not listed under SEA topics.</td>
<td>The high value of specific geodiversity interests is recognised through their designation as a SSSI. It was considered appropriate to consider impacts on sites designated at this level in the SMP SEA. Effects of SMP policies on their condition has been considered under the SSSI assessment criterion. It was considered that an additional criterion could lead to double-counting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geodiversity Partnership</td>
<td>Combined assessment tables have partially remedied the lack of SEA coverage of geodiversity by adding geomorphology onto the biodiversity headings, but this is not adequately reflected in the subsequent columns and does not include any effect of management policies on other aspects of geodiversity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The addendum to the Environmental Report underwent a six week consultation from 4 January to 19 February 2010. Table 6.3 outlines the consultation responses and subsequent actions.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Action/comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RSPB</td>
<td>There does not appear to have been any consideration of alternative options or any proposals for mitigation and compensation. If the regional habitat creation programme will be used to address this loss, this should be explicitly stated. The amount of habitat to be lost, and the type and location of compensatory habitats to be provided, must be clearly indicated in the SMP.</td>
<td>Due to the nature of the SMP process, a full consideration of alternatives has not been undertaken as an aspect of the SEA process, but rather alluded to as an aspect of SMP policy appraisal. The SEA contains a summary of the HRA. The amount of habitat anticipated being lost, the type of compensation and the mechanism for delivering habitat in advance of loss are identified in the Statement of Case for IROPI.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norfolk Landscape Archaeology</td>
<td>NLA is aware that in the last few weeks Royal Haskoning has been undertaking a considerable amount of work on historic environment data for the study area. This work includes incorporating all Historic Environment Record data for north Norfolk in the historic environment gazetteer, updating the theme review and revising the draft SMP. This work should lead to the inclusion of nationally and internationally significant undesignated features in an updated SEA.</td>
<td>This work is included as an appendix to this document and has also been incorporated in the revised assessment tables in this document. As no further revisions to the Environmental Report or addendum are being carried out, this SoEP is considered the most appropriate location for this information.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Norfolk Geodiversity Partnership

Given the parity of treatment between biodiversity and geodiversity provided by PPS9, I request that geodiversity protection should be written into the SEA criteria so that future assessments will examine whether geodiversity is to be affected by shoreline management practices.

This parity is noted. However, at the level of assessment appropriate in SEA, it is considered that due consideration has been given to designated geodiversity interests, in a manner commensurate with the consideration of designated biodiversity interests (for example, designated at national level) (as noted in table 6.2).

The overall SMP consultation and engagement process is described in the SMP’s appendix B – engagement and consultation. The draft SMP and SEA addendum consultation periods are presented in more detail in the public consultation report published in April 2010.

Following the overall SMP consultation, several of the preferred policies were altered to reflect the responses received. These all relate to the proposed managed realignment options. The general post-consultation approach has been to reduce the number of sites where managed realignment would occur and to delay the timing of those conditional realignments that remain. These new policies have been reassessed against the SEA criteria and the appraisal tables have therefore been updated. Table 6.4 details the changes to the preferred policies following consultation.

Table 6.4 Changes to preferred policies following consultation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy Development Zone</th>
<th>Original preferred policy</th>
<th>New preferred policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to 2025</td>
<td>2025 to 2055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ 1C</td>
<td>HtL</td>
<td>HtL or MR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ 2Gi</td>
<td>HtL</td>
<td>HtL or MR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ 2L</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>HtL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ 3Ai</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>HtL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ 3Aii</td>
<td>HtL</td>
<td>HtL or MR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results of the updated assessment in response to policy changes

Based on the reassessment of policies, a revised detailed assessment table is provided as appendix 2. The key elements of this assessment were the consideration of the updated policies, changes to the HRA and the assessment of the historic environment (including additional baseline information). The changes to the assessment based on this are summarised below.

The change in the timing of the realignment in SF 1 (PDZ 1C) does have some impact on the assessment as the benefits it would provide are delayed. However, in the context of the assessment unit as a whole, the only criterion to change in significance category as a result of the change in timing is that concerning navigation in existing channels. As this benefit would only be realised in epoch 3, the significance of this effect has changed from major positive to minor positive.

In SF 2a the only change is that this unit has gained an additional HtL policy (PDZ 2L). This SF has been reassessed and a minor negative effect has been concluded for the SSSI criterion. The criterion which addresses natural coastal processes has been reassessed as the previous assessment – contained in the addendum to the SEA – was felt to be based on double counting negative effects. The revised assessment is minor positive.

SF 2b has two changes in policy. PDZ 2L has been moved from this unit as the policy has been changed from MR to HtL. The possible realignment in PDZ 2Gi has been moved from epoch 2 to epoch 3. In the context of the super-frontage as a whole the loss of the small realignment at PDZ 2L and the change in the timing of the realignment at PDZ 2Gi is only considered to change the significance of the impacts on the SEA assessment criterion for SSSI condition. Where as previously realignment was occurring over undesignated land at Morston, and therefore improving SSSI condition, the overall effect of the policies within the SF is now considered to be neutral (a reassessment from minor positive).

As the policy for PDZ 3Aii has been changed from MR to HtL, this now is considered part of SF 3a. The addition of this unit is not considered to have any impact on the assessment.

The loss of PDZ 3Aii and the change in the policy of PDZ 3Aiii from conditional MR to definite MR in epoch 3 constitute the changes for SF 3b. The loss of the realignment at PDZ 3Aii will reduce the amount of additional BAP habitat created in this SF since it was proposed to incorporate non-BAP habitat. It is therefore considered that there will be no significant net gain in BAP habitat. As a result, the significance of the policies in SF 3b has been reassessed as having a neutral effect (a reassessment from minor positive).
Additionally, within SF 1, following discussion with Natural England, the assessment of the impacts on European sites in this area has changed since the issue of the SEA ER addendum. Following clarification of Natural England’s view, in particular with regard to the possibility for ‘off-site’ impacts, a reassessment of this SEA criterion has resulted in a change from a major negative to minor positive effect.

A reconsideration of the Water Framework Directive assessment in the SEA

The translation of the WFD assessment into the SEA was queried through the consultation stage. Therefore a reassessment of the WFD criterion (“Will the SMP policy result in changes to features covered by local WFD objectives?”) has been completed to ensure that the SEA process adequately reflects the findings of the WFD assessment.

This reassessment is based on how well the policies in each of the five SFs (1, 2a, 2b, 3a, 3b) contribute to meeting WFD objectives. The outcomes are discussed below for each SF and the SEA assessment tables (table 6.5 and appendix 2) have been updated.

The WFD assessment determined whether the preferred policies conflicted with WFD objectives for the water bodies present in the North Norfolk SMP2 area (that is, whether they could result in a deterioration of status/potential or could result in good status/potential being achieved). In the context of the whole SMP area the WFD assessment concluded that, in the majority of PDZs, it is unlikely that the policies will affect the current target ecological status or potential of water bodies. However, there are some PDZs where the policies have the potential to contribute to failure of environmental objectives, in particular WFD2 (no failure or deterioration in ecological status or potential) and WFD3 (no compromising of objectives in other water bodies). Depending on scheme-level detail, however, such impacts may be mitigated.

WFD1 does not apply in the SMP study area as there are no high status sites. Objective WFD4 (no deterioration in groundwater status) has the potential not to be met in areas where MR and NAI policies are pursued due to saline intrusion, including scenarios where natural evolution of duneel systems is being allowed. It is notable that the WFD assessment considers policies allowing natural change to have the potential to lead to failure of this WFD objective, in contrast to other aspects of theSEA assessments where natural change is assumed to be positive. The full implications of, for instance, MR on such water bodies depends on scheme-level detail which is not yet available and not appropriately considered in a SEA.

The WFD assessment has been translated into this SEA by comparing the instances where SMP policy has “potential to contribute to the failure of WFD environmental objectives” (a negative contribution) with instances where policy "meets the environmental objectives" (a positive contribution) for each
water body. Each super-frontage assessment is therefore based on the weight of evidence from the WFD assessment undertaken for each policy unit: where there are clearly more positive contributions than negative contributions, this will result in an overall assessment of "positive". Further qualification of this effect, (that is, minor/major) is based on professional judgement. Further explanatory text is provided in the assessment table. In all instances a precautionary approach has been taken, such that where the number of positive and negative contributions is roughly equal this has been interpreted as a neutral impact.

Of the five SFs, preferred SMP policies have been assessed as having a minor positive effect in two SFs (SF2a and SF2b (PDZ 2)), minor negative effect in two SFs (SF1 and SF3b) and one neutral effect (SF3a). This accords well with the overall conclusion of appendix K that it is unlikely that the policies will affect water bodies' target status.

Consultation relating to the historic environment

As a result of consultation comments on the SEA ER from English Heritage and Norfolk Landscape Archaeology, a more detailed consideration of the historic environment has been undertaken to expand the baseline provided in the original ER. An account of how the historic environment has been considered is now complete and is provided below and in appendix 1.

The historic environment baseline for the SMP study area was extensively informed by the collection and collation of the Historic Environment Record (HER) maintained by Norfolk Landscape Archaeology. Norfolk Landscape Archaeology also provided the digital Historic Landscape Characterisation data for the study area. In addition, the records of scheduled monuments, listed buildings and historic parks and gardens were obtained from English Heritage to ensure that the records were up to date.

Following data collection, the historic environment data were added into the SMP Geographic Information System (GIS) database and the information on designated and non-designated sites and historic landscape character was extracted on an individual PDZ basis. Using these data, the SMP theme review (North Norfolk SMP2, appendix D) was updated using information from Norfolk Landscape Archaeology and English Heritage to identify:

- the issues associated with all the historic environment features
- whether they would affect policy
- the benefits associated with each feature
- the scale (or value) of each feature
- the beneficiaries for each feature
- what could affect the value of each feature
- the objective for each feature.
Norfolk Landscape Archaeology and English Heritage were then consulted on the final version of the theme review tables. These were used to update the SMP theme review.

The data presented in the theme review were then combined with data extracted from the GIS tool, which identified the historic environment features that were likely to fall within either the erosion lines or the tidal flood zone for each epoch. Using this, coupled with an indication of where a MR policy was the preferred option, the SMP policies were appraised and assessed against the historic environment resource. Where significant historic environment features were identified as being at risk as a result of SMP policies, the assessment results and their potential impact on policy appraisal were discussed with the EA and partner authorities. Appendix 1 documents this assessment and was used to support the SMP review.

The policies in the SMP result in the long-term protection and preservation of six areas within conservation areas, 10 nationally important, seven regionally important and 77 locally important historic assets. Furthermore, the policies avoid increasing the rate of erosion to 13 nationally and internationally important, one regionally important and 39 locally important historic assets.

However, the policies in the SMP2 do result in the potential for erosion of areas within the Blakeney-Cley conservation area (already intertidal areas), two nationally important (these have already been excavated in advance of MR), 26 regionally important and 72 locally important historic assets due to MR or NAI. Furthermore, the SMP policies would result in an increased rate of erosion affecting one nationally important, eight regionally important and 73 locally important historic assets. Appendix 1 provides further information on the assessment undertaken.

The inclusion of the additional information about the historic environment has led to the reassessment of this SEA criterion from neutral to minor positive for SF 1. This has occurred as, before this reassessment, no historic environment features had been identified in this super-frontage. The significance of policies in SFs 2a and 3a have changed from minor positive to a major positive effect in recognition of the number of historic environment features with a reduced risk of flooding as a result of the policies.

**Summary of changes, and final assessment**

Table 6.5 summarises the SEA assessment of the final policy suite. This accounts for changes resulting from policy changes and the reassessments (WFD and historic environment) identified above. Criteria where an impact has changed are indicated by bold borders. The table is colour- and symbol-coded, as in previous documents, according to the legend below.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Significance of SMP policy</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>++</td>
<td>SMP policy is likely to result in a significant positive effect on the environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>SMP policy is likely to have a positive or minor positive effect on the environment (depending on scheme specifics at implementation).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>SMP policy is likely to have a neutral or negligible effect on the environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>SMP policy is likely to have a negative or minor negative effect on the environment (depending on scheme specifics at implementation).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>SMP policy is likely to have a significant negative effect on the environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>The assessment criterion does not apply to the SMP policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEA receptor (based on SI 1633)</td>
<td>SEA assessment criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SF1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threat to biodiversity on a dynamic coast and the interactions between various coastal habitat types</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance of coastal processes required to maintain the integrity of critical coastal habitat and species</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity, fauna, flora (including geomorphology)</td>
<td>Does SMP policy provide a sustainable approach to habitat management on the north Norfolk coast?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change in how natural coastal processes operate?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change in the condition of European sites?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change to SSSI condition?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a net change in priority BAP habitat area?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance of environmental conditions to support biodiversity and the quality of life</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population, human health</td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change in flood risk to coastal communities?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEA receptor (based on SI 1633)</td>
<td>SEA assessment criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection of coastal towns and settlements and the maintenance of features which support tourism and local commerce</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material assets</td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change to identified key tourism or recreation activities and locations?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change to identified key economic activities and locations?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change in the quality of agricultural soils?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in changes to features covered by local WFD objectives?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threats to coastal communities, traditional activities and culture from inappropriate coastal management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material assets</td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change to existing shellfish water classifications?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Will SMP policy result in a loss of critical infrastructure needed for the viability of coastal communities?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in changes that will affect the A149?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Will the SMP policy change the quality or security of abstraction for PWS or irrigation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEA receptor (based on SI 1633)</td>
<td>SEA assessment criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SF1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need to maintain a balance of providing navigation and access to channels behind barrier islands while recognising their value to local communities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material assets</td>
<td>Will the SMP policy change the ability to navigate in the existing channels and/or the operation of harbours?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection of historic and archaeological features on a dynamic coastline</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural heritage, including architectural heritage and historic environment</td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in changes to historic features identified through the RCZAS?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threats from inappropriate coastal management on the coastal landscape and AONB, with regard to providing a mosaic of landscape features that is characteristic of the north Norfolk coast</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in changes in the quality of the coastal landscape?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based on the updated assessment of the final policies, consideration needs to be given to anticipated changes in the overall effects of the final SMP. The areas that have changed are summarised below.

**European sites**
Since finalising the ER addendum, there have been changes to the HRA through discussion with Natural England. This has comprised reassessment of the effects on sites within SF1. It has led to a change in the HRA from the policies having an adverse affect to concluding there will be no adverse affect. This has subsequently been reflected in a change to the SEA criterion score.

**SSSIs**
In the context of the accompanying SSSIs where intertidal habitats are lost through coastal squeeze, there will be a minor negative impact. However, conversion of terrestrial habitats to intertidal through managed realignment is considered to have a neutral effect overall.

**BAP habitat**
Priority BAP habitat along the coastal zone covers a wide range of habitat types such as grazing marsh, reedbed, saltmarsh and mudflats. This was a key factor in assessing BAP habitat in the SEA and the principle of no net loss of BAP habitat in the plan area was applied. It is not considered appropriate to assess the relative importance of habitats.

In general terms, freshwater habitats behind defences become saline habitats if defences are breached. Saltmarsh becomes mudflat and mudflat becomes sub-littoral as sea level rises. Most of the proposed realignments over either freshwater habitat or agricultural land involve a conversion from mainly freshwater UKBAP habitats (grazing marsh, reedbed and eutrophic standing water) to coastal UKBAP habitat (saltmarsh, mudflat and sub-littoral sediment), with no net loss of UKBAP habitat. Where realignment takes place over undesignated BAP habitat (for example, agricultural land) there will be local gains in UKBAP habitat extent.

The complete removal of one realignment site has reduced the amount of additional BAP habitat created as part of the plan and therefore reduced the significance of this benefit. This is because realignment was due to be over land not designated as BAP habitat and would therefore have involved the creation of new intertidal BAP habitat.

**Navigation**
The change in the timing of realignment will have a slightly less beneficial impact on navigation in the channels as the realisation of this benefit will be delayed (PDZ 1C Thornham sea bank and PDZ 2Gi Deepdale and Norton marshes).

**Water**
Although in line with the overall assessment of the impacts of the SMP2 on WFD objectives (appendix K), the revised assessment means that the SMP will contribute both to the achieving and failure of WFD objectives in different
areas. However, the overall effect is neutral (there being two minor positive, two minor negative and one neutral effects across the five assessment units).

**Historic environment**

Finally, by including the additional baseline information in the assessment of the historic assets affected by the preferred SMP policies, it has been recognised that the benefits to the historic environment are greater than previously assessed for super-frontages 1, 2a and 3a.

The SEA did not identify any significant environmental effects that required transboundary consultation on this project. Due to this, no consultation responses were received through this consultation route.

**Section 7 – Environmental monitoring measures for the implementation of this project**

The North Norfolk SMP2 provides an integrated suite of management that seeks to maintain coastal habitats and ecological values and integrity while protecting coastal communities and the features that enable a sustainable future. In keeping such a balance, some negative environmental impacts are likely to be unavoidable. However, it is currently uncertain how the system (especially the dunes and intertidal habitat) will respond to both management and sea level rise. Monitoring is therefore required to ensure that future management is responsive to both anticipated and unforeseen changes.

Monitoring will primarily include assessing:

- the response of the north Norfolk coast, to establish the levels of intertidal loss through sea level rise
- the extent to which realignments ensure that coastal creeks remain navigable and
- the response and the development of dune systems to management and sea level rise.

The SMP action plan provides for these actions. More detailed assessments will also be carried out at both the coastal strategy and scheme level – for instance, the realignment schemes will be supported by the environmental impact assessment process. This will include HRA and other assessments to determine and mitigate environmental impacts.

The detailed monitoring requirements arising from the SEA Environmental Report and the addendum, and discussions to support the assessment of the historic environment, are outlined below (and included in full in appendix 1). These will also be provided by the SMP action plan.
Effects on the integrity of international sites
The SMP has the potential to affect the condition of the international sites through changes in habitat and coastal management. The manner in which intertidal habitats and dune systems respond to the preferred policies and sea level rise in the early epochs needs to be monitored and assessed. The consideration of the extent of intertidal habitat and the ratio of mudflat to saltmarsh will therefore require ongoing consideration (this is linked to the monitoring of United Kingdom Biodiversity Action Plan (UKBAP) habitat – see below).

The action plan provides a specific programme of monitoring and assessments to determine the detailed response of the system to management and sea level rise. Actions are provided for each PDZ. However, the approach specified is as follows (this text is repeated for each PDZ):

- Action – studies to confirm conditional policies for later epochs (HtL or MR) including sustainability of habitats both intertidal and terrestrial.

- Action – continue shoreline monitoring programme for coastal processes, saltmarsh development and beach profiles, including movement of dune systems. Expand and fine-tune to address data needs raised in SMP for each PDZ, to inform SMP2 policies and SMP3 and to feed into assessments.

Effects on condition of SSSIs
The SMP has the potential to affect the condition of SSSIs through changes in habitat and coastal management, with knock-on effects on the high-level targets relating to SSSIs in favourable condition. A key tool in managing and monitoring change on the north Norfolk coastline is the continued monitoring of SSSI units. This allows an early determination of where favourable condition may be threatened by SMP policies. It is considered that the existing monitoring programme undertaken by Natural England would be sufficient for this purpose, but any initial findings should be fed into the SMP action plan and subsequent policy at the earliest stage.

- The actions provided for monitoring in the action plan, coupled with the monitoring programmes established by Natural England and the Environment Agency, will ensure that impacts on SSSIs are considered by, and inform, future policies.

Effects on UKBAP habitat
One of the main effects of SMP policies will be the change in the composition of transitional habitat, due in part to promoting natural change under a scenario of rising relative sea levels. There is a need, therefore, to ensure that monitoring of BAP habitat in the plan area highlights shifts in BAP habitat area and informs the BAP recording process. This is needed to help ensure that management addresses any requirements resulting from the effects of the SMP2 policies.
The actions provided for monitoring in the action plan, coupled with Natural England and the Environment Agency’s monitoring programmes, will ensure that impacts on UKBAP habitat are considered and inform the development of future SMP policies.

Effects on coastal cultural and archaeological (historic) sites
Where the implementation of SMP policies would lead to the loss of sites or features that are important to the historic environment, two options are available:

1. Relocate features to a more sustainable location.
2. Provide a site investigation to investigate and record the content and value of sites.

The SMP has only identified one site where a SM or listed building would be lost - Blakeney chapel. However, this would only be lost under a policy of MR, and the site has actually been excavated in advance of a MR project. There may, however, be other ‘unknown’ sites that may only come to light as the SMP is implemented or indeed as the coast rolls back.

Equally, monitoring of all features where impacts have been identified that may affect the setting or nature of sites (detailed in appendix 1) could be required. A number of assets are potentially affected by NAI (one nationally and 22 locally important), MR (22 nationally, nine regionally and 64 locally important) and HtL policies (one nationally, five regionally and 103 locally important) policies.

In the SMP action plan, therefore, English Heritage will be instrumental in establishing what the nature of losses or any deterioration may be. Where these are identified, a figure for investigation will be established so that this funding can be sought from Government. The SMP action plan will seek to ensure that English Heritage is provided with the appropriate funding mechanism to investigate sites that are at risk.
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Appendix 1 – Historic environment

Introduction
This appendix addresses the gaps in the historic environment assessment raised by English Heritage and Norfolk Landscape Archaeology (NLA) as necessary to complete and provide an appropriate level of baseline and assessment in relation to the historic environment for the North Norfolk SMP2 review. This document focuses on the provision of historic baseline data for the whole of the North Norfolk SMP2 study area.

Key issues for consideration were a need to include non-designated historic assets (from the Norfolk Historic Environment Record (HER)), to ensure that regionally, nationally and internationally important non-designated historic assets are not excluded and to liaise better with local authority historic environment staff about policy, monitoring and action plan development.

Description
The coastline of north Norfolk has evolved over many thousands of years. With the end of the last glaciation, as glaciers have retreated, sea level has risen and the coast formerly comprised of land, has over time become inundated. However, inundation has been controlled along many sections of the study area by the construction of drainage channels and embankments to create agricultural land. However, as a consequence of sea level rise, many archaeological sites and finds providing evidence of early settlement and activity are now in the intertidal environment.

The north Norfolk coastline and intertidal zones have been researched by archaeologists for many years, providing a large amount of information on archaeological sites and finds that are identified in the Historic Environment Record maintained by Norfolk Landscape Archaeology (NLA). The data used for this SEA are based primarily on the Norfolk HER which was provided by NLA. Records of scheduled monuments (SMs), listed buildings and historic parks and gardens were supplemented by datasets provided by English Heritage.

The coastal historic environment comprises a wide range of archaeological sites, structures and landscapes. These include:

- Lower and upper Palaeolithic, Mesolithic and Neolithic flint scatters and occupation sites.
- Bronze age structures, including the timber circle ‘Seahenge’.
- Iron age hill forts.
- Roman settlements including the Roman vicus at Brancaster.
- Saxon finds including the church at Burnham Deepdale, with a number of fish traps located in the foreshore.
• Medieval earthworks including remains of a bridge and other buildings such as the Blakeney Guildhall.
• Post-medieval settlements such as Old Hunstanton, Brancaster and Burnham Overy Staithe, many of which contain many preserved structures and buildings that are listed.
• Extensive structures relating to the defences of World War two.

The historic environment also comprises entire landscapes. Parks, gardens, and battlefields are obvious examples, but many landscapes are the product of human land use and planning over thousands of years.

**Designated historic assets**

Only a very small proportion of recognised and recorded historic assets (less than five per cent) receive statutory protection and many more archaeological sites, perhaps the majority, remain undiscovered. Designated historic assets include:

• Scheduled monuments (SMs) designated under the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979.
• Historic shipwrecks designated under the Protection of Wrecks Act 1973.
• Listed buildings and conservation areas designated under the terms of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (listed buildings are graded I, II* or II).

Other historic assets that need to be considered include historic parks and gardens, which underline the need to consider their special importance within the planning process when development is proposed.

**Scheduled monuments**

A SM is a man-made structure of national importance that receives legal protection under the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979.

There are 10 SMs within one kilometre of the shoreline (within the SMP study area) as follows (also see figure 1):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scheduled Monuments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Village cross, Titchwell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roman fort (Branodunum), Brancaster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Mary’s Carmelite friary, near Burnham Overy town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burnham Overy village cross</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron age fort in Burnham Overy marshes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumulus on Warborough Hill, near Stiffkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two bowl barrows on Blakeney Downs, to the west of Blakeney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medieval undercroft known as the Guildhall, in Blakeney</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Site of Blakeney chapel
• Wiveton bridge

Damage to a SM is a criminal offence and any works taking place within one require consent from the Secretary of State.

**Protected wrecks**
Protected wrecks are covered by UK legislation which includes the Protection of Wrecks Act 1973, Protection of Military Remains Act 1986 and the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979. There are no protected wrecks in or near the SMP study area.

**Listed buildings**
Buildings that have been recognised for their special architectural or historic interest can be listed and have legal protection under planning law, specifically “The Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990”. This legislation results in the need to obtain listed building consent for works of demolition, alteration or extension that affect its character as a building of special architectural or historic interest. Listed buildings are of national interest. There are 109 listed buildings in the SMP study area, though some of these are not at risk of flooding, erosion, managed realignment policies or are close to locations of likely coastal management measures that could result in disturbance, deterioration or changes to their setting. The listed buildings in the SMP study area are presented in **figure 1** and those at risk are listed in **annex 1**.

**Conservation areas**
Local Planning Authorities have a duty under the Planning (Listed Buildings & Conservation Areas) Act 1990 to designate as conservation areas any areas considered to be of special architectural or historic interest, the character or appearance of which it is desirable to protect or enhance. There are 19 conservation areas in the SMP study area as follows (**figure 1**):

- Hunstanton
- Old Hunstanton
- Holme-next-the-Sea
- Thornham
- Titchwell
- Brancaster
- Burnham Norton
- Burnham Market
- Burnham Overy town
- Burnham Overy Mill
- Burnham Overy Staithe
- Holkham
- Wells-next-the-Sea
- Warham
- Stiffkey
Morston
Blakeney-Wiveton-Cley
Salthouse
Kelling

**Historic parks and gardens**
There are three historic parks and gardens (designated under section 8C of the Historic Buildings and Ancient Monuments Act 1953 (inserted by section 33 of, and paragraph 10 of section 4, to the National Heritage Act 1983)) located in the SMP study area (figure 1):

- Hunstanton Park – grade II
- Holkham Hall – grade I
- Stiffkey Park – grade II

**Non-designated historic assets and historic landscape character**
As mentioned earlier, there are a wide variety of archaeological sites, finds and features recorded in the Norfolk HER, many of which are considered to be of local value. Over 370 records were received from the HER dataset. These were collated and those sites present within the areas at risk from long-term erosion/rollback (based on the SMP erosion lines) or flooding (based on flood risk outlines) were extracted to form the gazetteer of sites to be examined for the SMP policy selection. Annex 1 presents the full gazetteer of sites, including the identification of the SMP policy development zones in which they are located, as well as the potential risks to each record (such as flooding, erosion or rollback, a combination of these, or in some cases no risk except from possible coastal management measures). As some sites may be at risk from a number of threats, these records will be repeated as well as being repeated in one or more units. Consequently, the total number of records/sites (1,065 including find spots) presented in the gazetteer is actually slightly lower.

Because of the nature of site and find designation and recording, the list of sites and finds at risk was reviewed and a determination of importance undertaken alongside confirmation and review by NLA. This identified whether there were any specific sites that were not designated but which could be of greater value than their presence on the HER indicates. A number of sites were identified as being of significant national or international importance. The indication of site importance is presented in annex 1.

**Vulnerability of historic assets**
Archaeological sites and finds are at risk from a number of activities that are linked to, or that could arise from, the policies of coastal management and the SMP itself. The following impacts could therefore arise and so are considered in the assessment of the effects of the SMP on the historic environment:
• Erosion/rollback resulting in the disturbance, deterioration and eventual
damage and destruction of sites, finds and features (including peat
deposits).
• Flooding leading to deterioration of sites and finds, in particular
buildings.
• Tidal inundation leading to the alteration of the preservation
environment of buried sites, finds and features.
• Coastal management measures resulting in the physical disturbance to
sites, finds and features.
• Coastal management measures leading to the alteration of the historic
landscape.
• Coastal management measures leading to the alteration of the visual
setting of important designated structures including scheduled
monuments, listed buildings, historic parks and gardens and
conservation areas.

The latter two potential risks identified above relate to the potential impact of
natural coastal processes or coastal management measures on the visual
setting of monuments and structures, or disturbance to the historic landscape
character of a given area. Consequently, historic landscape character data
were provided by NLA and included in the SEA dataset. However, given the
volume of the data, they have not been presented on maps. Key character
areas and sites are the SMs, listed buildings and conservation areas. In
addition, there are important historic landscape character areas such as the
19th century drained and embanked landscape from Burnham Norton to
Wells-next-the-Sea and Morston-Blakeney-Cley, as well as, to a lesser
degree, the many World War two structures and features that are present
throughout the coastal strip.
Figure 1  HER and HLC data search area for North Norfolk SMP2 study area
Primary analysis: a detailed assessment of PDZs

PDZ 1A – Old Hunstanton dunes

As outlined above, an historic environment assessment for PDZ 1A has been provided for:

- the preferred policy of HtL for epoch 1
- an alternative policy of MR for epoch 1
- the preferred policy of MR for epochs 2 and 3
- an alternative policy of HtL for epochs 2 and 3.

Epoch 1

Preferred policy:

The preferred policy of HtL would reduce the risks to 15 known archaeological historic assets (including two that form part of a regionally important group of sites) from erosion or deterioration by increased coastal flooding, as listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MNF41903</th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>Post-medieval to modern</th>
<th>Linear feature, ditch, rectilinear enclosure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF41906</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Structure, structure, wreck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41916</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Medieval to World War two</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), ditch, bank (earthwork), ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF17148</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Spigot mortar emplacement, pedestal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF32396</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41686</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41687</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Road block, tank trap, anti-tank block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41688</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Slit trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41696</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Barbed wire obstruction, slit trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41701</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41706</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Slit trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41913</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF45996</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41693</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Slit trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF45999</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Spigot mortar emplacement, pedestal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No noticeable changes would occur to historic landscape features so no change to the historic landscape character would occur as a result of SMP policies.

In terms of flooding, this policy would retain the existing level of flood risk in the hinterland so there would be no increase in likely flooding and its
subsequent potential effects on the 28 non-designated historic assets, including three regionally important historic assets and one designated historic park and garden.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF30464</td>
<td>Grade II historic park and garden</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Park, deer park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF11226</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF11303</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Moat, decoy pond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF1271</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>Building, wall, bank (earthwork), road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF1275</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>Enclosure, pit, inhumation, tessellated floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF12841</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Medieval</td>
<td>Building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF16371</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Trackway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41690</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Drainage ditch, ditch, bank (earthwork), field system, ridge and furrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41691</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Drainage ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41697</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow, bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41699</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41705</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Ditch, brick kiln?, rectilinear enclosure, ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41708</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41709</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), ditch, land reclamation, field boundary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41710</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41915</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Linear feature, drain, ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41916</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Medieval to World War two</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), ditch, bank (earthwork), ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41917</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Medieval</td>
<td>Ditch, linear feature, drain, field boundary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF42842</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF4371</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Roman to post-medieval</td>
<td>Bridge, bank (earthwork), road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF56630</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Farm, barn, house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41692</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Road block, tank trap, pillbox?, anti-tank block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41695</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Tank trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41696</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Barbed wire obstruction, slit trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41704</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), ditch, pit, pit, weapons pit?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41913</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF1277</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Building, wall, moat, bank (earthwork), ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF17135</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Medieval</td>
<td>Enclosure, floor, building, bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork), manor house?, building?, great house?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF28502</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No historic assets in the foreshore are at risk from increased erosion that could occur as a result of the HtL policy in epoch 1.

The overall effect is considered to be minor positive.
Alternative policy option:

An alternative policy of MR would provide no additional flood protection but would potentially result in the loss within this epoch of some of the 15 locally and regionally important historic assets listed earlier, which would be prevented by HtL. MR of a defence line could itself result in the disturbance to historic assets depending on where the new line is built and how the process happens. The understanding for this PDZ is that MR would be management of the dune system rather than an actual realignment. Consequently, there would be no significant man-made (defence) features and so no intrusion on the historic landscape character of this area. Overall, given the limited expected loss of historic assets in the long term, a neutral effect is expected on the historic environment.

Epochs 2 and 3

Preferred policy

The policy of MR for epochs 2 and 3 could potentially result in the loss of the 15 known locally or regionally important historic assets that would otherwise be protected under the HtL policy as listed for epoch 1. The likelihood of the loss is unknown as there would be uncertainty as to the extent and depth of any long term rollback. Also, the management of the dunes (as opposed to setting a defence line inland and breaching) would minimise the potential movement of the dune line and the amount/extent of historic assets that would actually be eroded.

There is a potential for disturbance to the historic landscape character through implementing MR. However, as this policy intent is to manage the dunes rather than create a new defence line inland, there would be no additional intrusion of man-made structures on this relatively natural stretch of coastline. Consequently, there would be no impact on historic landscape character.

Overall, the effect of the policy is neutral in terms of potential disturbance and possible effects on 15 non-designated historic assets, including two regionally important historic assets, which may be protected. However, losses will depend on the means and extent of implementing the MR policy, which are currently uncertain.

Alternative policy option:

The alternative policy of HtL in epochs 2 and 3 would result in potential disturbance to historic environment features from increased coastal defence measures, as well as the intrusion of man-made defences on the historic landscape character. However, all the character features affected are of low (local) importance, while many of the known (as well as unknown archaeological sites) would be protected from potential long-term loss or inundation due to sea level rise. The impact of this alternative approach would be minor positive.
PDZ 1B Holme dunes

In the same way that PDZ1A was assessed, an historic environment assessment for PDZ 1B has been provided for:

- the preferred policy of MR for all epochs.

**All epochs**

MR throughout all epochs could potentially result in the risk of erosion to 15 historic assets that comprise elements of a regionally important group of World War two sites. Management of the dunes will enable them to move landward as sea level rise occurs. This could result in erosion of some or all of the 15 historic assets, as well as other currently unknown archaeological sites, in a worst case scenario. However, it is likely that the majority would remain protected.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MNF</th>
<th>Regional (group)</th>
<th>World War two</th>
<th>Historic designation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF17149</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Gun emplacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF17150</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF23517</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Underground military headquarters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF23518</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Blockhouse, pillbox, underground military headquarters, ditch, bank (earthwork), trench, structure, building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41693</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Slit trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41694</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41716</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), pillbox?, trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF42788</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Ring ditch, ring ditch, gun emplacement?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF45999</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Spigot mortar emplacement, pedestal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF55876</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Shelter, bunker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF55877</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Bunker, shelter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF55878</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Building, blockhouse, bunker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF55880</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF55881</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Bunker, shelter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF55885</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Building</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In terms of flooding, this policy would retain the existing level of flood risk in the hinterland. There would therefore be no increase in likely flooding and its subsequent potential effects on the 23 locally important historic assets, two regionally important historic assets and part of Holme-next-the-Sea conservation area.

Management of the dunes is expected to retain or reduce the risks to most of the historic assets and is not likely to entail significant disturbance resulting from new man-made structures. Historic landscape character in the form of the World War two structures and features along and immediately behind the current defences is therefore not likely to be altered or intruded upon.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conservation area</th>
<th>Post-medieval</th>
<th>Holme-next-the-Sea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF1298 Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Rectilinear enclosure, enclosure, ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF1299 Local</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Linear feature, road, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41374 Local</td>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>Settlement, post hole, beam slot, structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41698 Local</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow, bank (earthwork), ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41702 Local</td>
<td>Medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), ridge and furrow, macula, ditch, pit, fish pond, oyster beds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41703 Local</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41718 Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41731 Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), macula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41914 Local</td>
<td>Medieval to World War two</td>
<td>Ditch, ditch, ditch, linear feature, drain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41915 Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Linear feature, drain, ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF42796 Local</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF42807 Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ditch, trackway, land reclamation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF42809 Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork), flood defences?, flood defences?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF42815 Local</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF42843 Local</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Road, trackway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41701 Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41717 Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Tank trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41721 Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Military training site, trench, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41722 Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Military building, Nissen hut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF1289 Regional</td>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>Road, ford, trackway, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF42374 Regional</td>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Avoiding a HtL policy and enabling the coast and shore to adapt more naturally to sea level rise would avoid any noticeable increased rollback in the foreshore. This therefore may result in the preservation of a number of nationally and internationally important historic assets, which are listed below.
| MNF33771 | International | Beaker to middle bronze age | Timber circle |
| MNF42245 | International | Early bronze age | Timber circle, fence |
| MNF1088 | Local | Early Mesolithic to late Neolithic | Find spot |
| MNF21961 | Local | Post-medieval | Wreck |
| MNF21962 | Local | Post-medieval | Wreck |
| MNF23998 | Local | Unknown | Timber circle |
| MNF41000 | Local | Modern | Pit, natural feature |
| MNF42242 | Local | Bronze age | Find spot |
| MNF42246 | Local | Undated | Find spot |
| MNF42751 | Local | Bronze age | Trackway?, platform? |
| MNF42754 | Local | Bronze age | Platform?, trackway?, structure? |
| MNF42761 | Local | Bronze age | Structure, pit |
| MNF42762 | Local | Unknown | Non antiquity, natural feature |
| MNF42766 | Local | Unknown | Post alignment?, fish trap?, fence?, trackway? |
| MNF42768 | Local | Bronze age | Structure, trackway? |
| MNF42769 | Local | Unknown | Structure?, trackway |
| MNF42774 | Local | Unknown | Trackway?, post alignment, structure |
| MNF42776 | Local | Modern | Feature, natural feature |
| MNF46000 | Local | Unknown | Post group |
| MNF46268 | Local | Unknown | Post alignment, fish trap |
| MNF50019 | Local | Unknown | Structure |
| MNF50136 | Local | Unknown | Wreck |
| MNF42755 | National | Bronze age | Trackway?, platform?, structure, coppice? |
| MNF42757 | National | Bronze age | Trackway, platform?, structure? |
| MNF42777 | National | Middle to late bronze age | Trackway |
| MNF41947 | National (group) | Early Saxon to middle Saxon | Trackway |
| MNF42243 | National (group) | Early Saxon to late Saxon | Post alignment, fish trap |
| MNF42244 | National (group) | Early Saxon to middle Saxon | Post alignment, fish trap, sea defences |
| MNF42749 | National (group) | Middle Saxon | Fish trap, structure |
| MNF42765 | National (group) | Unknown | Post alignment, fish trap?, fence?, trackway? |
| MNF42778 | National (group) | Middle Saxon to late Saxon | Fish trap, structure, post alignment |

Although the potential exists for an impact on a regionally important group of historic assets, the loss of some or all is unlikely. Given that there would be no change to historic landscape character, and an increase in the deterioration of 11 nationally and internationally important historic assets would be reduced, overall a major positive effect is expected.
PDZ 1C Thornham sea bank

In the same way that PDZ1A was assessed, an historic environment assessment for PDZ 1C has been provided for:

- the preferred policy of HtL for epochs 1 and 2
- an alternative policy of MR for epochs 1 and 2
- the preferred policy of MR for epoch 3 and
- an alternative policy of HtL for epoch 3.

Although the preferred policy for epoch 3 is conditional MR/HtL, under the precautionary principle, the MR policy has been considered as the preferred policy for this assessment. This is because MR policies are assumed to have a greater negative impact than HtL for historic environment features despite a HtL policy potentially requiring increased defences with impacts on landscape character.

Epochs 1 and 2

Preferred policy:

The preferred policy of HtL would reduce the risks to 15 known locally important historic assets from erosion or deterioration by increased coastal flooding, as listed below. However, depending on the scale and type of defences, potential disturbance to historic assets could occur and would need to be examined on a site-by-site basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MNF1319</th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>Post-medieval</th>
<th>Barn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF41724</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41726</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41728</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41729</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46006</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Jetty, jetty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46007</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Post group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46008</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46009</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Wall, building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46010</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Sluice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46012</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Sluice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46013</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46014</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46015</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Sluice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF23519</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Spigot mortar emplacement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HtL in epochs 1 and 2 could result in the intrusion of man-made defences on the historic landscape character. The majority of the sites or character features affected are of low (local) importance. However, Thornham
conservation area could be affected, but sensitive design of defences could avoid or minimise the potential impact.

In terms of flooding, this policy would retain the existing level of flood risk. There would therefore be no increase in likely flooding and its subsequent potential effects on four locally important historic assets listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MNF1299</th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>Medieval to post-medieval</th>
<th>Linear feature, road, bank (earthwork)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF41718</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41725</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41729</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HtL could potentially exacerbate the rate of erosion or rollback along the foreshore of this unit. This could lead to increased deterioration of the following locally important historic assets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MNF42782</th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
<th>Landing stage, mooring bollard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF46006</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Jetty, jetty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46014</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Revetment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall, the HtL policy would result in a minor positive effect due to protecting locally important historic assets, with avoidable negative impacts on historic landscape character.

Alternative policy option:

An alternative policy of MR would provide no additional flooding protection but would potentially result in the loss within these epochs of some of the historic assets listed earlier that would be reduced by HtL. MR of a defence line could itself result in the disturbance of historic assets depending on where the new line is built. Man-made (defence) features could intrude on the historic landscape character of this area. Overall, given the potential loss of historic assets in the long-term, a minor negative effect could occur on the historic environment.

Epoch 3

Preferred policy:

The policy of MR in epoch 3 would provide no additional protection from flooding but would potentially result in the loss or deterioration of some of the 15 locally important historic assets listed earlier that would be reduced by a HtL policy, as well as unknown historic assets. Furthermore, potential disturbance could occur to historic assets along the line of the set-back defences.

Man-made (defence) features could intrude on the historic landscape character of this area particularly along a set-back defence line. This could affect Thornham conservation area if undertaken unsympathetically.
This policy would not result in increased deterioration of the three locally important historic assets in the foreshore.

Overall, given the potential loss of historic assets in the long term a negative impact could occur, though a lesser positive impact would arise from no increase to the deterioration of assets in the foreshore. Impacts on historic landscape character can be avoided or minimised. On balance a minor negative effect is expected.

Alternative policy option:

If HtL was selected, the same impacts identified for epochs 1 and 2 for the preferred policy would arise. This policy would result in the least adverse impacts on the historic environment than any other policy due to minimising the scale and extent of erosion and flooding that would arise, particularly that of the complex of 15 locally important historic assets. The potential loss of three locally important historic assets in the foreshore could, however, offset this positive effect. Overall, a minor positive effect is expected.

**PDZ 1D Thornham**

In the same way that PDZ1A was assessed, an historic environment assessment for PDZ 1D has been provided for:

- the preferred policy of NAI for all epochs.

**All epochs**

Preferred policy:

NAI throughout all epochs would result in the risk of erosion to five locally important historic assets, as listed below. Over the epochs as sea level rise occurs, inundation and possible erosion may result in the loss of these historic assets, as well as other currently unknown archaeological sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF1341</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Windmill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF33706</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Oyster beds?, salt works?, bank (earthwork), ditch, pond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41737</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41738</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow, bank (earthwork), ditch, sea defences?, land reclamation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41739</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), hollow, salt works</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There would be no changes to the historic landscape character from intrusion of defences, though increasing erosion/rollback and sea level encroachment would naturally alter the historic landscape character.
In terms of flooding, this policy would retain the existing level of flood risk, so there would be no increase in likely flooding and its subsequent potential effects on four locally important historic assets as listed below.

| MNF29154 | Local | Post-medieval | Brickworks, brick kiln, house |
| MNF41737 | Local | Post-medieval | Bank (earthwork) |
| MNF41738 | Local | Post-medieval to modern | Ridge and furrow, bank (earthwork), ditch, sea defences?, land reclamation? |
| MNF41739 | Local | Post-medieval to modern | Bank (earthwork), hollow, salt works |

This policy would not exacerbate the rate of erosion along the foreshore of this unit and would not therefore result in any additional deterioration of the following seven historic assets.

| MNF33706 | Local | Unknown | Oyster beds?, salt works?, bank (earthwork), ditch, pond |
| MNF41724 | Local | Post-medieval to modern | Sea defences |
| MNF41735 | Local | Post-medieval | Bank (earthwork), ditch, land reclamation, sea defences |
| MNF41739 | Local | Post-medieval to modern | Bank (earthwork), hollow, salt works |
| MNF41745 | Local | Modern | Structure |
| MNF41747 | Local | Post-medieval | Ditch, bank (earthwork), sea defences |
| MNF42813 | Local | Post-medieval | Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork), ditch, ditch, sea defences? |

Overall, the NAI policy would result in slightly greater positive impacts compared to negative impacts from possible erosion of known and unknown historic assets, and an overall minor positive effect is expected.

**PDZ 2A Thornham to Titchwell**

In the same way that PDZ1D was assessed, an historic environment assessment for PDZ 2A has been provided for:

- the preferred policy of NAI for all epochs.

### All epochs

**Preferred policy:**

NAI throughout all epochs would result in the risk of erosion to three locally important historic assets, as listed below. Over the epochs as sea level rise occurs, inundation and possible erosion may result in the loss of these historic assets, as well as other currently unknown archaeological sites.

| MNF41661 | Local | Post-medieval | Bank (earthwork), sea defences |
| MNF18077 | Local (group) | World War two | Command post, observation post |
| MNF41732 | Local (group) | World War two | Bombing range marker |
There would be no changes to the historic landscape character from intrusion of defences, though increasing erosion/rollback and sea level encroachment would naturally alter the historic landscape character.

In terms of flooding, this policy would retain the existing level of flood risk, so there would be no increase in likely flooding and its subsequent potential effects on 10 locally important historic assets as listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MNF41735</th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>Post-medieval</th>
<th>Bank (earthwork), ditch, land reclamation, sea defences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF41737</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41739</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), hollow, salt works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41740</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF42792</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Linear feature, linear feature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF42793</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF42813</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork), ditch, ditch, sea defences?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF18078</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two to cold war</td>
<td>Pillbox?, building?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41733</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Bombing range marker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41734</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox?, military building</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This policy would not exacerbate the rate of erosion along the foreshore of this unit. It would not therefore result in any additional deterioration of the one nationally important historic asset listed below.

| MNF1305 | National | Prehistoric | Submarine forest |

Overall, the NAI policy would result in greater positive impacts of avoidance of increased erosion to a nationally important asset, compared to negative impacts from possible erosion of known and unknown locally important historic assets, so a minor positive effect is expected.

**PDZ 2B Titchwell RSPB reserve**

In the same way that PDZ1C was assessed, an historic environment assessment for PDZ 2B has been provided for:

- the preferred policy of HtL for all epochs.

**All epochs**

Preferred policy:

The preferred policy of HtL would reduce the risks to nine known locally important archaeological historic assets from erosion or deterioration by increased tidal flooding, as listed below. However, depending on the scale and type of defences, potential disturbance to historic assets could occur and would need to be examined on a site-by-site basis at the scheme level.
HtL could result in the intrusion of man-made defences on the historic landscape character. The majority of the sites or character features affected are of low (local) importance. However, Titchwell conservation area could be affected, but sensitive design of defences could avoid or minimise the potential impact occurring.

In terms of flooding, this policy would retain the existing level of flood risk, so there would be no increase in likely flooding and its subsequent potential effects on one locally important historic asset listed below.

| MNF41660 | Local (group) | World War two | Military training site |

HtL could potentially exacerbate the rate of erosion/rollback along the foreshore of this PDZ. This could lead to increased deterioration of the following locally important historic assets and one nationally important site.

| MNF15870 | Local | Late Saxon to medieval | Midden, midden |
| MNF41678 | Local | Modern | Ditch, structure |
| MNF41796 | Local | Post-midieval to modern | Field drain, plough marks, drain, drainage system |
| MNF43083 | Local | Modern | Structure, groyne |
| MNF46016 | Local | Unknown | Post alignment, groyne |
| MNF46020 | Local | Unknown | Structure |
| MNF18075 | Local (group) | World War two | Gun emplacement, underground military headquarters, bunker |
| MNF18076 | Local (group) | World War two to cold war | Military training site, military building, observation post, gun emplacement |
| MNF41677 | Local (group) | World War two | Bombing range marker |
| MNF46022 | Local (group) | World War two | Structure |
| MNF48778 | Local (group) | World War two | Armoured vehicle |
| MNF15352 | National | Upper Palaeolithic | Occupation site, lithic working site |

With respect to the historic environment, the HtL policy would result in positive impacts due to reducing risk to locally important historic assets, with avoidable negative impacts on historic landscape character, and potential negative impacts on a larger number of historic assets including one of national importance. Overall, a minor negative effect is expected.
PDZ 2C Titchwell village

In the same way that PDZ2A was assessed, an historic environment assessment for PDZ 2C has been provided for:

- the preferred policy of NAI for all epochs.

All epochs

Preferred policy:

NAI throughout all epochs would result in the risk of erosion to nine locally important historic assets, as listed below. Over the epochs as sea level rise occurs, inundation and possible erosion may result in the loss of these historic assets, as well as other currently unknown archaeological sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MNF1387</th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
<th>Site, watercourse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF41659</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41662</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Sea defences, sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41663</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41666</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF15558</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF18069</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF18070</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF18071</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There would be no changes to the historic landscape character from intrusion of defences, though increasing erosion/rollback and sea level encroachment would naturally change the historic landscape character.

In terms of flooding, this policy would retain the existing level of flood risk, so there would be no increase in likely flooding and its subsequent potential effects on two locally important and one regionally important historic assets as listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MNF15868</th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>Roman</th>
<th>Building</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF41659</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF43074</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Roman to post-medieval</td>
<td>Ring ditch, ring ditch, linear feature, temple?, building?, windmill?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This policy would not exacerbate the rate of erosion along the foreshore of this PDZ. It would not therefore result in any additional deterioration to one nationally important historic asset and one locally important historic asset listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MNF41662</th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>Post-medieval to modern</th>
<th>Sea defences, sea defences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF15352</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>Upper Palaeolithic</td>
<td>Occupation site, lithic working site</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The NAI policy would result in greater positive impacts of avoidance of increased erosion to a nationally important historic asset, compared to negative impacts from possible erosion of known and unknown locally important historic assets. Overall, a minor positive impact is expected.

**PDZ 2D Reclaimed grazing marsh at Brancaster**

In the same way as for PDZ 1A, an historic environment assessment has been provided for:

- the preferred policy of HtL for epoch 1
- an alternative policy of MR for epoch 1
- the preferred policy of MR for epochs 2 and 3 and
- an alternative policy of HtL for epochs 2 and 3.

The preferred policy for epoch 3 is conditional MR/HtL. However applying the precautionary principle, the MR policy has been considered as the preferred policy for this assessment as MR policies are assumed to have a greater negative impact than HtL for historic environment features. This is despite a HtL policy potentially requiring increased defences, resulting in impacts on landscape character.

**Epoch 1**

Preferred policy:

The preferred policy of HtL would reduce the risks to four known locally important historic assets, as listed below, from erosion/rollback or deterioration from increased coastal flooding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF41674</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41675</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), ditch, land reclamation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF18220</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Gun emplacement, pillbox, bunker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41676</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Post-medieval to World War two</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow, trench?, trench</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HtL could result in the intrusion of man-made defences on the historic landscape character. The majority of the sites or character features affected are of low (local) importance. However, Brancaster conservation area could be affected, but sensitive design at the scheme level could avoid or minimise the potential impact occurring.

In terms of flooding, this policy would retain the existing level of flood risk. There would be no increase in likely flooding and its subsequent potential effects on part of Brancaster conservation area and six locally important historic assets listed below.
HtL could potentially exacerbate the rate of erosion along the foreshore of this PDZ which could lead to increased deterioration of one locally important site.

With respect to the historic environment, the HtL policy would result in a minor positive impact due to protecting locally important historic assets, with avoidable negative impacts on historic landscape character, offsetting a potential negative impact on a number of locally important historic assets within the foreshore. The policy may reduce the effect of flood risk on the conservation area.

Alternative policy option:

An alternative policy of MR would provide no additional protection from flooding. It would potentially result in the loss within this epoch of some of the four locally important historic assets listed earlier that would be reduced by HtL.

MR of a defence line could itself result in the disturbance of historic assets depending on where a new defence line is built. Man-made (defence) features could intrude on the historic landscape character of this area, particularly Brancaster conservation area.

Overall, given the potential loss of historic assets in the long term and the potential for adverse impacts on the conservation area, a potentially major negative impact could occur on the historic environment. However, with appropriate mitigation in the form of sensitive and appropriate design of realigned defences, it is expected that this could be reduced to an overall minor negative impact.

**Epochs 2 and 3**

**Preferred policy:**

The policy of MR for epochs 2 and 3 could potentially result in the erosion and loss of the four known locally important historic assets that would otherwise be protected under the HtL policy as listed for epoch 1. The likelihood of the loss is unknown as there would be uncertainty about the extent and depth of any long term erosion/rollback, but it could potentially arise. In addition, currently
unknown archaeological sites or features could also be at risk from long-term erosion.

There is a potential for disturbance to the historic landscape character through the implementation of MR and setting back any defences. This could adversely affect the setting of Brancaster conservation area.

Overall, the significance of this policy has been assessed as major negative in terms of potential disturbance to the conservation area and possible effects resulting from erosion and flooding. This policy would, however, prevent the deterioration of the historic asset at risk of erosion in the foreshore. Given the potential for mitigation through sensitive and appropriate design, the potential impact on the conservation area could be reduced, such that on balance a minor negative effect could occur.

Alternative policy option:

Alternative policies of HtL in epochs 2 and 3 would result in potential disturbance to historic environment features from increased/improved coastal defence measures, as well as result in the intrusion of man-made defences on the historic landscape character. This could potentially affect the conservation area, but sympathetic design could minimise the potential significance of this affect. Furthermore, this policy would prevent any further deterioration of the conservation area as well as locally important historic assets from erosion and flooding. Overall, a minor negative impact is expected on balance, though this could reduce to neutral depending on the extent of mitigation and its success in relation to the conservation area.

**PDZ 2E Royal West Norfolk golf club**

In the same way that PDZ 2B was assessed, an historic environment assessment for PDZ 2E has been provided for:

- the preferred policy of HtL for all epochs.

**All epochs**

Preferred policy:

The preferred policy option of HtL would reduce the risks to 12 known locally important historic assets and one regionally important historic asset, as listed below, from erosion or deterioration from increased coastal flooding. However, depending on the scale and type of defences, potential disturbance to historic assets could occur and would need to be examined on a site-by-site basis.

HtL could result in the intrusion of man-made defences on the historic landscape character. The majority of the sites or character features affected are of low (local) importance. Brancaster conservation area could be affected, but sensitive design of defences could avoid or minimise the potential impact.
No historic assets are at risk of flooding other than those identified above as being at risk of erosion. These would remain protected.

HtL could potentially exacerbate the rate of erosion along the foreshore of this unit. This could lead to increased deterioration of the following locally important historic assets.

With respect to the historic environment, the HtL policy would result in a neutral effect due to a combination of protecting locally and regionally important historic assets, and potential negative impacts on a smaller number of locally important historic assets within the foreshore. The negative impacts on the historic landscape character could potentially be avoided.

**PDZ 2F Brancaster and Brancaster Staithe**

In the same way that PDZ 2E was assessed, an historic environment assessment for PDZ 2F has been provided for:

- the preferred policy of HtL for all epochs.

**All epochs**

Preferred policy:

The preferred policy of HtL would reduce the risks to four nationally important historic assets (two listed buildings and two scheduled monuments), nine
known locally important historic assets, as listed below, and part of Brancaster conservation area from erosion or deterioration from increased coastal flooding. However, depending on the scale and type of defences, potential disturbance to historic assets could occur and would need to be examined on a site-by-site basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conservation area</th>
<th>Post-medieval</th>
<th>Brancaster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF18216 Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post medieval</td>
<td>House, inn, brewery, barn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF18218 Grade II* listed building</td>
<td>Post medieval</td>
<td>Barn, house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41671 Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41865 Local</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Pit, oyster beds, linear feature, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41888 Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF43080 Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), enclosure?, building?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF43081 Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Structure, revetment, jetty?, wreck?, breakwater?, mooring bollard?, sluice?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46029 Local</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Breakwater, wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46030 Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Post alignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46032 Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Post alignment, revetment, mooring bollard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41672 Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Tank trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF1003 Scheduled monument NF208</td>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>Vicus, floor, building, ditch, pit, ring ditch, rectilinear enclosure, linear feature?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF1004 Scheduled monument NF208</td>
<td>Early Neolithic to post-medieval</td>
<td>Ditch, post hole, trackway, enclosure, vicus, house, fort, building, site, find spot, find spot, find spot</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HtL could result in the intrusion of man-made defences on the historic landscape character. The majority of the sites or character features affected are of low (local) importance. However, Brancaster conservation area could be affected, but sensitive design of defences could avoid or minimise the potential impact.

In terms of flooding, this policy would retain the existing level of flood risk. There would therefore be no increase in likely flooding and its subsequent potential effects on four nationally important historic assets, part of Brancaster conservation area and 11 locally important historic assets listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conservation area</th>
<th>Post-medieval</th>
<th>Brancaster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF18216 Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post medieval</td>
<td>House, inn, brewery, barn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF18218 Grade II* listed building</td>
<td>Post medieval</td>
<td>Barn, house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF38781 Local</td>
<td>Roman to medieval</td>
<td>Architectural fragment, architectural fragment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41559 Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Find spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41865 Local</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Pit, oyster beds, linear feature, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HtL could potentially exacerbate the rate of erosion along the foreshore of this unit. This could lead to increased deterioration of two locally important historic assets, as listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MNF41670</th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>Post-medieval to modern</th>
<th>Pit, sluice, revetment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF43032</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Structure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With respect to the historic environment, the HtL policy would result in a major positive effect due to a combination of protecting nationally important historic assets and potential negative impacts on a small number of locally important historic assets within the foreshore. The negative impacts on the historic landscape character could potentially be avoided.

**PDZ 2G Reclaimed areas behind Scolt Head Island**
**PDZ2Gi Deepdale and Norton marshes and PDZ 2Giii Overy marshes**

The historic environment assessment for PDZs 2Gi and 2Giii addresses:

- the preferred policy of HtL for epochs 1 and 2
- an alternative policy of MR for epochs 1 and 2
- the preferred policy of MR for epoch 3 and
- an alternative policy option of HtL for epoch 3.

The preferred policy for epoch 3 is conditional MR/HtL but, applying the precautionary principle, the MR policy has been considered as the preferred policy for this assessment. For historic environment features, MR policies are assumed to have a greater negative impact than HtL, despite HtL potentially requiring increased defences and having impacts on landscape character.
Epochs 1 and 2

Preferred policy:

The preferred policy of HtL would reduce the risk of the loss of three regionally important historic assets and 11 locally important historic assets, as listed below, from erosion or deterioration from increased tidal flooding.

| MNF41843 | Local | Post-medieval | Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork) |
| MNF41850 | Local | Post-medieval | Ditch, drainage ditch |
| MNF41882 | Local | Post-medieval | Linear feature, bank (earthwork) |
| MNF41886 | Local | World War two | Military building, structure, pit, weapons pit, gun emplacement? |
| MNF41888 | Local | Post-medieval to modern | Sea defences |
| MNF41896 | Local | Post-medieval to modern | Drainage ditch |
| MNF41899 | Local | Post-medieval | Sea defences |
| MNF41900 | Local | Post-medieval | Flood defences, sea defences, bank (earthwork), groyne |
| MNF43120 | Local | Post-medieval | Ridge and furrow? |
| MNF41864 | Local (group) | World War two | Ditch, slit trench, practice trench |
| MNF41883 | Local (group) | World War two | Structure, structure |
| MNF40222 | Regional | Post-medieval | Sea defences, bank (earthwork) |
| MNF40232 | Regional | Medieval to post-medieval | Sea defences, revetment |
| MNF50506 | Regional | Post-medieval | Sea defences |

HtL could result in the intrusion of man-made defences on the historic landscape character. The majority of the sites or character features affected are of low (local) importance.

In terms of flooding, this policy would retain the existing level of flood risk. There would therefore be no increase in likely flooding and its subsequent potential effects on one regionally important historic asset and 12 locally important historic assets listed below.

| MNF41847 | Local | Medieval to post-medieval | Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork) |
| MNF41851 | Local | Medieval to post-medieval | Industrial site, malt kiln?, malt house, rectilinear enclosure, ditch, bank (earthwork) |
| MNF41868 | Local | Early Neolithic to modern | Trackway, trackway, rectilinear enclosure, pit alignment?, pit alignment?, bank (earthwork), ditch, building?, enclosure?, pit?, field system? |
| MNF41888 | Local | Post-medieval to modern | Sea defences |
| MNF43103 | Local | Medieval to modern | Enclosure?, enclosure?, mound, linear feature, ring ditch, garden feature, earthwork |
| MNF50505 | Regional | Post-medieval to modern | Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork), sea defences? |
| MNF41844 | Local | Post-medieval to modern | Extractive pit, ditch |
| MNF41845 | Local | Post-medieval to modern | Linear feature, ditch, drain |
HtL for these PDZs is not expected to exacerbate the rate of erosion along the foreshore.

With respect to the historic environment, the HtL policy would result in a minor positive effect due to protecting regionally and locally important historic assets and with a neutral impact on historic landscape character.

Alternative policy option:

An alternative policy of MR would result in additional flood risk to six locally important historic assets. It would potentially result in the loss within these epochs of three regionally important historic assets and 11 locally important historic assets listed earlier that would be reduced by HtL.

MR of a defence line could itself result in the disturbance of historic assets depending on where a new defence line is built. Man-made (defence) features could intrude on the historic landscape character of this area, though there are only considered to be locally important features present.

Overall, given the potential loss of historic assets over time and the potential for adverse impacts, a minor negative effect could occur on the historic environment.

**Epoch 3**

Preferred policy:

The policy of MR for epoch 3 could potentially result in the erosion and loss of three regionally important historic assets and 11 locally important historic assets that would otherwise be protected under the HtL policy as listed for epochs 1 and 2. The likelihood of the loss is unknown as there would be uncertainty about the extent and depth of any long-term erosion or rollback, but it could potentially arise. In addition, currently unknown archaeological sites or features could also be at risk from long-term erosion. Furthermore, it would result in an increase in the number of locally important historic assets at risk of flooding.

There is a potential for disturbance to the historic landscape character through the implementation of MR and setting back any defences, though this would only affect locally important features.

Overall, the policy has been assessed as having a minor negative effect in terms of potential disturbance to the historic assets due to erosion/rollback and flooding.
Alternative policy option:

Alternative policies of HtL in epoch 3 would result in potential disturbance to historic environment features from increased/improved coastal defence measures. It would also result in the intrusion of man-made defences on the historic landscape character, though only locally important features would be affected.

Furthermore, this policy would reduce the risks to regionally and locally important historic assets from erosion and flooding, so overall a minor positive effect would arise on the historic environment.

**PDZ 2Gii River Burn outfall**

In the same way that PDZ 2F was assessed, an historic environment assessment for PDZ 2Gii has been provided for:

- the preferred policy of HtL for all epochs.

**All epochs**

Preferred policy:

The preferred policy of HtL would reduce the loss of two regionally important historic assets, four known locally important historic assets, as listed below.

and part of the Burnham Overy Staithe conservation area from erosion or deterioration from increased coastal flooding. However, depending on the scale and type of defences, potential disturbance to historic assets could occur and would need to be examined on a site-by-site basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conservation area</th>
<th>Post-medieval to modern</th>
<th>Burnham Overy Staithe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF41865 Local</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Pit, oyster beds, linear feature, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41873 Local</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Rectilinear enclosure, rectilinear enclosure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF20877 Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Spigot mortar emplacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46036 Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Aircraft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF50505 Regional</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork), sea defences?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF50506 Regional</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HtL could result in the intrusion of man-made defences on the historic landscape character. The majority of the sites or character features affected are of low (local) importance. However, Burnham Overy Staithe conservation area could be affected, but sensitive design of defences could avoid or minimise the potential impact.
In terms of flooding, this policy would retain the existing level of flood risk. There would therefore be no increase in likely flooding and its subsequent potential effects on 12 nationally important historic assets, one regionally important historic asset, parts of Burnham Overy Mill, Burnham Norton and Burnham Overy Staithe conservation areas and 27 locally important historic assets listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conservation area</th>
<th>Post-medieval</th>
<th>Burnham Overy Mill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conservation area</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Burnham Norton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservation area</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Burnham Overy Staithe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF1766 Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post medieval</td>
<td>Saw mill, watermill, watercourse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF1772 Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>House, windmill, stable, watermill, site, site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41620 Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>House, sculpture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF48836 Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Medieval to modern</td>
<td>House, guest house, infirmary, building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF49015 Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Stable, hayloft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF49017 Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Mill house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF9616 Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>House, house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF1733 Grade II* listed building</td>
<td>Late Saxon to post-medieval</td>
<td>Church</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF1761 Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF1762 Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Trackway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF20343 Local</td>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>Building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF28279 Local</td>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41832 Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Extractive pit, bank (earthwork), trackway, ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41834 Local</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41841 Local</td>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>Site, site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41842 Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Linear feature, field boundary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41848 Local</td>
<td>Early iron age to Roman</td>
<td>Square enclosure, linear feature, square enclosure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41849 Local</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Ditch, drain, ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41852 Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Linear feature, ditch, trackway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41853 Local</td>
<td>Late prehistoric</td>
<td>Ring ditch, ring ditch, circular enclosure, circular enclosure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41861 Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Site, water channel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41865 Local</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Pit, oyster beds, linear feature, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41882 Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Linear feature, bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41894 Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41899 Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41900 Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Flood defences, sea defences, bank (earthwork), groyne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF43125 Local</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Linear feature, field boundary, field system, drainage system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46038 Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Sluice</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HtL could potentially exacerbate the rate of erosion along the foreshore of this PDZ. This could lead to increased deterioration of one regionally important historic asset and 22 locally important historic assets, as listed below.
With respect to the historic environment, the HtL policy would result in a major positive effect due to a combination of protecting a large number of nationally and regionally important historic assets and potential negative impacts on a number of locally and regionally important historic assets within the foreshore. The negative impacts on the historic landscape character could potentially be avoided.

**PDZ 2H Burnham Overy Staithe**

In the same way that PDZ 2Gii was assessed, an historic environment assessment for PDZ 2H has been provided for:

- the preferred policy of HtL for all epochs.

**All epochs**

**Preferred policy:**

The preferred policy option of HtL would reduce the loss of three known locally important historic assets, as listed below, and part of Burnham Overy Staithe conservation area from erosion or deterioration from increased coastal flooding. However, depending on the scale and type of defences, potential disturbance to historic assets could occur and would need to be examined on a site-by-site basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conservation area</th>
<th>Post-medieval to modern</th>
<th>Burnham Overy Staithe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF41890</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Pit, oyster beds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41893</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Drainage system?, land reclamation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46044</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Jetty</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HtL could result in the intrusion of man-made defences on the historic landscape character. The majority of the sites or character features affected are of low (local) importance. However, Burnham Overy Staithe conservation area could be affected, but sensitive design of defences could avoid or minimise the potential impact.

In terms of flooding, this policy would retain the existing level of flood risk. There would therefore be no increase in likely flooding and its subsequent potential effects on two nationally important historic assets, parts of Burnham Overy Mill and Burnham Overy Staithe conservation areas and five locally important historic assets listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conservation area</th>
<th>Post-medieval to modern</th>
<th>Burnham Overy mill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conservation area</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Burnham Overy Staithe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF47661</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>House, coastguards cottage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF49018</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Managers house, maltings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF20875</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Fortification, granary, loop-holed wall</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HtL could potentially exacerbate the rate of erosion along the foreshore of this PDZ. This could lead to increased deterioration of 14 locally important historic assets, as listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF20876</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval House</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF39507</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Medieval Hearth, pit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41891</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two Tank</td>
<td>trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41892</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two Tank</td>
<td>trap</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With respect to the historic environment, the HtL policy would result in a major positive effect due to a combination of protecting a number of locally important historic assets and a conservation area and potential negative impacts on a number of locally important historic assets within the foreshore. The negative impact on the historic landscape character could potentially be avoided.

**PDZ 2I Holkham dunes**

In the same way that PDZ 2C was assessed, an historic environment assessment for PDZ 2I has been provided for:

- the preferred policy of MR for all epochs.

**All epochs**

**Preferred policy:**

The MR intent for this PDZ entails managing the dune system to ensure that its flood defence function is maintained. This is not likely to require significant, if any, man-made structures. It is not likely to result in significant erosion, with the exception of those sites that are located along the current dune line which may become exposed if the dune system rolls back with sea level rise. Consequently, it is expected that the following two regionally and 11 locally
important historic assets behind the current dune line are likely to remain
protected in the long term.

| MNF32113 | Local | Post-medieval to modern | Sea defences, sea defences |
| MNF41155 | Local | Unknown                  | Oyster beds, extractive pit |
| MNF44074 | Local | Unknown                  | Structure                  |
| MNF32433 | Local (group) | World War two | Coastal battery |
| MNF32434 | Local (group) | World War two | Spigot mortar emplacement, slit trench |
| MNF40218 | Local (group) | World War two | Military building, bunker |
| MNF41148 | Local (group) | World War two | Pillbox |
| MNF41149 | Local (group) | World War two | Pillbox |
| MNF41152 | Local (group) | World War two | Beach defence, beach scaffolding |
| MNF41157 | Local (group) | World War two | Coastal battery, command post, coast artillery searchlight, gun emplacement, military camp |
| MNF43122 | Local (group) | World War two | Pillbox |
| MNF1796  | Regional | Post-medieval | Sea defences, sea defences |
| MNF41169 | Regional | Post-medieval | Sea defences, revetment?, quay? |

MR would not entail the use of significant man-made (defence) features.
Management measures would be used that would not intrude on the historic
landscape character of this area, specifically Holkham conservation area.

In terms of flooding, this policy would retain the existing level of flood risk.
There would therefore be no increase in likely flooding and its subsequent
potential effects on part of Holkham conservation area, five nationally
important historic assets, eight regionally important historic assets and 43
locally important historic assets, as listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conservation area</th>
<th>Post-medieval</th>
<th>Holkham</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF12655</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF44693</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF48725</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF9615</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF11686</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Bronze age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF11687</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Bronze age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF11922</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Bronze age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF13590</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to cold war</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF1795</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF40227</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF40228</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF40229</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41138</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41141</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41142</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41146</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41154</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41155</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41158</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41159</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41160</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41161</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41162</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF43087</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF43103</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Medieval to modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF43112</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF43113</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF43120</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF44075</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF44080</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF12654</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF12656</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF23977</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF32418</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF32420</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF32421</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF32437</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF38747</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF40221</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF40231</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF40233</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41148</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41149</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41150</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF43118</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF43122</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF43124</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF1775</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Mesolithic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF1796</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF40222</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF40223</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF40230</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41147</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41169</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF50505</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This policy would not exacerbate the rate of erosion along the foreshore of this PDZ. It would not therefore result in any additional deterioration of one locally important historic asset listed below.

Overall, the MR policy would result in a minor positive effect due to the relative protection of the 13 historic assets, avoidance of erosion to another locally important historic asset and no change to historic landscape character.

**PDZ 2J Wells flood embankment**

In the same way that PDZ 2H was assessed, an historic environment assessment for PDZ 2J has been provided for:

- the preferred policy of HtL for all epochs.

**All epochs**

Preferred policy:

The preferred policy of HtL would reduce the loss of two nationally important historic assets, part of Wells-next-the-Sea conservation area and four known locally important historic assets, as listed below, from erosion or deterioration from increased coastal flooding. However, depending on the scale and type of defences, potential disturbance to historic assets could potentially occur and would need to be examined on a site-by-site basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conservation area</th>
<th>Post-medieval</th>
<th>Wells-next-the-Sea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF52469</td>
<td>Grade II listed</td>
<td>Lifeboat station, lifeboat station, reading room, museum, tea room, commemorative monument, commemorative monument, shed, loggia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52794</td>
<td>Grade II listed</td>
<td>Malt house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF13589</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Railway, railway transport site, railway embankment, railway cutting, railway junction, brick kiln</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF57231</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Commemorative monument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF32434</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Spigot mortar emplacement, slit trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41163</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Tank trap, road block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HtL could result in the intrusion of man-made defences on the historic landscape character. The majority of the sites or character features affected are of low (local) importance. However, Wells-next-the-Sea conservation area
could be affected, but sensitive design of defences could avoid or minimise the potential impact.

In terms of flooding, this policy would retain the existing level of flood risk. There would therefore be no increase in likely flooding and its subsequent potential effects on 13 nationally important historic assets, parts of Wells-next-the-Sea conservation area, one regionally important asset and 15 locally important historic assets listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conservation area</th>
<th>Post-medieval</th>
<th>Wells-next-the-Sea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF23192</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF51709</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF51710</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF51711</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF51712</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52435</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52436</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52460</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52696</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52708</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52794</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52795</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52903</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF11686</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Bronze age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF11687</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Bronze age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF40227</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41154</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41155</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41158</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41159</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41160</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41161</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41162</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF43087</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF44075</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF44080</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF23977</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF32437</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF1796</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HtL could potentially exacerbate the rate of erosion/rollback along the foreshore of this PDZ. This could lead to increased deterioration of one regionally important historic asset and one locally important historic asset, as listed below.
With respect to the historic environment, the HtL policy would result in a major positive effect due to a combination of reducing risk to a number of nationally and locally important historic assets and a conservation area, and potential negative impacts on a locally and a regionally important site within the foreshore. The negative impacts on the historic landscape character could potentially be avoided.

**PDZ 2K Wells quay**

In the same way that PDZ 2J was assessed, an historic environment assessment for PDZ 2K has been provided for:

- the preferred policy of HtL for all epochs.

**All epochs**

Preferred policy:

The preferred policy of HtL would reduce the risks to two nationally important historic assets, part of Wells-next-the-Sea conservation area and six known locally important historic assets, as listed below, from erosion or deterioration from increased tidal flooding. However, depending on the scale and type of defences, potential disturbance to historic assets could occur and would need to be examined on a site-by-site basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conservation area</th>
<th>Post-medieval</th>
<th>Wells-next-the-Sea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF51708</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52458</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF13589</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to cold war</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41168</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46049</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46057</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46058</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46063</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HtL could result in the intrusion of man-made defences on the historic landscape character. The majority of the sites or character features affected are of low (local) importance. However, Wells-next-the-Sea conservation area could be affected, but sensitive design of defences could avoid or minimise the potential impact.
In terms of flooding, this policy would retain the existing level of flood risk. There would therefore be no increase in likely flooding and its subsequent potential effects on four nationally important historic assets, parts of Wells-next-the-Sea conservation area and three locally important historic assets listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conservation area</th>
<th>Post-medieval to modern</th>
<th>Wells-next-the-Sea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF52451</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52697</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52699</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52702</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF13589</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to cold war</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF1816</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46049</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HtL could potentially exacerbate the rate of erosion/rollback along the foreshore of this PDZ. This could lead to increased deterioration of two regionally important historic assets and 18 locally important historic assets, as listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MNF1806</th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>Post-medieval</th>
<th>Linear feature, sea defences, drainage system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF41168</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41175</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, causeway, trackway, ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41176</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Drove road, bridge, trackway, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF44077</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Wreck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46050</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Post alignment, revetment, slipway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46051</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46055</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46056</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Post alignment, revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46058</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Breakwater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46062</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Midden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46063</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Railway, winch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46073</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Structure, jetty, quay, trackway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46075</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Feature, sea defences, landing stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46076</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Marker post, post alignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46078</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Structure, landing stage, quay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46079</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Revetment, jetty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46574</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Post group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46071</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Quay, revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46074</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Jetty, quay</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With respect to the historic environment, the HtL policy would result in a major positive effect due to a combination of reducing risk to a number of nationally and locally important historic assets and a conservation area, and potential negative impacts on a number of locally and regionally important historic
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assets within the foreshore. The negative impacts on the historic landscape character could potentially be avoided.

**PDZ 2L Wells east bank**

In the same way that PDZ 2K was assessed, an historic environment assessment for PDZ 2L has been provided for:

- the preferred policy of HtL for all epochs
- an alternative policy of MR for all epochs.

**All epochs**

**Preferred policy:**

The preferred policy of HtL would reduce the loss of three known locally important historic assets, as listed below, from erosion or deterioration by increased coastal flooding. However, depending on the scale and type of defences, potential disturbance to historic assets could occur and would need to be examined on a site-by-site basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Conservation area</th>
<th>Post-medi eval</th>
<th>Wells-next-the-Sea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF41168</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46060</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Wreck</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46572</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post alignment, revetment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HtL could result in the intrusion of man-made defences on the historic landscape character. The majority of the sites or character features affected are of low (local) importance. However, Wells-next-the-Sea conservation area could be affected, but sensitive design of defences could avoid or minimise the potential impact.

In terms of flooding, this policy would retain the existing level of flood risk. There would therefore be no increase in likely flooding and its subsequent potential effects on 13 nationally important historic assets, parts of Wells-next-the-Sea conservation area and eight locally important historic assets listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Conservation area</th>
<th>Post-medi eval</th>
<th>Wells-next-the-Sea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF1851</td>
<td>Grade I listed building</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Church, church</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF12122</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medi eval</td>
<td>House, house</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF51700</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medi eval</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF51701</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medi eval</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52431</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medi eval</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52446</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medi eval</td>
<td>House, shop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52492</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medi eval</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52493</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medi eval</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52694</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medi eval</td>
<td>House, shop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52872</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medi eval</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Grade/Local</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF11767</td>
<td>Grade II* Listed</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>House, sundial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF11768</td>
<td>Grade II* Listed</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF13047</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Watercourse?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF13588</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Railway, railway transport site, railway embankment, railway cutting, railway bridge, railway junction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF13589</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to cold war</td>
<td>Railway, railway transport site, railway embankment, railway cutting, railway junction, brick kiln</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF13590</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to cold war</td>
<td>Railway, railway transport site, field boundary, bank (earthwork), embankment, signal box, railway station, goods shed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF15815</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Brick kiln, windmill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF1848</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Building, farmyard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41168</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF44084</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork), ditch, ditch, house platform, toft</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF43128</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>Prehistoric</td>
<td>Ring ditch, enclosure, ring ditch, rectilinear enclosure, mortuary enclosure, long barrow?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HtL could potentially exacerbate the rate of erosion/rollback along the foreshore of this PDZ. This could lead to increased deterioration of 10 locally important historic assets, as listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF1806</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF40219</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41171</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41176</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46059</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46060</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46064</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46082</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46572</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46575</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With respect to the historic environment, the HtL policy would result in a minor positive effect due to a combination of reducing risk to a number of locally important historic assets, continued flood protection to nationally and locally important historic assets and a conservation area and potential negative impacts on a number of locally important historic assets within the foreshore. Negative impacts on the historic landscape character could potentially be avoided.
Alternative policy:

An alternative policy of MR would provide no additional flood protection but would potentially result in the loss within these epochs of some of the three locally important historic assets listed earlier that would be prevented by HtL.

MR of a defence line could itself result in the disturbance to historic assets depending on where a new defence line is built. Man-made (defence) features could intrude on the historic landscape character of this area, in particular Wells-next-the-Sea conservation area, which could be of significance.

Overall, given the potential loss of historic assets in the long term, and the potential significance of increased flooding to historic assets, a major negative effect could occur on the historic environment.

**PDZ 2M Stiffkey bay**

In the same way that PDZ 2C was assessed, an historic environment assessment for PDZ 2M has been provided for:

- the preferred policy of NAI for all epochs.

**All epochs**

Preferred policy:

NAI throughout all epochs would result in the risk of erosion to four locally important historic assets, as listed below. Over the epochs as sea level rise occurs, inundation and possible erosion may result in the loss of these historic assets, as well as other currently unknown archaeological sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Asset Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF40220</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41172</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Saltern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41173</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46086</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Mooring bollard</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There would be no changes to the historic landscape character from intrusion of defences, though increasing erosion or rollback and sea level encroachment would naturally alter the historic landscape character.

In terms of flooding, this policy would retain the existing level of flood risk. There would therefore be no increase in likely flooding and its subsequent potential effects on 23 locally important and one regionally important historic assets as listed below.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MNF13047</th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
<th>Watercourse?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF13076</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>Site, rectilinear enclosure, rectilinear enclosure, rectilinear enclosure, trapezoidal enclosure, trackway, linear feature, curvilinear enclosure?, pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF21347</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Trackway, bridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF29592</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Barn, trackway, floor, barn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF31440</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Lower Palaeolithic to post-medieval</td>
<td>Site, pit alignment, rectilinear enclosure, rectilinear enclosure, square enclosure, square enclosure, linear feature, pit alignment, pit alignment, field boundary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41172</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Saltern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41173</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41174</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Pond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF41176</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Drove road, bridge, trackway, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46081</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Bridge, structure, path</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46083</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Cold war</td>
<td>Military building, structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46085</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Hollow, quarry, marl pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46086</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Mooring bollard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46097</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork), sea defences, ditch, drainage ditch, enclosure?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF54365</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences, ditch, drain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF54366</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF54367</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Pit, ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF54369</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ditch, drainage ditch, bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF54371</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Pit, fish pond?, oyster beds?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF54374</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF54390</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF54388</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War one to World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox, structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF54389</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Structure, pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF12747</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Military camp, underground military headquarters, bomb store, rotary launcher, anti-aircraft defence site, military building, Nissen hut, slit trench, pillbox, transmitter site, hut, military training site</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This policy would not exacerbate the rate of erosion along the foreshore of this unit. It would not therefore result in any additional deterioration to seven locally important historic assets listed below.
Overall, the NAI policy would result in a neutral effect due to the positive impact of avoiding increased erosion to seven historic assets within the foreshore being offset by the negative impact of possible deterioration of four known historic assets.

PDZ 3Ai River Stiffkey outfall

In the same way that PDZ 2L was assessed, an historic environment assessment for PDZ 3Ai has been provided for:

- the preferred policy of HtL for all epochs
- an alternative policy of MR for all epochs.

All epochs

Preferred policy:

The preferred policy of HtL would reduce the risks to two known locally important historic assets from erosion or deterioration from increased coastal flooding, as listed below. However, depending on the scale and type of defences, potential disturbance to historic assets could occur and would need to be examined on a site-by-site basis.

| MNF54375 | Local | Post-medieval | Bank (earthwork), sea defences |
| MNF54376 | Local | Post-medieval | Bank (earthwork), sea defences, drainage ditch |

HtL could result in the intrusion of man-made defences on the historic landscape character. The majority of the sites or character features affected are of low (local) importance. However, Stiffkey conservation area could be affected, but sensitive design of defences could avoid or minimise the potential impact.

In terms of flooding, this policy would retain the existing level of flood risk. There would therefore be no increase in likely flooding and its subsequent potential effects on 20 nationally important historic assets, parts of Stiffkey conservation area and 13 locally important historic assets listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conservation area</th>
<th>Post-medieval</th>
<th>Stiffkey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF1887</td>
<td>Grade I listed building</td>
<td>Medieval to modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF30488</td>
<td>Grade II historic park and garden</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF12739</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF12740</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF12741</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HtL could potentially exacerbate the rate of erosion along the foreshore of this unit. This could lead to increased deterioration of four locally important historic assets, as listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF19359</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval House, house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF23387</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Medieval to modern House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF51684</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF51718</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Medieval to modern Terraced house, barn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52302</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52376</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52534</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern House, house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52549</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52661</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern Shop, outbuilding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52673</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern Terraced house, terrace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52712</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52858</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Unknown to modern House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52861</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern Barn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF12738</td>
<td>Grade II* listed building</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval Guildhall, timber-framed building, house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF1883</td>
<td>Grade II* listed building</td>
<td>Medieval to modern Cross, gatehouse, great house, garden wall, great house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF12744</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Medieval                      Cross</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF18139</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval Barn, house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF1872</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval                 Watermill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF30712</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Medieval                      Field system, bank (earthwork), rectangular enclosure, toft, bank (earthwork), croft, building platform, deserted settlement, field system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF32793</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval     Watermill, pond, causeway, bank (earthwork), causeway, bank (earthwork), ditch, drainage ditch, enclosure?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF45713</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval     Common land, wood bank, quarry, bank (earthwork), trackway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF54356</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval     Ditch, ditch, hollow way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF54362</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown                       Linear feature, ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF54363</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval     Bank (earthwork), causeway, platform, ditch, field system?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF54398</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval                 Bank (earthwork), field boundary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF54400</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval                 Bank (earthwork), sea defences, ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF54402</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval                 Bank (earthwork), ditch, drainage ditch, square enclosure?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF54391</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two                 Structure, spigot mortar emplacement?, gun emplacement?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
With respect to the historic environment, the HtL policy would result in a minor positive effect due to a combination of protecting a number of nationally and locally important historic assets and a conservation area and potential negative impacts on a number of locally important historic assets within the foreshore. The negative impacts on the historic landscape character could potentially be avoided.

Alternative policy:

An alternative policy of MR would provide no additional flood protection. It would, however, potentially result in the loss within these epochs of some of the two locally important historic assets listed earlier that would be reduced by HtL.

MR of a defence line could itself result in the disturbance of historic assets depending on where a new defence line is built. Man-made (defence) features could intrude on the historic landscape character of this area, in particular Wells-next-the-Sea conservation area, which could be of significance.

Overall, given the potential loss of historic assets in the long term, and the potential significance of increased flooding to historic assets, a major negative impact could occur on the historic environment.

**PDZ 3Aii Morston**

In the same way that PDZ 3Ai was assessed, an historic environment assessment for PDZ 3Aii has been provided for:

- the preferred policy of HtL for all epochs.

**All epochs**

Preferred policy:

The preferred policy of HtL would reduce the risks to five known locally important historic assets from erosion or deterioration from increased coastal flooding, as listed below. However, depending on the scale and type of defences, potential disturbance to historic assets could occur and would need to be examined on a site-by-site basis.
HtL could result in the intrusion of man-made defences on the historic landscape character. The majority of the sites or character features affected are of low (local) importance. However, part of Morston conservation area could be affected, but sensitive design of soft defences could avoid or minimise the potential impact.

In terms of flooding, this policy would retain the existing level of flood risk. There would therefore be no increase in likely flooding and its subsequent potential effects on one nationally important historic asset, parts of Morton conservation area and one locally important historic asset, listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conservation area</th>
<th>Post-medieval</th>
<th>Morston</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF39207</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF23981</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HtL could potentially exacerbate the rate of erosion along the foreshore of this unit. This could lead to increased deterioration of nine locally important historic assets, as listed below.

| MNF22878          | Local         | Post-medieval | Wreck |
| MNF46098          | Local         | Post-medieval | Sea defences, bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork), sea defences |
| MNF46106          | Local         | Unknown       | Wreck |
| MNF46107          | Local         | Unknown       | Jetty |
| MNF46109          | Local         | Unknown       | Jetty |
| MNF46111          | Local         | Unknown       | Revetment |
| MNF46112          | Local         | Unknown       | Post alignment, quay, jetty, revetment |
| MNF46294          | Local         | Post-medieval | Drove road, drove road, ditch, ditch, bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork) |
| MNF46295          | Local         | Post-medieval | Drove road, drove road, ditch, ditch, bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork) |

With respect to the historic environment, the HtL policy would result in a minor positive impact due to a combination of reducing risk to a number of locally important historic assets and a conservation area and potential negative impacts on a number of locally important historic assets within the foreshore. The negative impacts on the historic landscape character could potentially be avoided.
PDZ 3Aiii Blakeney Freshes marshes

An historic environment assessment for PDZ 3Aiii has been provided for:

- the preferred policy of HtL for epoch 1
- an alternative policy of MR for epoch 1
- the preferred policy of MR for epoch 2
- an alternative policy of HtL for epoch 2 and
- the preferred policy of HtL for epoch 3.

Epoch 1

Preferred policy:

The preferred policy option of HtL would reduce the risks to part of Blakeney conservation area, two nationally important historic assets, one regionally important historic asset and nine locally important historic assets, as listed below, from erosion or deterioration from increased coastal flooding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF46121</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown Trackway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46125</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown Mound, bank (earthwork), sea defences, salt works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46126</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown Mound, bank (earthwork), salt works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46298</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval Saltern, saltern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46301</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval Ditch, drainage system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF47482</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval Brickworks?, salt works?, mound?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF47483</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern Sluice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF47484</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown Field boundary, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF32455</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conservation area</td>
<td>Post-medieval Blakeney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF42147</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>Early Neolithic to post-medieval Animal burial, occupation site, post hole, enclosure, pit, building, enclosure, hearth, settlement?, pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46101</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Post-medieval Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF6245</td>
<td>Scheduled monument NF305 / listed building grade II</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval Chapel, fortification, earthwork, hermitage, inhumation, house</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HtL could result in the intrusion of man-made defences on the historic landscape character. The majority of the sites or character features affected are of low (local) importance. However, part of Blakeney conservation area could be affected, but sensitive design of soft defences could avoid or minimise the potential impact.

In terms of flooding, this policy would retain the existing level of flood risk. There would therefore be no increase in likely flooding and its subsequent potential effects on four nationally important, one of the regionally important,
and 14 locally important historic assets listed below (the other regionally important asset is itself a sea defence).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF6159</td>
<td>Grade II* listed building</td>
<td>Medieval to modern</td>
<td>Great house, great house, great house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF3159</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF45241</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Undated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46298</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Saltern, saltern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46301</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ditch, drainage system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF47482</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Brickworks?, salt works?, mound?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF47484</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Field boundary, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF47485</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Mound, salt works, hollow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF47486</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Extractive pit?, boat yard?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF47487</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Extractive pit?, boat yard?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF47490</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Quarry, pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conservation area</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Blakeney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF42147</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>Early Neolithic to post-medieval</td>
<td>Animal burial, occupation site, post hole, enclosure, pit, building, enclosure, hearth, settlement?, pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46101</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46271</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF6245</td>
<td>Scheduled monument NF305 / listed building grade II</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Chapel, fortification, earthwork, hermitage, inhumation, house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46116</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Wreck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46121</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Trackway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46125</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Mound, bank (earthwork), sea defences, salt works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46119</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Structure, building, platform</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HtL for these units is not expected to exacerbate the rate of erosion or rollback along the foreshore. Although there are currently no recorded sites at risk, there is a potential for unrecorded sites to be affected.

With respect to the historic environment, the HtL policy would result in a major positive effect due to a combination of protecting nationally, regionally and locally important historic assets, potential negative impacts on unknown sites from possible increase in the rate of foreshore erosion, and neutral impacts on historic landscape character.

Alternative policy option:

An alternative policy of MR could potentially result in loss of part of Blakeney conservation area, two nationally important historic assets, one regionally important historic asset and fourteen locally important historic assets listed earlier that would otherwise be protected by HtL. The actual sites affected would depend on the MR set-back line.

MR of a defence line could itself result in the disturbance to historic assets depending on where a new defence line is built. Man-made (defence)
features could intrude on the historic landscape character of this area, notably Blakeney conservation area, which could also be exacerbated by additional flooding.

Overall, given the potential loss of historic assets and the potential for adverse impacts on historic landscape character, a potential major negative effect could occur on the historic environment. However, the significance of the impact could be reduced depending on the set-back line of the defence.

Epoch 2

Preferred policy:

The policy of MR for epoch 2 could potentially result in the erosion and loss of part of Blakeney conservation area, two nationally important historic assets, one regionally important historic asset and fourteen locally important historic assets that would otherwise be protected under the HtL policy as listed for epoch 1. The likelihood of the loss is unknown as there would be uncertainty about the extent and depth of any long-term erosion, as well as the extent of the MR set-back line. In addition, currently unknown archaeological sites or features could also be at risk from long-term erosion.

There is a potential for disturbance to the historic landscape character through the implementation of MR and setting back any defences. This would affect locally important features and Blakeney conservation area, as well as the possible setting of one scheduled monument.

Overall, the policy has been assessed as having a potential major negative effect in terms of potential disturbance to the historic assets due to erosion and flooding. However, the significance of the impact could be much reduced depending on the set-back line of the defence (to be determined at scheme level).

Alternative policy option:

The alternative policy of HtL in epoch 2 would result in potential disturbance to historic environment features from increased/improved coastal defence measures. It could also result in the intrusion of man-made defences on the historic landscape character, affecting a conservation area and a scheduled monument, depending on where the HtL defence line is located.

However, this policy could potentially prevent nationally, regionally and locally important historic assets from erosion and flooding and overall a potential major positive effect could arise on the historic environment.

Epoch 3

The preferred policy of HtL will follow a MR policy in epoch 2 (preferred). In this case, no additional positive or negative effect will occur and the effect of
this policy is therefore considered to be neutral. No alternative policy was assessed.

**PDZ 3Aiv River Glaven outfall**

In the same way that PDZ 3Aii was assessed, an historic environment assessment for PDZ 3Aiv has been provided for:

- the preferred policy of HtL for all epochs.

**All epochs**

Preferred policy:

The preferred policy of HtL would reduce the risks to part of Cley conservation area, two regionally important historic assets and four locally important historic assets, as listed below, from erosion or deterioration from increased coastal flooding. However, depending on the scale and type of defences, potential disturbance to historic assets could occur and would need to be examined on a site-by-site basis.

| MNF46298 | Local | Medieval to post-medieval | Saltern, saltern |
| MNF45243 | Local (group) | Undated | |
| MNF46301 | Local | Post-medieval | Ditch, drainage system |
| MNF47491 | Local (group) | World War two | Pedestal, spigot mortar emplacement |
| MNF46101 | Regional | Post-medieval | Cley |
| MNF46146 | Regional | Post-medieval | Sea defences, sea defences |

HtL could result in the intrusion of man-made defences on the historic landscape character. The majority of the sites or character features affected are of low (local) importance. However, Cley conservation area could be affected, but sensitive design of defences could avoid or minimise the potential impact.

In terms of flooding, this policy would retain the existing level of flood risk. There would therefore be no increase in likely flooding and its subsequent potential effects on 17 nationally important historic assets, parts of Cley conservation area, two regionally important historic assets and six locally important historic assets, listed below.

| Conservation area | Post-medieval | Cley |
| MNF12051 | Grade II listed building | Medieval to post-medieval | House, house |
| MNF31024 | Grade II listed building | Post-medieval | House, smoke house, shop |
| MNF31025 | Grade II listed building | Post-medieval | House, folly |
| MNF31026 | Grade II listed building | Post-medieval | House |
| MNF31027 | Grade II listed building | Post-medieval | House, inn |
HtL could potentially exacerbate the rate of erosion or rollback along the foreshore of this PDZ. This could lead to increased deterioration of unrecorded historic assets.

With respect to the historic environment, the HtL policy would result in major positive effect due to a combination of reducing risk to a number of regionally and locally important historic assets and a conservation area, and potential limited negative impacts on unrecorded historic assets within the foreshore. The negative impacts on the historic landscape character could potentially be avoided.

**PDZ 3Av Cley marshes**

In the same way that PDZ 3G was assessed, an historic environment assessment for PDZ 3Av has been provided for:

- the preferred policy of HtL for epochs 1 and 2
- an alternative policy of MR for epochs 1 and 2
- the preferred policy of MR for epoch 3 and
- an alternative policy of HtL for epoch 3.

Although the preferred policy for epoch 3 is conditional MR/HtL, under the precautionary principle the MR policy has been considered as the preferred
policy for this assessment. This is because MR policies are assumed to have a greater negative impact than HtL for historic environment features despite a HtL policy potentially requiring increased defences with impacts on landscape character.

**Epochs 1 and 2**

Preferred policy:

The preferred policy of HtL would reduce the risks to part of Cley conservation area, four regionally important historic assets and three locally important historic assets, as listed below, from erosion or deterioration from increased coastal flooding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF46290</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Military camp, Nissen hut, hut, building, prisoner of war camp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46291</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Barbed wire obstruction, military building, defended locality, Nissen hut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF45243</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Undated</td>
<td>Conservation area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46149</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46150</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF33214</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Fort, floor, wall, earthwork, earthwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46146</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, sea defences</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HtL could result in the intrusion of man-made defences on the historic landscape character. The majority of the sites or character features affected are of low (local) importance. However, Cley conservation area could be affected, but sensitive design of defences could avoid or minimise the potential impact.

In terms of flooding, this policy would retain the existing level of flood risk. There would therefore be no increase in likely flooding and its subsequent potential effects on Cley conservation area, one nationally important historic asset, four regionally important historic assets and 14 locally important historic assets listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF46293</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF6152</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), salt works, salt works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46147</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Platform, observation post, Royal Observer Corps site, pillbox, structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46290</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Military camp, Nissen hut, hut, building, prisoner of war camp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46104</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Beach defence, structure, structure, pit, pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46291</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Barbed wire obstruction, military building, defended locality, Nissen hut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF47492</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), ditch, land reclamation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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HtL could potentially exacerbate the rate of erosion/rollback along the foreshore of this PDZ. This could lead to increased deterioration of one regionally important historic asset, as listed below.

With respect to the historic environment, the HtL policy would result in a minor positive effect due to a combination of protecting regionally and locally important historic assets, neutral impacts on historic landscape character and limited negative impacts from deterioration of a regionally important site in the foreshore.

Alternative policy option:

An alternative policy of MR would result in the erosion of part of Cley conservation area, four regionally important historic assets and three locally important historic assets, listed earlier, that would be reduced by HtL.

MR of a defence line could itself result in the disturbance of historic assets depending on where a new defence line is built. Man-made (defence) features could intrude on the historic landscape character of this area, notably Cley conservation area, which could also be exacerbated by additional flooding.

Overall, given the potential loss of historic assets in the long term and the potential for adverse impacts on historic landscape character, a minor negative effect could occur on the historic environment.
**Epoch 3**

**Preferred policy:**

The policy of MR for epoch 3 could potentially result in the erosion and loss of part of Cley conservation area, four regionally important historic assets and three locally important historic assets that would otherwise be protected under the HtL policy as listed for epochs 1 and 2. The likelihood of the loss is unknown as there would be uncertainty about the extent and depth of any long-term erosion, but it could potentially arise. In addition, currently unknown archaeological sites or features could also be at risk from long-term erosion.

HtL could result in the intrusion of man-made defences on the historic landscape character. The majority of the sites or character features affected are of low (local) importance. However, Cley conservation area could be affected, but sensitive design of soft defences could avoid or minimise the potential impact.

Overall, the policy has been assessed as having a minor negative effect in terms of potential disturbance to the historic assets due to erosion/rollback and flooding.

**Alternative policy option:**

The alternative policy of HtL in epoch 3 would result in potential disturbance to historic environment features from increased/improved coastal defence measures. It would also result in the intrusion of man-made defences on the historic landscape character, though only locally important features would be affected.

Furthermore, this policy would reduce the risk of part of Cley conservation area, four regionally important historic assets and three locally important historic assets deteriorating due to erosion and flooding. Overall, a minor positive effect would therefore arise on the historic environment.

**PDZ 3B Stiffkey to Morston**

In the same way that PDZ 2M was assessed, an historic environment assessment for PDZ 3B has been provided for:

- the preferred policy of NAI for all epochs.

**All epochs**

**Preferred policy:**

NAI throughout all epochs would result in the risk of erosion to currently unknown archaeological sites or features. There are no recorded historic assets within this unit. Over the epochs as sea level rise occurs, inundation
and possible erosion/rollback may result in the loss of unknown historic assets, as well as other currently unknown archaeological sites.

There would be no changes to the historic landscape character from intrusion of defences as no works would be undertaken. Increasing erosion/rollback and sea level encroachment would naturally alter the historic landscape character.

In terms of flooding, this policy would retain the existing level of flood risk. There would therefore be no increase in likely flooding and its subsequent potential effects on one nationally important historic asset and six locally important historic assets, as listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MNF33692</th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>Post-medieval</th>
<th>Site, rectangular enclosure, bank (earthwork), ditch, field boundary, drainage ditch, sea defences, drainage ditch, rectangular enclosure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF46115</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Field boundary, bank (earthwork), ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF54377</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF54397</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Linear feature, ditch, rectilinear enclosure?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF54413</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Linear feature, ditch, rectilinear enclosure?, field boundary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF43933</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Spigot mortar emplacement, pedestal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF1873</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>Mesolithic</td>
<td>Lithic working site</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This policy would not exacerbate the rate of erosion along the foreshore of this PDZ. It would not therefore result in any additional deterioration to five locally important historic assets listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MNF38685</th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>Post-medieval</th>
<th>Water channel, bank (earthwork)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF43369</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Medieval to modern</td>
<td>Sea defences?, oyster beds, enclosure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF44086</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Saxon to modern</td>
<td>Oyster beds, fish trap, fish weir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46124</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Wreck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF54378</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Linear feature?, linear feature</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall, the NAI policy would result in a minor positive effect due to avoiding increased deterioration to five locally important historic assets, offsetting the negative impacts from possible erosion of currently unrecorded archaeological sites or features.

**PDZ 3C Blakeney**

In the same way that PDZ 3Ai was assessed, an historic environment assessment for PDZ 3C has been provided for:

- the preferred policy of HtL for all epochs.
All epochs

Preferred policy:

The preferred policy of HtL would reduce the risks to part of Blakeney conservation area, two nationally important historic assets and one known locally important historic asset, as listed below, from erosion or deterioration from increased coastal flooding. However, depending on the scale and type of defences, potential disturbance to historic assets could occur and would need to be examined on a site-by-site basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conservation area</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF52222</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52350</td>
<td>Grade II* listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46100</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HtL could result in the intrusion of man-made defences on the historic landscape character. The majority of the sites or character features affected are of low (local) importance. However, Blakeney conservation area could be affected, but sensitive design of defences could avoid or minimise the potential impact.

In terms of flooding, this policy would retain the existing level of flood risk. There would therefore be no increase in likely flooding and its subsequent potential effects on seven nationally important historic assets and part of Blakeney-Wiveton-Cley conservation area listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conservation area</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF43948</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF45783</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52220</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52606</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52607</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52630</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF52713</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HtL could potentially exacerbate the rate of erosion or rollback along the foreshore of this PDZ. This could lead to increased deterioration of five locally important historic assets and part of Blakeney-Wiveton-Cley conservation area, as listed below. However, the landscape features of the conservation area are not expected to be affected.

With respect to the historic environment, the HtL policy would result in a major positive effect due to a combination of reducing risk to a number of nationally important historic assets and a conservation area and potential limited negative impacts on a number of locally important historic assets. The
negative impacts on the historic landscape character could potentially be avoided.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conservation area</th>
<th>Post-medieval</th>
<th>Blakeney-Wiveton-Cley</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF46102</td>
<td>Local Unknown</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46118</td>
<td>Local Unknown</td>
<td>Revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46120</td>
<td>Local Unknown</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46122</td>
<td>Local Unknown</td>
<td>Revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46294</td>
<td>Local Post-medieval</td>
<td>Drove road, drove road, ditch, ditch, bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PDZ 3D Cley to Salthouse**

In the same way that PDZ 2I was assessed, an historic environment assessment for PDZ 3D has been provided for:

- the preferred policy of MR for all epochs.

**All epochs**

Preferred policy:

MR throughout all epochs would result in the risk of erosion to four regionally important historic assets and 16 locally important historic assets, as listed below. Over the epochs as sea level rise occurs, inundation and possible erosion may result in the loss of these historic assets, as well as other currently unknown archaeological sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MNF43511</th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>Post-medieval</th>
<th>Tower mill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF49433</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF49454</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF16006</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF16007</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox, gun emplacement, anti-tank ditch, anti-tank wall, weapons pit, pit, structure, beach defence, practice trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF32467</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War one</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF32470</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox, gun emplacement, structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF32478</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Spigot mortar emplacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF32480</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Spigot mortar emplacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46128</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Beach defence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46129</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Spigot mortar emplacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46133</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46291</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Barbed wire obstruction, military building, defended locality, Nissen hut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF49451</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Pillbox, structure, structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF49455</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War one to World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox, gun emplacement, structure, beach defence, beach defence battery, practice trench, slit trench</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MR of a defence line could itself result in the disturbance of historic assets depending on where a new defence line is built. Man-made (defence) features could intrude on the historic landscape character of this area, particularly Salthouse conservation area.

In terms of flooding, this policy would retain the existing level of flood risk. There would therefore be no increase in likely flooding and its subsequent potential effects on part of Salthouse conservation area, two nationally important historic assets, seven regionally important historic assets and 43 locally important historic assets, as listed below.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MNF6190</th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
<th>Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNF16006</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF16007</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox, gun emplacement, anti-tank ditch, anti-tank wall, weapons pit, pit, structure, beach defence, practice trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF16026</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF16027</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox, structure, Nissen hut?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF32464</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Tank trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF32465</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Tank trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF32479</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Tank trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF32481</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Tank trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46128</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Beach defence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46147</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Platform, observation post, Royal Observer Corps site, pillbox, structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46290</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Military camp, Nissen hut, hut, building, prisoner of war camp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46291</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Barbed wire obstruction, military building, defended locality, Nissen hut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF49434</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF49436</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Post-medieval to World War two</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF49448</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Tank trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF49451</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Pillbox, structure, structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF49455</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War one to World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox, gun emplacement, structure, beach defence, beach defence battery, practice trench, slit trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF49456</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox, gun emplacement, pit, slit trench, practice trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF49457</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Practice trench, slit trench, ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF49458</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), structure, structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF49492</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pit, slit trench, practice trench, gun emplacement, spigot mortar emplacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF6236</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Post-medieval to World War two</td>
<td>Folly, pillbox, pit, lodge, house, military prison, building, gun emplacement?, structure, beach defence, practice trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF24183</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Allan Williams turret, gun emplacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF24184</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Gun emplacement, coastal battery, barbed wire obstruction, building, pillbox, magazine, observation post, minefield, slit trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF33214</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Fort, floor, wall, earthwork, earthwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46146</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF46150</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF47781</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), ditch, sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNF6214</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>Kiln, signal station</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This policy would not exacerbate the rate of erosion/rollback along the foreshore of this PDZ. It would not therefore result in any additional deterioration of two locally important historic assets listed below.
Overall, the NAI policy would result in a minor negative effect due to increased erosion to 20 locally and regionally important historic assets, compared to the limited positive impact from avoiding erosion of two locally important historic assets in the foreshore.
# Annex 1 Historic environment gazetteer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PDZ</th>
<th>NAI threat</th>
<th>HER ID</th>
<th>Designation/value</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Detail type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41903</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Linear feature, ditch, rectilinear enclosure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41906</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Structure, structure, wreck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41916</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to World War two</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), ditch, bank (earthwork), ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF17148</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Spigot mortar emplacement, pedestal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF32396</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41686</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41687</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Road block, tank trap, anti-tank block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41688</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Slit trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41696</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Barbed wire obstruction, slit trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41701</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41706</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Slit trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41913</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF45996</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41693</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Slit trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF45999</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Spigot mortar emplacement, pedestal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF30464</td>
<td>Grade II historic park and garden</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Park, deer park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF11226</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF11303</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Moat, decoy pond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF1271</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>Building, wall, bank (earthwork), road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF1275</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>Enclosure, pit, inhumation, tessellated floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF12841</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval</td>
<td>Building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF16371</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Trackway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41690</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Drainage ditch, ditch, bank (earthwork), field system, ridge and furrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41691</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Drainage ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41697</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow, bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41699</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41705</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Ditch, brick kiln?, rectilinear enclosure, ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41708</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41709</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), ditch, land reclamation, field boundary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41710</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41915</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Linear feature, drain, ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41916</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to World War two</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), ditch, bank (earthwork), ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41917</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval</td>
<td>Ditch, linear feature, drain, field boundary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF42842</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF4371</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Roman to post-medieval</td>
<td>Bridge, bank (earthwork), road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF56630</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Farm, barn, house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41692</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Road block, tank trap, pillbox?, anti-tank block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41695</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Tank trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41696</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Barbed wire obstruction, slit trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41704</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), ditch, pit, pit, weapons pit?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41913</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF1277</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Building, wall, moat, bank (earthwork), ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF17135</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval</td>
<td>Enclosure, floor, building, bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork), manor house?, building?, great house?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF28502</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Not at risk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF17149</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Gun emplacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF17150</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF23517</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Underground military headquarters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF23518</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Blockhouse, pillbox, underground military headquarters, ditch, bank (earthwork), trench, structure, building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41693</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Slit trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41694</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41716</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), pillbox?, trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF42788</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Ring ditch, ring ditch, gun emplacement?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF45999</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Spigot mortar emplacement, pedestal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF55876</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>Building</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Shelter, bunker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF55877</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>Building</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Bunker, shelter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF55878</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>Building</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Building, blockhouse, bunker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF55880</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>Building</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pilbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF55881</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>Building</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Bunker, shelter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF55885</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>Building</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td></td>
<td>Conservation area</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Holme-next-the-Sea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF1298</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rectilinear enclosure, enclosure, ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF1299</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Linear feature, road, bank (earthwork)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41374</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Roman</td>
<td></td>
<td>Settlement, post hole, beam slot, structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41698</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow, bank (earthwork), ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41702</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), ridge and furrow, macula, ditch, pit, fish pond, oyster beds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41703</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41718</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41723</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41727</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41730</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow, bank (earthwork), ditch, hollow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41731</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), macula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41914</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to World War two</td>
<td>Ditch, ditch, ditch, linear feature, drain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41915</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Linear feature, drain, ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF42789</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), macula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF42796</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF42807</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ditch, trackway, land reclamation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF42809</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork), flood defences?, flood defences?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF42815</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF42843</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Road, trackway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41701</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41717</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Tank trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41721</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Military training site, trench, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41722</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Military building, Nissen hut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF1289</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>Road, ford, trackway, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF42374</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF33771</td>
<td>International</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Beaker to middle bronze age</td>
<td>Timber circle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF42245</td>
<td>International</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Early bronze age</td>
<td>Timber circle, fence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF1088</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Find spot</td>
<td>Early Mesolithic to late Neolithic</td>
<td>Find spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF21961</td>
<td>Maritime</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Wreck</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF21962</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Maritime</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Wreck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF23998</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Timber circle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41000</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Pit, natural feature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF42246</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Bronze age</td>
<td>Find spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF42242</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Undated</td>
<td>Find spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF42751</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Bronze age</td>
<td>Trackway?, platform?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF42754</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Bronze age</td>
<td>Platform?, trackway?, structure?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF42761</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Bronze age</td>
<td>Structure, pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF42762</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Non antiquity, natural feature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF42766</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Post alignment?, fish trap?, fence?, trackway?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF42768</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Bronze age</td>
<td>Structure, trackway?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF42769</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Structure?, trackway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF42774</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Trackway?, post alignment, structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF42776</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Feature, natural feature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46000</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Post group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46268</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Post alignment, fish trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF50019</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF50136</td>
<td>Maritime</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Wreck</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF42755</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Bronze age</td>
<td>Trackway?, platform?, structure, coppice?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF42757</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Bronze age</td>
<td>Trackway, platform?, structure?, coppice?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF42777</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Middle bronze age to late bronze age</td>
<td>Trackway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF42243</td>
<td>National (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Early Saxon to middle Saxon</td>
<td>Structure, fish trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF42244</td>
<td>National (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Early Saxon to late Saxon</td>
<td>Post alignment, fish trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF42749</td>
<td>National (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Middle Saxon</td>
<td>Fish trap, structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF42765</td>
<td>National (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Post alignment, fish trap?, fence?, trackway?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF42778</td>
<td>National (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Middle Saxon to late Saxon</td>
<td>Fish trap, structure, post alignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF1319</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Barn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41724</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41726</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41728</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41729</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46006</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Jetty, jetty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46007</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Post group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46008</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46009</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Wall, building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46010</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Sluice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46012</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Sluice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46013</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46014</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46015</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Sluice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF23519</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Spigot mortar emplacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF1299</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Linear feature, road, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41718</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41725</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41729</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF42782</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Landing stage, mooring bollard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46006</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Jetty, jetty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46014</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>Not at risk</td>
<td></td>
<td>Conservation area</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Thornham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF1341</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Windmill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF33706</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Oyster beds?, salt works?, bank (earthwork), ditch, pond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41737</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41738</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow, bank (earthwork), ditch, sea defences?, land reclamation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41739</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), hollow, salt works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF29154</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Thornham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41737</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Brickworks, brick kiln, house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41738</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41739</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow, bank (earthwork), ditch, sea defences?, land reclamation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF33706</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Oyster beds?, salt works?, bank (earthwork), ditch, pond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41724</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41735</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), ditch, land reclamation, sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41739</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), hollow, salt works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41745</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41747</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ditch, bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF42813</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork), ditch, ditch, sea defences?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF1305</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>Find spot</td>
<td>Prehistoric</td>
<td>Submarine forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF1304</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Find spot</td>
<td>Upper Palaeolithic to late Neolithic</td>
<td>Find spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41661</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF18077</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Building</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Command post, observation post</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41732</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Bombing range marker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41735</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), ditch, land reclamation, sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41737</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41739</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), hollow, salt works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41740</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF42792</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Linear feature, linear feature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF42793</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF42813</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork), ditch, ditch, sea defences?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF18078</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Building</td>
<td>World War two to cold war</td>
<td>Pillbox?, building?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41733</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Bombing range marker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41734</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox?, military building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF1305</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>Find spot</td>
<td>Prehistoric</td>
<td>Submarine forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>Not at risk</td>
<td>Conservation area</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Thornham</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41661</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41663</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41666</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PDZ</th>
<th>NAI threat</th>
<th>HER ID</th>
<th>Designation/value</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Detail type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF18072</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF18073</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox, pumping station, bunker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF18074</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF32409</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox, underground military headquarters, bunker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41656</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41669</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Military training site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41660</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Military training site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF15870</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Late Saxon to medieval</td>
<td>Midden, midden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41678</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Ditch, structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41796</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Field drain, plough marks, drain, drainage system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF43083</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Structure, groyne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46016</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Post alignment, groyne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46020</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF18075</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Gun emplacement, underground military headquarters, bunker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF18076</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two to cold war</td>
<td>Military training site, military building, observation post, gun emplacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41677</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Bombing range marker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46022</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF48778</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Armoured vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF15352</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Upper Palaeolithic</td>
<td>Occupation site, lithic working site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF22810</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>Find spot</td>
<td>Palaeolithic</td>
<td>Find spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Not at risk</td>
<td>MNF22810</td>
<td>Conservation area</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Titchwell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF1387</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Site, watercourse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PDZ</th>
<th>NAI threat</th>
<th>HER ID</th>
<th>Designation/value</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Detail type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41659</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41662</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Sea defences, sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41663</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41666</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF15558</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF18069</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF18070</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF18071</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF18072</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>Building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF15868</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>Building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41659</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF43074</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Roman to post-medieval</td>
<td>Ring ditch, ring ditch, linear feature, temple?, building?, windmill?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41662</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Sea defences, sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF15352</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Upper Palaeolithic</td>
<td>Occupation site, lithic working site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C</td>
<td>Not at risk</td>
<td>31311</td>
<td>Scheduled monument</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Titchwell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C</td>
<td>Not at risk</td>
<td>31311</td>
<td>Scheduled monument</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Medieval</td>
<td>Village cross</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41674</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41675</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), ditch, land reclamation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF18220</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Gun emplacement, pillbox, bunker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41676</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to World War two</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow, trench?, trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td></td>
<td>Conservation area</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Brancaster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41659</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41674</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41675</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), ditch, land reclamation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF43078</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF43079</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Linear feature, structure?, military camp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41676</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to World War two</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow, trench?, trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41662</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Sea defences, sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41668</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41674</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46025</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Groyne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF15557</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Gun emplacement, pillbox, searchlight battery, beach defence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF15653</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF15654</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF32410</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Spigot mortar emplacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF33309</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41650</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41652</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Gun emplacement, military building, structure, pillbox?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41653</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Nissen hut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41676</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to World War two</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow, trench?, trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF31113</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Coastal battery, bombing range marker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF16416</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Square enclosure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF18665</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Maritime</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Wreck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF15531</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Maritime</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Wreck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46037</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Aircraft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E</td>
<td>Not at risk</td>
<td></td>
<td>Conservation area</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Brancaster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td></td>
<td>Conservation area</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Brancaster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF18216</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>House, inn, brewery, barn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF18218</td>
<td>Grade II* listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Barn, house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41671</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41865</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Pit, oyster beds, linear feature, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41888</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF43080</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), enclosure?, building?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF43081</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Structure, revetment, jetty?, wreck?, breakwater?, mooring bollard?, sluice?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46029</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Breakwater, wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46030</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Post alignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46032</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Post alignment, revetment, mooring bollard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41672</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Tank trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF1003</td>
<td>Scheduled monument NF208</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>Vicus, floor, building, ditch, pit, ring ditch, rectilinear enclosure, linear feature?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF1004</td>
<td>Scheduled monument NF208</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Early Neolithic to post-medieval</td>
<td>Ditch, post hole, trackway, enclosure, vicus, house, fort, building, site, find spot, find spot, find spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td></td>
<td>Conservation area</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Brancaster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF18216</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>House, inn, brewery, barn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF18218</td>
<td>Grade II* listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Barn, house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF38781</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Building</td>
<td>Roman to medieval</td>
<td>Architectural fragment, architectural fragment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41559</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Find spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41865</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Pit, oyster beds, linear feature, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41888</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF43080</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), enclosure?, building?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF43081</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Structure, revetment, jetty?, wreck?, breakwater?, mooring bollard?, sluice?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46029</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Breakwater, wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46032</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Post alignment, revetment, mooring bollard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF47584</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Early Mesolithic to middle Saxon</td>
<td>Find spot, find spot, find spot, find spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF30229</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41672</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Tank trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF31152</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>Tessellated floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF1003</td>
<td>Scheduled monument NF208</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>Vicus, floor, building, ditch, pit, ring ditch, rectilinear enclosure, linear feature?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41670</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Pit, sluice, revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF43032</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2F</td>
<td>Not at risk</td>
<td>MNF1001</td>
<td>Scheduled monument NF208</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>Fort, Saxon shore fort, find spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41865</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Pit, oyster beds, linear feature, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41873</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Rectilinear enclosure, rectilinear enclosure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF20877</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Spigot mortar emplacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46036</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Aircraft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF50505</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork), sea defences?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF50506</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Erosion from SLR and MR</td>
<td>MNF41843</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Erosion from SLR and MR</td>
<td>MNF41850</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ditch, drainage ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Erosion from SLR and MR</td>
<td>MNF41882</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Linear feature, bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Erosion from SLR and MR</td>
<td>MNF41886</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Military building, structure, pit, weapons pit, gun emplacement?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Erosion from SLR and MR</td>
<td>MNF41888</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Erosion from SLR and MR</td>
<td>MNF41896</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Drainage ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Erosion from SLR and MR</td>
<td>MNF41899</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Erosion from SLR and MR</td>
<td>MNF41900</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Flood defences, sea defences, bank (earthwork), groyne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Erosion from SLR and MR</td>
<td>MNF43120</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Erosion from SLR and MR</td>
<td>MNF41864</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Ditch, slit trench, practice trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Erosion from SLR and MR</td>
<td>MNF41883</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Structure, structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Erosion from SLR and MR</td>
<td>MNF40222</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Erosion from SLR and MR</td>
<td>MNF40232</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Erosion from SLR and MR</td>
<td>MNF50506</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF1766</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Saw mill, watermill, watercourse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF1772</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>House, windmill, stable, watermill, site, site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41620</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>House, sculpture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF48836</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Medieval to modern</td>
<td>House, guest house, infirmary, building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49015</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Stable, hayloft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49017</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Mill house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF9616</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>House, house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF1733</td>
<td>Grade II* listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Late Saxon to post-medieval</td>
<td>Church</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF1761</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF1762</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Trackway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF20343</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>Building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF28279</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Find spot</td>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41832</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Extractive pit, bank (earthwork), trackway, ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41834</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41841</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>Site, site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41842</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Linear feature, field boundary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41848</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Early iron age to Roman</td>
<td>Square enclosure, linear feature, square enclosure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41849</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Ditch, drain, ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41852</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Linear feature, ditch, trackway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41853</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Late prehistoric</td>
<td>Ring ditch, ring ditch, circular enclosure, circular enclosure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41861</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Site, water channel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41865</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Pit, oyster beds, linear feature, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41882</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Linear feature, bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41894</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41899</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41900</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Flood defences, sea defences, bank (earthwork), groyne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF43125</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Linear feature, field boundary, field system, drainage system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46038</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Sluice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46039</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46039</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Icehouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46038</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Buried soil horizon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46039</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Palaeo-channel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41883</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Structure, structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41895</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Road block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41897</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Tank trap, anti-tank block, cube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF18496</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Lower Palaeolithic to post-</td>
<td>Brick kiln, building, market, port, settlement, find spot, find spot,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>medieval</td>
<td>find spot, find spot, find spot, find spot, find spot, find spot, find</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF32340</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Roman to post-medieval</td>
<td>Cemetery, inhumation, find spot, find spot, find spot, find spot, find</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF40232</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF1771</td>
<td>Scheduled</td>
<td>Listed</td>
<td>Medieval</td>
<td>Cross</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>monument 21382 /</td>
<td>building</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>listed building</td>
<td>Medieval</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>grade II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF1738</td>
<td>Scheduled</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Gatehouse, house, holy well, friary, gatehouse, bank (earthwork), terraced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>monument 21389</td>
<td>Medieval</td>
<td></td>
<td>ground, structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding and MR construction</td>
<td>MNF41847</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding and MR construction</td>
<td>MNF41851</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Industrial site, malt kiln?, malt house, rectilinear enclosure, ditch,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding and MR construction</td>
<td>MNF41868</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Early Neolithic to modern</td>
<td>Trackway, trackway, rectilinear enclosure, pit alignment?, pit alignment?,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bank (earthwork), ditch, building?, enclosure?, pit?, field system?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding and MR construction</td>
<td>MNF41888</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding and MR construction</td>
<td>MNF43103</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to modern</td>
<td>Enclosure?, enclosure?, mound, linear feature, ring ditch, garden feature, earthwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding and MR construction</td>
<td>MNF57060</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Threshing barn, threshing floor, cart shed?, farm building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding and MR construction</td>
<td>MNF50505</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork), sea defences?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding from MR</td>
<td>MNF41844</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Extractive pit, ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding from MR</td>
<td>MNF41845</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Linear feature, ditch, drain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding from MR</td>
<td>MNF41846</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Drainage system, ridge and furrow?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding from MR</td>
<td>MNF41863</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Linear feature, ditch, field boundary, drain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding from MR</td>
<td>MNF41889</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), ditch, sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Flooding from MR</td>
<td>MNF43104</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF15833</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Building, wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF32869</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Wreck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41838</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41872</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ditch, ditch, drain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41875</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Pit, fish pond, oyster beds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41876</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Pit, fish pond, oyster beds, brine pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41881</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Ditch, ditch, drain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41884</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41885</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Ditch, structure, structure, military building, workers cottage?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41887</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Pier, breakwater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41899</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41900</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Flood defences, sea defences, bank (earthwork), groyne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41942</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41943</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Breakwater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46026</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Bridge, oyster beds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46027</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Post alignment, breakwater, revetment, fish trap, oyster beds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46028</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Post alignment, breakwater, revetment, fish trap, oyster beds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46034</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46046</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Wreck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41874</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Structure, military building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41944</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Military building, pillbox, Nissen hut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46035</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF1729</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Fort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41890</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Pit, oyster beds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41893</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Drainage system?, land reclamation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46044</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Jetty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41890</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Burnham Overy Staithe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41893</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Burnham Overy Staithe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46044</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Burnham Overy Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF47661</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House, coastguards cottage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49018</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Managers house, maltings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF20875</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Fortification, granary, loop-holed wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF20876</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF39507</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval</td>
<td>Hearth, pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41891</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Tank trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41892</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Tank trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41838</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41839</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41877</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Pit, oyster beds, fish pond?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41878</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Pit, fish pond?, oyster beds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41879</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Mound, bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork), saltern, pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41880</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41890</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Pit, oyster beds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41893</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Drainage system?, land reclamation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41941</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Drainage ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46042</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46043</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Wall, revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46045</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46074</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Structure, military building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46035</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF32113</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Sea defences, sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41155</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Oyster beds, extractive pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF44074</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF32433</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Coastal battery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF32434</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Spigot mortar emplacement, slit trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF40218</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Military building, bunker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41148</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41149</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41152</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Beach defence, beach scaffolding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41157</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Coastal battery, command post, coast artillery searchlight, gun emplacement, military camp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF43122</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF1796</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41169</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, revetment?, quay?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF12655</td>
<td>Conservation area</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Holkham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF44493</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Inn, hotel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF48725</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Telephone box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF9615</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF11686</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Bronze age</td>
<td>Ring ditch, ring ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF11687</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Bronze age</td>
<td>Ring ditch, ring ditch, bank (earthwork), ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF11922</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Bronze age</td>
<td>Site, earthwork, ring ditch, site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF13590</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to cold war</td>
<td>Railway, railway transport site, field boundary, bank (earthwork), ditch, embankment, signal box, railway station, goods shed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF1795</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Watercourse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF40227</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF40228</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Garden feature, bank (earthwork), culvert, dam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF40229</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Decoy pond, pond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41138</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Drainage system, ridge and furrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41141</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Mound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41142</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Trackway, causeway, sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41146</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Field system, drainage system, drove road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41154</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Water channel, oyster beds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41155</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Oyster beds, extractive pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41158</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Oyster beds, extractive pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41159</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Oyster beds, extractive pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41160</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Linear feature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41161</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Farm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41162</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Linear feature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF43087</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Ringwork, ringwork, ringwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF43103</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to modern</td>
<td>Enclosure?, enclosure?, mound, linear feature, ring ditch, garden feature, earthwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF43112</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Structure?, linear feature, non antiquity?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF43113</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF43120</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ridge and furrow?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF44075</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF44080</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF12654</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF12656</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox, gun emplacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF23977</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF32418</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF32420</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Tank trap, road block, anti-tank block, anti-tank vertical rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF32421</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF32437</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF38747</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Tank trap, anti-tank vertical rail, anti-tank block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF40221</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF40231</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Tank trap, road block, anti-tank block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF40233</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Spigot mortar emplacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41148</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41149</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41150</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF43118</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Linear feature, ditch, trackway?, trackway?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF43122</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF43124</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox?, barbed wire obstruction?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF1775</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Mesolithic</td>
<td>Mound, settlement, occupation site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF1796</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF40222</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF40223</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF40230</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Sea defences, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41147</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41169</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, revetment?, quay?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF50505</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork), sea defences?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF1776</td>
<td>Scheduled monument 30531</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Early Mesolithic to medieval</td>
<td>Hillfort, occupation site?, occupation site?, find spot, find spot, find spot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2i</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF40217</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Tank trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Conservation area</strong></td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF52469</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Lifeboat station, lifeboat station, reading room, museum, tea room, commemorative monument, commemorative monument, shed, loggia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF52794</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Malt house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF13589</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to cold war</td>
<td>Railway, railway transport site, railway embankment, railway cutting, railway junction, brick kiln</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF57231</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Commemorative monument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF32434</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Spigot mortar emplacement, slit trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41163</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Tank trap, road block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Conservation area</strong></td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF23192</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>House, house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF51709</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House, shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF51710</td>
<td>Grade II listed Building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF51711</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF51712</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House, date stone, shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52435</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Granary, date stone, restaurant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52436</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House, date stone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52460</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Telephone box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52696</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52708</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52794</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Malt house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52795</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House, shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52903</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF11686</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Bronze age</td>
<td>Ring ditch, ring ditch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF40227</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Bronze age</td>
<td>Ring ditch, ring ditch, bank (earthwork), ditch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41154</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41155</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Oyster beds, extractive pit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41158</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Oyster beds, extractive pit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41159</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Oyster beds, extractive pit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41160</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Linear feature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41161</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41162</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Linear feature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF43087</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Ringwork, ringwork, ringwork</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF44075</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF44080</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF23977</td>
<td>Local (group) Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF32437</td>
<td>Local (group) Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF1796</td>
<td>Regional Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, sea defences</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46573</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Post group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2J</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46077</td>
<td>Regional Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Jetty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td></td>
<td>Conservation area</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Wells-next-the-Sea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF51708</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF52458</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF13589</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to cold war</td>
<td>Railway, railway transport site, railway embankment, railway cutting, railway junction, brick kiln</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41168</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46049</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46057</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Breakwater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46058</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Breakwater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46063</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Railway, winch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52451</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Custom house, warehouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52697</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52699</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52702</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF13589</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to cold war</td>
<td>Railway, railway transport site, railway embankment, railway cutting, railway junction, brick kiln</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF1816</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46049</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF1806</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Linear feature, sea defences, drainage system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41168</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41175</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, causeway, trackway, ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41176</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Drove road, bridge, trackway, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF44077</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Maritime</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Wreck</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PDZ</th>
<th>NAI threat</th>
<th>HER ID</th>
<th>Designation/value</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Detail type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46050</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Post alignment, revetment, slipway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46051</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46055</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46056</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Post alignment, revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46058</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Breakwater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46062</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Midden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46063</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Railway, winch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46073</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Structure, jetty, quay, trackway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46075</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Feature, sea defences, landing stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46076</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Marker post, post alignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46078</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Structure, landing stage, quay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46079</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Revetment, jetty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46071</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Quay, revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2K</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46074</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Jetty, quay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41168</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46060</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Wreck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46572</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Post alignment, revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td></td>
<td>Conservation area</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Wells-next-the-Sea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF1851</td>
<td>Grade I listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Church, church</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF12122</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>House, house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF51700</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF51701</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52431</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52446</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House, shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52492</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52493</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52694</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House, shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52872</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF11767</td>
<td>Grade II* listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>House, sundial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF11768</td>
<td>Grade II* listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF13047</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Watercourse?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF13588</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Railway, railway transport site, railway embankment, railway cutting, railway bridge, railway junction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF13589</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to cold war</td>
<td>Railway, railway transport site, railway embankment, railway cutting, railway junction, brick kiln</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF13590</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to cold war</td>
<td>Railway, railway transport site, field boundary, bank (earthwork), embankment, signal box, railway station, goods shed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF15815</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Brick kiln, windmill</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF1848</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Building, farmyard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41168</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF44084</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork), ditch, ditch, house platform, toft</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF43128</td>
<td>National Monument</td>
<td>Prehistoric</td>
<td>Ring ditch, enclosure, ring ditch, rectilinear enclosure, mortuary enclosure, long barrow?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF1806</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Linear feature, sea defences, drainage system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF40219</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41171</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Sea defences, extractive pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF41176</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Drove road, bridge, trackway, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46059</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Wreck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46060</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Wreck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46064</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Post group, revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46572</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Post alignment, revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2L</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46575</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Post group, wreck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF40220</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41172</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Saltern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF41173</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46086</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Mooring bollard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF13047</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Watercourse?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF13076</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>Site, rectilinear enclosure, rectilinear enclosure, rectilinear enclosure, trapezoidal enclosure, trackway, linear feature, curvilinear enclosure?, pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF21347</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Trackway, bridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF29592</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Barn, trackway, floor, barn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF31440</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Lower Palaeolithic to post-medieval</td>
<td>Site, pit alignment, rectilinear enclosure, rectilinear enclosure, square enclosure, square enclosure, square enclosure, linear feature, pit alignment, pit alignment, field boundary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41172</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Saltern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41173</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41174</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Pond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF41176</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Drove road, bridge, trackway, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46081</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Bridge, structure, path</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46083</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Cold war</td>
<td>Military building, structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46085</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Hollow, quarry, marl pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46086</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Mooring bollard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46097</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork), sea defences, ditch, drainage ditch, enclosure?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF54365</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF54366</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF54367</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Pit, ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF54369</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ditch, drainage ditch, bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF54371</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Pit, fishpond?, oyster beds?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF54374</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF54390</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF54388</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War one to World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox, structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF54389</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Structure, pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF12747</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Military camp, underground military headquarters, bomb store, rotary launcher, anti-aircraft defence site, military building, Nissen hut, slit trench, pillbox, transmitter site, hut, military training site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF38249</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Maritime</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Wreck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF43696</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Maritime</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Wreck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46736</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Linear feature, structure, fish trap, post alignment, revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46737</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Linear feature, structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF54369</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ditch, drainage ditch, bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF54372</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Drainage ditch, drainage system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF54373</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ditch, enclosure?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Not at risk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Conservation area</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF54375</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF54376</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences, drainage ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td></td>
<td>Conservation area</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Stiffkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF1887</td>
<td>Grade I listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Medieval to modern</td>
<td>Church, mound, cross</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF30488</td>
<td>Grade II historic park and garden</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Park, garden, kitchen garden, terraced garden, walled garden, sunken garden, fish pond, garden feature, bank (earthwork), ditch, ornamental canal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF12739</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Barn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF12740</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF12741</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bath house, water tank, house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF19359</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>House, house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF23387</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Medieval to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF51684</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF51718</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Medieval to modern</td>
<td>Terraced house, barn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52302</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52376</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52534</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House, house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52549</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52661</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Shop, outbuilding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52673</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Terraced house, terrace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52712</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52858</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Unknown to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52861</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Barn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF12738</td>
<td>Grade II* listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Guildhall, timber framed building, house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF1883</td>
<td>Grade II* listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Medieval to modern</td>
<td>Cross, gatehouse, great house, garden wall, great house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF12744</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Medieval</td>
<td>Cross</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF18139</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Barn, house</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF1872</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Watermill</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF30712</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Medieval</td>
<td>Field system, bank (earthwork), rectangular enclosure, toft, bank (earthwork), croft, building platform, deserted settlement, field system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF32793</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Watermill, pond, causeway, bank (earthwork), causeway, bank (earthwork), ditch, drainage ditch, enclosure?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF45713</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Common land, wood bank, quarry, bank (earthwork), trackway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF54356</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Ditch, ditch, hollow way</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF54362</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Linear feature, ditch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF54363</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), causeway, platform, ditch, field system?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF54398</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), field boundary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF54400</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences, ditch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF54402</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), ditch, drainage ditch, square enclosure?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF54391</td>
<td>Local (group) Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Structure, spigot mortar emplacement?, gun emplacement?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46088</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46089</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46090</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Post alignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Ai</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46105</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Pit, storage pit, oyster beds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aii</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46099</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aii</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46108</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Wreck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aii</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46113</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Sluice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aii</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46114</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aii</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46266</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aii</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF39207</td>
<td>Conservation area</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Morston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aii</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF23981</td>
<td>Grade II listed</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>building</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aii</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46098</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Railway carriage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aii</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46098</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Maritime</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Wreck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aii</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46106</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Wreck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aii</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46107</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Jetty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aii</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46109</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Jetty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aii</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46111</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aii</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46112</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Post alignment, quay, jetty, revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aii</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46294</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Drove road, drove road, ditch, ditch, bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aii</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46295</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Drove road, drove road, ditch, ditch, bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiii</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46121</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Trackway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiii</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46125</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Mound, bank (earthwork), sea defences, salt works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiii</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46126</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Mound, bank (earthwork), salt works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiii</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46298</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Saltern, saltern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiii</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46301</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ditch, drainage system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiii</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF47482</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Brickworks?, salt works?, mound?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiii</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF47483</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Sluice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiii</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF47484</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Field boundary, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiii</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF32455</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiii</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46101</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Conservation area Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiii</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF42147</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Early Neolithic to post -</td>
<td>Animal burial, occupation site, post hole, enclosure, pit, building,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>medieval</td>
<td>enclosure, hearth, settlement?, pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiii</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF6245</td>
<td>Scheduled</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Scheduled monument NF305 / listed building grade II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>monument NF305 /</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chapel, fortification, earthwork, hermitage, inhumation, house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiii</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF6159</td>
<td>Grade II* listed</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Medieval to modern</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>building</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Great house, great house, great house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiii</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF31592</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiii</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF45241</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Undated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiii</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46298</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Saltern, saltern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiii</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46301</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ditch, drainage system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiii</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF47482</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Brickworks?, salt works?, mound?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiii</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF47484</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Field boundary, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiii</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF47485</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Mound, salt works, hollow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiii</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF47486</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Extractive pit?, boat yard?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aii</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF47487</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Extractive pit?, boat yard?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aii</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF47490</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Quarry, pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aii</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td></td>
<td>Conservation area</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Blakeney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aii</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF42147</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Early Neolithic to post-medieval</td>
<td>Animal burial, occupation site, post hole, enclosure, pit, building, enclosure, hearth, settlement?, pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aii</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46101</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aii</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46271</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aii</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF6245</td>
<td>Scheduled monument NF305 / listed building grade II</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Chapel, fortification, earthwork, hermitage, inhumation, house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aii</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46116</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Wreck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aii</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46121</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Trackway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aii</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46125</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Mound, bank (earthwork), sea defences, salt works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aii</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46119</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Structure, building, platform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46298</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Saltern, saltern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF45243</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Undated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46301</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ditch, drainage system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF47491</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pedestal, spigot mortar emplacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td></td>
<td>Conservation area</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Cley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46101</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46146</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td></td>
<td>Conservation area</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Post-Medieval</td>
<td>Cley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF12051</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>House, house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF31024</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>House, smoke house, shop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF31025</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>House, folly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF31026</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF31027</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>House, inn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF31028</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>House, arch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF31029</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF31030</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF31031</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Custom house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF31032</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF31033</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>House, warehouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF31034</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>House, bank (financial)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49580</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Shop, house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49583</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52921</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Telephone box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF6150</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Great house, great house, barn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF12388</td>
<td>Grade II* listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Windmill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF30824</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Harbour, wharf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF45241</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Undated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46298</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Saltern, saltern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46301</td>
<td>Local Monument</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ditch, drainage system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF6152</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), salt works, salt works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF45243</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Undated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46101</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Aiv</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46146</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46290</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Military camp, Nissen hut, hut, building, prisoner of war camp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46291</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Barbed wire obstruction, military building, defended locality, Nissen hut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF45243</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Undated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF45243</td>
<td>Conservation area</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Cley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46149</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46150</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF33214</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Fort, floor, wall, earthwork, earthwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46146</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46293</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF6152</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), salt works, salt works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46147</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Platform, observation post, Royal Observer Corps site, pillbox, structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46290</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Military camp, Nissen hut, hut, building, prisoner of war camp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46104</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Beach defence, structure, structure, pit, pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46291</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Barbed wire obstruction, military building, defended locality, Nissen hut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF47492</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), ditch, land reclamation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49379</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49383</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences, ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49386</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Extractive pit, salt works?, oyster beds?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49393</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval</td>
<td>Saltern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF45243</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Undated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49434</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49448</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Tank trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td></td>
<td>Conservation area</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Cley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF6150</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Great house, great house, barn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF24183</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Allan Williams turret, gun emplacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF33214</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Fort, floor, wall, earthwork, earthwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46150</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46146</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Av</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF33214</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF33692</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Site, rectangular enclosure, bank (earthwork), ditch, field boundary, drainage ditch, sea defences, drainage ditch, rectangular enclosure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46115</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Field boundary, bank (earthwork), ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF54377</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF54397</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Linear feature, ditch, rectilinear enclosure?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF54413</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Linear feature, ditch, rectilinear enclosure?, field boundary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF43933</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Spigot mortar emplacement, pedestal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF1873</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Mesolithic</td>
<td>Lithic working site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF38685</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Water channel, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF43369</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to modern</td>
<td>Sea defences?, oyster beds, enclosure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF44086</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Saxon to modern</td>
<td>Oyster beds, fish trap, fish weir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46124</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Wreck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF54378</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Linear feature?, linear feature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td></td>
<td>Conservation area</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Blakeney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF52222</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF52350</td>
<td>Grade II* listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46100</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td></td>
<td>Conservation area</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Blakeney-Wiveton-Cley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF43948</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Public house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF45783</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>House, barn, wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52220</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52606</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52607</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52630</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Barn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52713</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>House, garden wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td></td>
<td>Conservation area</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Blakeney-Wiveton-Cley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46102</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46118</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46120</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46122</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Revetment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46294</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Drove road, drove road, ditch, ditch, bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>Not at risk</td>
<td>MNF6133</td>
<td>Scheduled monument 21387 / listed building grade II&quot;</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval</td>
<td>Guildhall, undercroft, almshouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF43511</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Tower mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF49433</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF49454</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF16006</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF16007</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox, gun emplacement, anti-tank ditch, anti-tank wall, weapons pit, pit, structure, beach defence, practice trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF32467</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War one</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF32470</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Spigot mortar emplacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF32478</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Spigot mortar emplacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF32480</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Spigot mortar emplacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF45243</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Undated</td>
<td>Beach defence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46128</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Spigot mortar emplacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46129</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46133</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Barbed wire obstruction, military building, defended locality, Nissen hut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF49451</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Pillbox, structure, structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF49455</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War one to World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox, gun emplacement, structure, beach defence, beach defence battery, practice trench, slit trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF6236</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to World War two</td>
<td>Folly, pillbox, pit, lodge, house, military prison, building, gun emplacement?, structure, beach defence, practice trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF24184</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Gun emplacement, coastal battery, barbed wire obstruction, building, pillbox, magazine, observation post, minefield, slit trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46149</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF46150</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>MNF23194</td>
<td>Regional (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td></td>
<td>Conservation area</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Salthouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF43644</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Wall, wharf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF52852</td>
<td>Grade II listed building</td>
<td>Listed building</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Telephone box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF13391</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval</td>
<td>Cross</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF13392</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval</td>
<td>Cross</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46293</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46481</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Building</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF47492</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to modern</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), ditch, land reclamation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF47493</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Undated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF47494</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF47495</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Ditch, ridge and furrow?, land reclamation?, drainage ditch?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF47496</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Ditch, drainage ditch?, land reclamation?, ridge and furrow?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF47497</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF47498</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Ditch, ridge and furrow?, land reclamation, drainage ditch?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49379</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49383</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences, ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49386</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Extractive pit, salt works?, oyster beds?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49393</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval</td>
<td>Saltern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49394</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Medieval to post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), ditch, sea defences, saltern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49395</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49400</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Ditch, drain, drainage ditch?, field boundary?, ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49443</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), ditch, trackway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49454</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF6190</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF16006</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox, gun emplacement, anti-tank ditch, anti-tank wall, weapons pit, pit, structure, beach defence, practice trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF16026</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF16027</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pillbox, structure, Nissen hut?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF32464</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Tank trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF32465</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Tank trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF32479</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Tank trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF32481</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Tank trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF45243</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Undated</td>
<td>Beach defence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46128</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Platform, observation post, Royal Observer Corps site, pillbox, structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46147</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Barbed wire obstruction, military building, defended locality, Nissen hut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46290</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Military camp, Nissen hut, hut, building, prisoner of war camp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46291</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49434</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49436</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to World War two</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), bank (earthwork), sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49448</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Tank trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49451</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Pillbox, structure, structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDZ</td>
<td>NAI threat</td>
<td>HER ID</td>
<td>Designation/value</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Detail type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49455</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War one to World War two</td>
<td>Pilbox, gun emplacement, structure, beach defence, beach defence battery, practice trench, slit trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49456</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pilbox, gun emplacement, pit, slit trench, practice trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49457</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Practice trench, slit trench, ditch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49458</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), structure, structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF49492</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pit, slit trench, practice trench, gun emplacement, spigot mortar emplacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF6236</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval to World War two</td>
<td>Folly, pilbox, pit, lodge, house, military prison, building, gun emplacement?, structure, beach defence, practice trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF24183</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Allan Williams turret, gun emplacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF24184</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Gun emplacement, coastal battery, barbed wire obstruction, building, pilbox, magazine, observation post, minefield, slit trench</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF33214</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Fort, floor, wall, earthwork, earthwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46146</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF46150</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Sea defences, bank (earthwork)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF47781</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Post-medieval</td>
<td>Bank (earthwork), ditch, sea defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>MNF6214</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>Roman</td>
<td>Klin, signal station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF19442</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Pilbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Foreshore</td>
<td>MNF46127</td>
<td>Local (group)</td>
<td>Monument</td>
<td>World War two</td>
<td>Beach defence, wreck</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 2 – SEA assessment tables

The final SEA assessment tables show detailed consideration of the final suite of policies. Criteria where the significance of a policy has changed, or description has changed, are indicated by bold borders and italics. The assessment column of the table is colour-coded, as in previous documents, according to the legend below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Significance of SMP policy</th>
<th>SMP policy is likely to result in a significant positive effect on the environment.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SMP policy is likely to have a positive or minor positive effect on the environment (depending on scheme specifics at implementation).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SMP policy is likely to have a neutral or negligible effect on the environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SMP policy is likely to have a negative or minor negative effect on the environment (depending on scheme specifics at implementation).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SMP policy is likely to have a significant negative effect on the environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The assessment criterion does not apply to the SMP policy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Threat to biodiversity on a dynamic coast and the interactions between various coastal habitat types

#### Maintenance of coastal processes needed to maintain the integrity of critical coastal habitat and species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biodiversity, fauna, flora (including geomorphology)</th>
<th>Does SMP policy provide a sustainable approach to habitat management along the north Norfolk coast?</th>
<th>PDZ1A provides a sustainable approach to habitat management by minimising the need for intervening in the dune system (while retaining the option for management if needed). PDZ1B provides for continuing to manage the dune system/frontage to provide sustainable management based on monitoring. PDZ1C provides for realignment in epoch 3 to offer a more sustainable line of defence (based on topography). PDZ1D takes an approach of NAI that offers a totally sustainable defence for this frontage. Overall, the management in this SF provides for a more sustainable approach to management based on moving the coastline towards a less managed, more natural system. As a result, the effect is considered to be major positive.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change in how natural coastal processes operate?</td>
<td>As described above, the overall intent of the frontage is to move towards natural development of the frontage, allowing the development of natural processes, especially in epoch 3. The effect is considered to be minor positive.</td>
<td>Geomorphology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change in the condition of European sites?</td>
<td>The SMP policies in this SF allow for the natural development of the frontage (dune habitat) while allowing the movement towards land of intertidal habitat (through realignment in 1C). Also, the realignment at Holme will increase the tidal prism in Thornham harbour channel and help to maintain a mosaic of sub-littoral and intertidal habitats. The overall effect is therefore considered to be minor positive.</td>
<td>European sites and SSSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change to SSSI condition?</td>
<td>The effects of the SMP policies overall in this unit promote natural development of the coastline – enabling natural change. The effect is considered minor positive.</td>
<td>Predicted condition assessment of SSSI units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a net change in priority BAP habitat area?</td>
<td>The overall effect of SMP policies across this frontage will be no net loss of BAP habitat. However, realignment at Holme will create BAP habitat (intertidal) over current non-BAP (agricultural) habitat – leading to a gain of BAP habitat. The overall effect is therefore considered to be major positive.</td>
<td>Area of priority BAP habitats for each epoch and scenario</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Maintenance of environmental conditions to support biodiversity and the quality of life

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population, human health</th>
<th>Will the SMP policy result in a change in flood risk to coastal communities?</th>
<th>Across the SF there will be no increased flood risk as a result of this suite of policies. The realignment in 1C will bring defences closer to communities, but at no increased risk of flooding. The overall effect is therefore neutral.</th>
<th>Coastal communities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Number of properties in the tidal flood zone compared to the current number</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Assessment unit F1 (PDZ 1A to 1D)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEA receptor (based on SI 1633)</th>
<th>SEA assessment criteria</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Feature identified in the SEA scoping report baseline</th>
<th>SEA indicator (blue shading is where there is a directly equivalent SMP indicator)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protection of coastal towns and settlements and the maintenance of features that support tourism and local commerce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Material assets</strong></td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change to identified key tourism or recreation activities and locations?</td>
<td>In PDZ 1A the policy, coupled with rising sea level, may lead to the encroachment of the beach into Holme dunes which currently contains a golf course. However, time is provided for adapting and responding to this scenario. Other activities are considered to be unaffected. The overall effect is therefore neutral.</td>
<td>Tourism and recreation features</td>
<td>Number of places where tourism or recreation activities will be affected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change to identified key economic activities and locations?</td>
<td>The loss of some of the area of the golf course may lead to the loss of some economic activity from tourism etc. The realignment would also lead to the loss of some grade 4 agricultural land which in itself is not considered a significant effect on the local economy. The overall effect is therefore negligible and considered a neutral effect.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of places where economic activities will be affected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Soil</strong></td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change in the quality of agricultural soils?</td>
<td>As above, some grade 4 agricultural land will be lost by realigning at Holme. This is considered to be a minor negative effect.</td>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>Effect on area and grade of agricultural land</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water</strong></td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in changes to features covered by local WFD objectives?</td>
<td>The preferred policies for this super-frontage have the potential to impact on two surface water bodies (The Wash Outer and North Norfolk) and one groundwater body (North Norfolk Chalk). The WFD assessment for this super-frontage identifies the potential for failure of eight out of a total of 15 individual assessments of the WFD objectives. This comprises failure of WFD4 in all PDZs and WFD2 in two PDZs. Two of the failures of WFD4 are situations where natural evolution of dune systems has been assumed to have the potential to affect the groundwater body and in the context of the surface water bodies. As the WFD assessment established more potential failures to contribute to meeting WFD objectives than positive contributions, and retaining the conservative nature of the SEA assessment as a whole, the reassessment of the WFD criterion for SF1 is minor negative.</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Support of water bodies achieving good status, based on Water Framework Directive Assessment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Threats to coastal communities, traditional activities and culture from inappropriate coastal management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material assets</th>
<th>Will the SMP policy result in a change to existing shellfish water classifications?</th>
<th>No adverse effect is anticipated and the effect is therefore neutral.</th>
<th>Shellfish water classification</th>
<th>Predicted effect on shellfish water classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Will SMP policy result in a loss of critical infrastructure needed for the viability of coastal communities?</td>
<td>No anticipated loss of any critical infrastructure and a neutral overall effect.</td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>Critical infrastructure lost</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Assessment unit F1 (PDZ 1A to 1D)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEA receptor (based on SI 1633)</th>
<th>SEA assessment criteria</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Feature identified in the SEA scoping report baseline</th>
<th>SEA indicator (blue shading is where there is a directly equivalent SMP indicator)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in changes affecting the A149?</td>
<td>No effect and therefore neutral overall.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will the SMP policy change the quality or security of abstraction for PWS or irrigation?</td>
<td>The licensed abstraction point in PDZ 1C is to support the current agricultural use of the land. In light of the planned realignment, the land use would change and this abstraction point may therefore no longer be needed. The overall effect is therefore neutral.</td>
<td>Abstraction</td>
<td>Extent and frequency of flooding of A149</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need to maintain a balance of providing navigation and access to channels behind barrier islands while recognising their value to local communities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material assets</td>
<td>Will the SMP policy change the ability to navigate within the existing channels and/or the operation of harbours?</td>
<td>The managed realignment at PDZ 1C is predicted to increase the tidal prism through the Thornham harbour channel. This will reverse the existing regime of accretion in this channel and aid navigation (in epoch 3). The overall effect is therefore minor positive.</td>
<td>Navigation</td>
<td>Length of navigable channel and number of operating harbours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural heritage, including architectural heritage and historic environment</td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in changes to historic features identified through the RCZAS?</td>
<td>Prevents the medium-term loss of 28 locally important and two regionally important historic assets, but possible loss in the long term of 18 locally important and 17 regionally important historic assets due to MR. Prevents an increase in the deterioration of 11 nationally and internationally important, and 30 locally important, historic assets. Overall effect is therefore minor positive.</td>
<td>Historic environment</td>
<td>Qualitative judgement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threats from inappropriate coastal management on the coastal landscape and AONB, with regard to the provision of a mosaic of landscape features that is characteristic of the north Norfolk coast</td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in changes in the quality of the coastal landscape?</td>
<td>The overall effect of policies in this SF is to allow for a more natural development of the frontage while not losing any features that contribute significantly to the coastal landscape. The overall effect is therefore minor positive.</td>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>Extent and overall balance of features identified as fundamental in supporting the AONB designation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment unit F2a – PDZ 2A, B, C, E, F, Gii, H, J, K, L and M</td>
<td>SEA receptor (based on SI 1633)</td>
<td>SEA assessment criteria</td>
<td>Assessment</td>
<td>Feature identified in the SEA scoping report baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threat to biodiversity on a dynamic coast and the interactions between various coastal habitat types</td>
<td>Maintenance of coastal processes needed to maintain the integrity of critical coastal habitat and species</td>
<td>Biodiversity, fauna, flora (including geomorphology)</td>
<td>Does SMP policy provide a sustainable approach to habitat management on the north Norfolk coast?</td>
<td>This suite of policies provides a strategic approach to allowing the natural development of the coast on open coastal areas while HtL on defended frontages or frontages that protect key assets (communities, tourism features, freshwater habitats etc). The intent is to provide a balanced approach of allowing the coast to develop naturally while ensuring that coastal communities are maintained in a sustainable manner. The policies therefore actively seek to provide a sustainable approach to habitat management and the effect is minor positive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change in how natural coastal processes operate?</td>
<td>These policies continue HtL at existing communities or defended assets. The approach on open coastal areas is to allow the natural coastal processes to drive the development of the coast, so overall the effect is considered minor positive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change in the condition of European sites?</td>
<td>Due to the loss of intertidal habitat through coastal squeeze (in front of HtL frontages) this super-frontage is considered to have an adverse effect on cited SPA species in the North Norfolk Coast SPA and Ramsar site. The loss of intertidal habitat is also considered an adverse effect on the integrity of the Wash and North Norfolk SAC. Due to the legal requirement for SMPs to be compliant with the Habitats Regulations, and the subsequent need for compensatory habitat, the effect is considered major negative.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change to SSSI condition?</td>
<td>The loss of intertidal habitat described above due to coastal squeeze is likely to affect the condition of the intertidal habitat of the North Norfolk Coast SAC. The effect is therefore considered minor negative.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a net change in priority BAP habitat area?</td>
<td>The policies provide a balance of holding the line and allowing natural coastal evolution (as stated above). The overall effect on BAP habitat is expected to provide a shift in habitat but no overall loss, with an overall neutral effect. The changes will largely be due to saltmarsh becoming mudflat, and mudflat becoming sub-littoral, as sea level rises.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Maintenance of environmental conditions to support biodiversity and the quality of life | Population, human health | Will the SMP policy result in a change in flood risk to coastal communities? | The policies will HtL adjacent to existing communities or their assets through HtL policies. The effect is therefore minor positive. | Coastal communities | Number of properties in the tidal flood zone compared to the current number |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEA receptor (based on SI 1633)</th>
<th>SEA assessment criteria</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Feature identified in the SEA scoping report baseline</th>
<th>SEA indicator (blue shading is where there is a directly equivalent SMP indicator)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protection of coastal towns and settlements and the maintenance of features that support tourism and local commerce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material assets</td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change to identified key tourism or recreation activities and locations?</td>
<td>The HtL policies protect both communities and the assets that are important to the local tourism industry (the Titchwell RSPB reserve, Royal West Norfolk golf club and the tourist centres Brancaster, Wells etc). The NAI policies also support the maintenance of sediment to the area’s beaches. The overall effect is therefore a significant contribution towards maintaining key tourism assets and the effect is considered major positive.</td>
<td>Tourism and recreation features</td>
<td>Number of locations where tourism or recreation activities will be affected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change to identified key economic activities and locations?</td>
<td>As outlined above, key economic assets in this area largely relate to tourism or agriculture. This suite of policies seeks to maintain the sustainable location of features to support this and the overall effect is therefore major positive.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of places where economic activities will be affected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change in the quality of agricultural soils?</td>
<td>This suite of policies will maintain existing agricultural land inland of defences. It will not lead to any loss of agricultural land, as the NAI frontages are not considered likely to lead to the loss of significant areas of agricultural land. The effect is therefore neutral.</td>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>Effect on area and grade of agricultural land</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in changes to features covered by local WFD objectives?</td>
<td>The preferred policies for this super-frontage have the potential to impact on two surface water bodies (Burn and Mow and Overy and Norton and Stiffkey/Glaven) and one groundwater body (North Norfolk Chalk). The WFD assessment for this super-frontage identifies the potential for failure of seven out of a total of 33 individual assessments of the WFD objectives. This comprises failure of WFD2 in four PDZs, WFD 3 in one PDZ and WFD4 in two PDZs. As the WFD assessment established fewer failures to contribute than positive contributions, the re-assessment of the WFD criterion for SF2a is minor positive.</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Support of water bodies achieving good status, based on Water Framework Directive Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threats to coastal communities, traditional activities and culture from inappropriate coastal management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material assets</td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change to existing shellfish water classifications?</td>
<td>No anticipated effects on shellfisheries and the effect is therefore neutral.</td>
<td>Shellfish water classification</td>
<td>Predicted effect on shellfish water classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Will SMP policy result in a loss of critical infrastructure required for the viability of coastal communities?</td>
<td>The policies provide for the protection of key coastal assets that have been previously defended and the effect is therefore minor positive.</td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>Critical infrastructure lost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in changes affecting the A149?</td>
<td>The A149 will be maintained in this section of the coast by this suite of policies and the effect is therefore minor positive.</td>
<td>Extent and frequency of flooding of A149</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment unit F2a – PDZ 2A, B, C, E, F, Gii, H, J, K, L and M</td>
<td>Feature identified in the SEA scoping report baseline</td>
<td>SEA indicator (blue shading is where there is a directly equivalent SMP indicator)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEA receptor (based on SI 1633)</td>
<td>SEA assessment criteria</td>
<td>Assessment</td>
<td>Abstraction</td>
<td>Number of abstraction points affected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will the SMP policy change the quality or security of abstraction for PWS or irrigation?</td>
<td>No licensed abstraction locations in any of the PDZs in this assessment area. The effect is therefore neutral.</td>
<td>Abstraction</td>
<td>Number of abstraction points affected</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Need to maintain a balance of providing navigation and access to channels behind barrier islands while recognising their value to local communities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material assets</th>
<th>Will the SMP policy change the ability to navigate within the existing channels and/or the operation of harbours?</th>
<th>The policies will have a negligible effect on the evolution of channels and the effect is considered neutral.</th>
<th>Navigation</th>
<th>Length of navigable channel and number of operating harbours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Protection of historic and archaeological features on a dynamic coastline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultural heritage, including architectural and archaeological heritage</th>
<th>Will the SMP policy result in changes to historic features identified through the RCZAS?</th>
<th>Potential erosion could result in the loss of 16 locally important historic assets. However, protection would be afforded to three conservation areas, eight nationally important, three regionally important and 38 locally important heritage sites. Policies prevent an increase in the rate of deterioration of two nationally important and seven locally important historic assets, but result in the potential increased rate of deterioration of one nationally important, four regionally important and 50 locally important historic assets. Overall, the effect is therefore major positive due to the number of nationally important historic assets protected compared to some regionally important losses and one possible nationally important loss.</th>
<th>Historic environment</th>
<th>Qualitative judgement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Threats from inappropriate coastal management on the coastal landscape and AONB, with regard to providing a mosaic of landscape features that is characteristic of the north Norfolk coast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape</th>
<th>Will the SMP policy result in changes in the quality of the coastal landscape?</th>
<th>The policies seek to maintain the sustainable location of historic coastal communities that are a key feature of the coastal landscape. The NAI policies also provide for the natural development of the coast. The combined effects are therefore considered minor positive.</th>
<th>Landscape</th>
<th>Extent and overall balance of features identified as fundamental in supporting the AONB designation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assessment unit F2b – PDZ 2D, Gi, Giii and I</td>
<td>Feature identified in the SEA scoping report baseline</td>
<td>SEA indicator (blue shading is where there is a directly equivalent SMP indicator)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEA receptor (based on SI 1633)</td>
<td>Assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEA assessment criteria</td>
<td>Threat to biodiversity on a dynamic coast and the interactions between various coastal habitat types</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance of coastal processes required to maintain the integrity of critical coastal habitat and species</td>
<td>Vulnerable freshwater / terrestrial sites</td>
<td>Area of habitat determined as being either sustainable or unsustainable in the face of rising sea levels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity, fauna, flora (including geomorphology)</td>
<td>Does SMP policy provide a sustainable approach to habitat management on the north Norfolk coast?</td>
<td>This suite of PDZs seeks to provide managed realignment to increase the tidal prism behind dune systems to provide stability to both the dunes and the actual channels. Policy for PDZ 2I, while not actually providing a MR relating to a creek system, does provide for the sustainable management of the dune system. It is considered that the approach of using MR policies as a tool in coastal and habitat management represents a sustainable approach -- using natural processes to maintain a diverse range of coastal habitat. The effect is therefore considered to be major positive.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change in how natural coastal processes operate?</td>
<td>The policies will provide a balance of allowing natural processes to drive areas of MR that would have evolved into intertidal areas without defence. The effect of MR (increased tidal prism) will allow a more natural evolution of the coastline where existing defences are believed to have reduced the tidal prism and may be leading to a weakening of tidal flow and a destabilisation of the fronting dunes. The overall effect is therefore major positive.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change in the condition of European sites?</td>
<td>The policies in this unit seek to provide a balance of hold the line and managed realignment to reduce the risks to key assets while allowing the coast to develop in a dynamic manner. Within this policy suite, however, HIL policies are expected to lead to the loss of intertidal habitat required for bird species in the North Norfolk SPA. The MR, however, will also lead to the loss of reedbed and offsite agricultural land that is essential for marsh harrier and bittern and geese species respectively. The loss of intertidal habitat has the potential to have an adverse effect on the Wash and North Norfolk Coast SAC. Overall, the effect of policies in this unit would be adverse on the integrity of international sites and the effect is considered major negative.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change to SSSI condition?</td>
<td>The MR policies in this super-frontage will see a shift from terrestrial (grazing marsh) SSSI habitat to intertidal habitat. This is considered to work with natural processes and foster natural change. The effect is therefore considered to be neutral.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a net change in priority BAP habitat area?</td>
<td>The policies provide MR over either freshwater habitat or usually agricultural land. Although freshwater BAP habitat is being lost by these realignments, the overall area of BAP habitat is increasing due to realignment over undesignated habitat/agricultural land. The total effect is considered to lead to an overall net increase in (saline) BAP habitat and is therefore considered minor positive.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Assessment unit F2b – PDZ 2D, Gi, Giii and I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEA receptor (based on SI 1633)</th>
<th>SEA assessment criteria</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Feature identified in the SEA scoping report baseline</th>
<th>SEA indicator (blue shading is where there is a directly equivalent SMP indicator)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance of environmental conditions to support biodiversity and the quality of life</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population, human health</td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change in flood risk to coastal communities?</td>
<td>MR policies adjacent to existing communities will lead to the high water mark being nearer to properties than it is at present. The nature and wording of the policies will, however, ensure that the actual level of risk is not increased. The policies are intended to stabilise the fronting dunes (Scolt Head etc) and this habitat actively provides a significant defence for communities such as Brancaster. The increased stability of the natural defences is significant and the overall effect is considered to be minor positive.</td>
<td>Coastal communities</td>
<td>Number of properties in the tidal flood zone compared to the current number</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Protection of coastal towns and settlements and the maintenance of features that support tourism and local commerce | | | | |
| Material assets | Will the SMP policy result in a change to identified key tourism or recreation activities and locations? | Increasing the tidal prism in existing channels will maintain tourism activities (such as fishing, seal watching, sailing etc) that rely on navigable access to the sea. Also, this approach is intended to bring stability to systems at Brancaster bay and Holkham (two major tourist destinations). This suite of policies is therefore actively seeking to assist in offering a long-term sustainable future for tourism in this area. | Tourism and recreation features | Number of places where tourism or recreation activities will be affected |
| | Will the SMP policy result in a change to identified key economic activities and locations? | As stated above, the policies will maintain assets relating to tourism along tidal creeks. This will also support commercial activities such as fishing etc. Also, as outlined above, the stability of the dune systems in this area provides defence for coastal communities. The overall effect of policies is therefore considered to be major positive. | | |
| Soil | Will the SMP policy result in a change in the quality of agricultural soils? | MR policies in this suite (apart from 2I) result in a loss of agricultural land to intertidal. This loss of grade 3 or 4 agricultural land would reduce the area of agricultural land in this frontage and the effect is therefore considered minor negative. | Soil | Effect on area and grade of agricultural land |
| Water | Will the SMP policy result in changes to features covered by local WFD objectives? | The preferred policies for this super-frontage have the potential to impact on three surface water bodies (Burn Mow Overy and Norton, North Norfolk and Stiffkey/Glaven) and one groundwater body (North Norfolk Chalk). The WFD assessment for this super-frontage identifies the potential for failure of four out of a total of 12 individual assessments of the WFD objectives. This comprises failure of WFD2 in one PDZ and WFD4 in three PDZs. The reassessment of the WFD criterion for SF2b is minor positive. | Water | Support of water bodies achieving good status, based on Water Framework Directive Assessment |
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### Assessment unit F2b – PDZ 2D, Gi, Giii and I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEA receptor (based on SI 1633)</th>
<th>SEA assessment criteria</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Feature identified in the SEA scoping report baseline</th>
<th>SEA indicator (blue shading is where there is a directly equivalent SMP indicator)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Threats to coastal communities, traditional activities and culture from inappropriate coastal management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material assets</td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change to existing shellfish water classifications?</td>
<td>No effect on shellfisheries is anticipated as a result of this suite of policies and the effect is therefore neutral.</td>
<td>Shellfish classification</td>
<td>Predicted effect on shellfish water classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Will SMP policy result in a loss of critical infrastructure needed for the viability of coastal communities?</td>
<td>The MR policies have been designed and located so as not to lead to any loss of critical coastal infrastructure. Indeed, the policies support navigation of coastal channels which requires a range of harbourside infrastructure, moorings, port facilities etc. The effect is therefore major positive.</td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>Critical infrastructure lost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in changes affecting the A149?</td>
<td>The A149 is not threatened by any of the MR policies in this area and the effect is therefore neutral.</td>
<td>Extent and frequency of flooding of A149</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Will the SMP policy change the quality or security of abstraction for PWS or irrigation?</td>
<td>The licensed abstraction points in PDZs 2D, 2Gi and 2Giii are to support the current agricultural use of the land. In light of the planned realignments, the land use would change and these abstraction points would therefore no longer be needed. The licensed abstraction point at Holkham will not be affected and can continue to be used as it is now. The overall effect is therefore neutral.</td>
<td>Abstraction</td>
<td>Number of abstraction points affected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Need to maintain a balance of providing navigation and access to channels behind barrier islands while recognising their value to local communities

| Material assets | Will the SMP policy change the ability to navigate within the existing channels and/or the operation of harbours? | As stated above in detail, the MR policies have a main driver of maintaining the access and navigation of the coastal channels. The effect is therefore major positive. | Navigation | Length of navigable channel and number of operable harbours |

### Protection of historic and archaeological features on a dynamic coastline

<p>| Cultural heritage, including architectural and archaeological heritage | Will the SMP policy result in changes to historic features identified through the RCZAS? | Potential erosion could result in the loss of five regionally important and 26 locally important historic assets. However, protection would be afforded to one conservation area and three locally important heritage sites. Policies prevent an increase in the rate of deterioration of two locally important historic assets, but result in the potential increased rate of deterioration of four regionally important historic assets. Overall effect is therefore minor positive due to the number of nationally important historic assets protected compared to some regionally important losses. | Historic environment | Qualitative judgement |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment unit F2b – PDZ 2D, Gi, Giii and I</th>
<th>SEA receptor (based on SI 1633)</th>
<th>SEA assessment criteria</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Feature identified in the SEA scoping report baseline</th>
<th>SEA indicator (blue shading is where there is a directly equivalent SMP indicator)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Threats from inappropriate coastal management on the coastal landscape and AONB, with regard to providing a mosaic of landscape features that is characteristic of the north Norfolk coast</td>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in changes in the quality of the coastal landscape?</td>
<td>The policies will maintain the key structural elements of this coast (sand bars such as Scolt Head, sandy beaches such as Holkham and a network of tidal channels with associated settlements). There will be some transitional loss of foreshore habitat, but this is considered to offer a dynamic coastal landscape and is not considered sufficient to offset the benefits of maintaining large-scale coastal structures. The effect is therefore considered minor positive.</td>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>Extent and overall balance of features identified as fundamental in supporting the AONB designation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SEA receptor (based on SI 1633)

### SEA assessment criteria

### Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature identified in the SEA scoping report baseline</th>
<th>SEA indicator (blue shading is where there is a directly equivalent SMP indicator)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Threat to biodiversity on a dynamic coast and the interactions between various coastal habitat types</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance of coastal processes required to maintain the integrity of critical coastal habitat and species</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity, fauna, flora (including geomorphology)</td>
<td>Does SMP policy provide a sustainable approach to habitat management on the north Norfolk coast?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The policies in these PDZs are either NAI (at 3B) or HtL at 3Ai, Aii, Aiv and D adjacent to outfalls or defended communities (Blakeney). The MR policy at 3D is intended to monitor and realign the frontage only if needed to protect communities at Cley-next-the-Sea and Salthouse. Overall, these policies seek to allow the coast to develop naturally, while maintaining areas important for coastal communities. The overall effect on habitats is to allow the open coast (which is sustainable and beneficial to habitat) to develop, but holding areas that may lead to squeeze of habitat. The overall effect is therefore considered neutral.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vulnerable freshwater / terrestrial sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area of habitat determined as being either sustainable or unsustainable in the face of rising sea levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change in how natural coastal processes operate?</td>
<td>The overall effect of this suite of policies provides for management at previously-defended frontages and does not increase levels of defence. The effect is therefore considered neutral.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Geomorphology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proportion of hard elements relative to the total defences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Impact on neighbouring sections (judgement)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change in the condition of European sites?</td>
<td>The HtL policies may lead to the loss of intertidal designated habitat (which would be considered an adverse effect). However, policies of NAI and MR lead towards the more natural evolution of the shingle ridge at Cley and have the potential to lead to an increase in habitat area, which may partially offset this. The overall effect is therefore considered neutral.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>European sites and SSSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Condition of designated features based on Habitats Regulations Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change to SSSI condition?</td>
<td>As above, the anticipated effect is considered neutral.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a net change in priority BAP habitat area?</td>
<td>The policies of HtL may lead to loss through squeeze (as stated above). However, the policies of NAI and MR may lead to more habitat. Non-BAP (agricultural) or freshwater habitats behind defences become saline habitats as defences are breached, as well as saltmarsh becoming mudflat and mudflat becoming sub-littoral as sea level rises. The overall effect will depend on how the coast responds over the course of the plan, but an overall net increase in BAP habitat is anticipated. The overall effect is therefore considered neutral.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Predicted condition assessment of SSSI units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area of priority BAP habitats for each epoch and scenario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population, human health</td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change in flood risk to coastal communities?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>There is considered to be no increase in flood risk as a result of this suite of policies. The overall effect is therefore considered to be neutral.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coastal communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of properties in the tidal flood zone compared to the current number</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

147
### Assessment unit F3a – PDZ 3Ai, 3Aii, Aiv, B, C and D

#### SEA indicator
- **SEA receptor (based on SI 1633)**
- **SEA assessment criteria**
- **Assessment**
- **Feature identified in the SEA scoping report baseline**
- **SEA indicator (blue shading is where there is a directly equivalent SMP indicator)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Protection of coastal towns and settlements and the maintenance of features that support tourism and local commerce</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Material assets</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Soil</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Threats to coastal communities, traditional activities and culture from inappropriate coastal management

| **Material assets** | Will the SMP policy result in a change to existing shellfish water classifications? | Blakeney is a designated shellfish water. However, as the WFD assessment for this SMP determined, there will be no adverse effect on this fishery. The overall effect is therefore minor positive. | Shellfish classification | Predicted effect on shellfish water classification |
| | Will SMP policy result in a loss of critical infrastructure needed for the viability of coastal communities? | No loss of infrastructure is anticipated and the effect is therefore neutral. | Infrastructure | Critical infrastructure lost |
| | Will the SMP policy result in changes affecting the A149? | No increased threat to the A149 and the effect is therefore neutral. |  | Extent and frequency of flooding to A149 |
### Assessment unit F3a – PDZ 3Ai, 3Aii, Aiv, B, C and D

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEA receptor (based on SI 1633)</th>
<th>SEA assessment criteria</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Feature identified in the SEA scoping report baseline</th>
<th>SEA indicator (blue shading is where there is a directly equivalent SMP indicator)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Will the SMP policy change the quality or security of abstraction for PWS or irrigation?</td>
<td>No licensed abstraction locations in any of the PDZs in this assessment area. The effect is therefore neutral.</td>
<td>Abstraction</td>
<td>Number of abstraction points affected</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Need to maintain a balance of providing navigation and access to channels behind barrier islands whilst recognising their value to local communities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material assets</th>
<th>Will the SMP policy change the ability to navigate within the existing channels and/or the operation of harbours?</th>
<th>The policies in this suite will not in themselves have any effect on channels and the effect is therefore neutral.</th>
<th>Length of navigable channel and number of operating harbours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Protection of historic and archaeological features on a dynamic coastline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultural heritage, including architectural and archaeological heritage</th>
<th>Will the SMP policy result in changes to historic features identified through the RCZAS?</th>
<th>Protection would be afforded to two conservation areas, two nationally important, two regionally important and eight locally important historic assets. Policies result in the potential increased rate of deterioration of 23 locally important historic assets. Overall effect is therefore major positive due to the number of nationally important historic assets protected compared to some locally important losses.</th>
<th>Historic environment</th>
<th>Qualitative judgement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Threats from inappropriate coastal management on the coastal landscape and AONB, with regard to providing a mosaic of landscape features that is characteristic of the north Norfolk coast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape</th>
<th>Will the SMP policy result in changes in the quality of the coastal landscape?</th>
<th>This suite of policies will provide a mixture of holding key elements of the coast that have historically been defended and allowing the provision of a natural coast through NAI or MR. The effect is therefore minor positive.</th>
<th>Landscape</th>
<th>Extent and overall balance of features identified as fundamental in supporting the AONB designation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
**Assessment unit F3b – PDZ 3Aiii and Av.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEA receptor (based on SI 1633)</th>
<th>SEA assessment criteria</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Feature identified in the SEA scoping report baseline</th>
<th>SEA indicator (blue shading is where there is a directly equivalent SMP indicator)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Threat to biodiversity on a dynamic coast and the interactions between various coastal habitat types</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maintenance of coastal processes needed to maintain the integrity of critical coastal habitat and species</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biodiversity, fauna, flora (including geomorphology)</strong></td>
<td>Does SMP policy provide a sustainable approach to managing habitat on the north Norfolk coast?</td>
<td><strong>PDZ3Aiii</strong> Despite the proposed loss of Blakeney Freshes as a result of realignment in epoch 2 (and the freshwater habitats it supports), the conversion of this freshwater habitat to intertidal will mean that a lower level of management is needed in the future. This will mean that managing this area will be more sustainable than it is now. However, this realignment depends on monitoring and study during epoch 1.</td>
<td>Vulnerable freshwater / terrestrial sites</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>PDZ3Av</strong> The loss of Cley marshes as a result of realignment in epoch 3 depends on a programme of monitoring and study during epochs 1 and 2. However, should the realignment proceed, it would offer a more sustainable approach to managing the habitat than the current regime.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Overall, SMP policies across these three PDZs (if all realignments go ahead) would be assessed as major positive.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Will the SMP policy result in a change in the operation of natural coastal processes?</strong></td>
<td>The two proposed realignments should increase the tidal prism in the area behind Blakeney Spit, so ensuring that the harbour channels are maintained. As a result, should these realignments proceed, SMP policies will result in a change in how natural coastal processes operate. There will also be fewer hard defences in these three PDZs. The effect is therefore minor positive.</td>
<td>Geomorphology</td>
<td>Proportion of hard elements relative to the total defences</td>
<td>Effect on neighbouring sections (judgement)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Will the SMP policy result in a change in the condition of European sites?</strong></td>
<td>The overall approaches to coastal defence and habitat management across this unit provide many benefits to features of international sites (the development of shingle banks etc). However, against the wider attempts to provide appropriate management across the range of international sites in this area, the loss of reedbed has the potential to lead to the loss of bittern (a feature of the North Norfolk Coast SPA) and farmland used for foraging of geese species (a feature of the North Norfolk Coast Ramsar site). Despite the benefits to the management of SAC features, the proposed policies would have an adverse effect on bittern and geese species and the effect is therefore major negative.</td>
<td>European sites and SSSI</td>
<td>Condition of designated features based on Habitats Regulations Assessment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Will the SMP policy result in a change to SSSI condition?</strong></td>
<td>The proposed realignments in PDZs 3Aiii and 3Av would lead to a shift in habitat type from mainly freshwater (grazing marsh, reedbed and eutrophic standing water) to coastal habitat (saltmarsh, mudflat and sublittoral sediment). This shift would lead to the SSSI units being assessed as being in failing condition until re-notification occurs. However, these realignments</td>
<td>Predicted condition assessment of SSSI units</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Assessment unit F3b – PDZ 3AIII and Av.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEA receptor (based on SI 1633)</th>
<th>SEA assessment criteria</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Feature identified in the SEA scoping report baseline</th>
<th>SEA indicator (blue shading is where there is a directly equivalent SMP indicator)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>will prevent the squeeze of coastal habitats against hard defences, which itself would lead to an adverse condition being recorded in the SSSI units as sea levels rise. The SMP policies in these PDZs are therefore assessed as minor positive.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SEA indicator:** Will the SMP policy result in a net change in priority BAP habitat extent?

*Overall, most of the proposed realignments will involve converting from mainly freshwater UKBAP habitats (grazing marsh, reedbed and eutrophic standing water) to coastal UKBAP habitat (saltmarsh, mudflat and sub-littoral sediment). There will therefore be no net loss or gain of UKBAP habitat, but a conversion from one habitat type to another. Overall therefore, SMP policies are assessed as having a neutral effect.*

**Area of priority BAP habitats for each epoch and scenario**

### Maintenance of environmental conditions to support biodiversity and the quality of life

| Population, human health | Will the SMP policy result in a change in flood risk to coastal communities? | No more properties will be in the tidal flood zone as a result of SMP policies. Flood risk to coastal communities will not change. The effect of SMP policies is therefore neutral. | Coastal communities | Number of properties in the tidal flood zone compared to the current number |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

### Protection of coastal towns and settlements and the maintenance of features that support tourism and local commerce

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material assets</th>
<th>Will the SMP policy result in a change to identified key tourism or recreation activities and locations?</th>
<th>The policies will support activities that depend on the stability of the channel and spit (fishing, bird watching, sailing etc). The realignments are central to this, as are the policies to defend existing tourism locations such as Cley and Blakeney. The effect of these policies is therefore considered major positive.</th>
<th>Tourism and recreation features</th>
<th>Number of places where tourism or recreation activities will be affected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in a change to identified key economic activities and locations?</td>
<td>The key economic activities of this area relate to tourism and the factors outlined above therefore apply. The effect is major positive.</td>
<td>Number of places where economic activities will be affected</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of places where economic activities will be affected</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Soil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil</th>
<th>Will the SMP policy result in a change in the quality of agricultural soils?</th>
<th>This loss of grade 4 agricultural land would reduce the area of agricultural land in this frontage. The effect is therefore considered minor negative.</th>
<th>Soil</th>
<th>Effect on area and grade of agricultural land</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Will the SMP policy result in changes to features covered by local WFD objectives?</th>
<th>The preferred policies for this super-frontage have the potential to impact on three surface water bodies (North Norfolk, Blakeney Spit Lagoon and Stiffkey/Graven) and one groundwater body (North Norfolk Chalk). The WFD assessment for this super-frontage identifies the potential for failure of three out of a total of six individual assessments of the WFD objectives. This comprises failure of WFD3 in one and WFD4 in two PDZs. The preferred policies for this super-frontage comprise two significant realignments that are more likely to impact on the WFD4 objective than the NAI and natural dune.</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Support of water bodies achieving good status, based on Water Framework Directive Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
**Assessment unit F3b – PDZ 3Aiii and Av.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEA receptor (based on SI 1633)</th>
<th>SEA assessment criteria</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Feature identified in the SEA scoping report baseline</th>
<th>SEA indicator (blue shading is where there is a directly equivalent SMP indicator)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>development realignments in other PDZs.</td>
<td>As the WFD assessment established the same number of failures as not, and since the policies relate to significant active managed realignments, the reassessment of the WFD criterion for SF3a is minor negative.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Threats to coastal communities, traditional activities and culture from inappropriate coastal management**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material assets</th>
<th>Will the SMP policy result in a change to existing shellfish water classifications?</th>
<th>Blakeney is a designated shellfish water. However, as the WFD assessment for this SMP determined, there will be no effect on this fishery. The overall effect is therefore neutral.</th>
<th>Shellfish classification</th>
<th>Predicted effect on shellfish classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Will SMP policy result in a loss of critical infrastructure needed for the viability of coastal communities?</td>
<td>The policies in this area actively seek to maintain the access and navigation along the channels behind Blakeney Spit. The policies therefore have a major positive effect.</td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>Critical infrastructure lost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Will the SMP policy result in changes affecting the A149?</td>
<td>The A149 would not be at any increased risk and the effect is therefore neutral.</td>
<td>Extent and frequency of flooding of the A149</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Will the SMP policy change the quality or security of abstraction for PWS or irrigation?</td>
<td>The licensed abstraction point in PDZ 3D is to support the current agricultural use of the land. In light of the planned realignment, the land use would change and this abstraction point would therefore no longer be needed. The overall effect is therefore considered neutral.</td>
<td>Abstraction</td>
<td>Number of abstraction points affected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Need to maintain a balance of providing navigation and access to channels behind barrier islands while recognising their value to local communities**

| Material assets | Will the SMP policy change the ability to navigate within the existing channels and/or the operation of harbours? | As stated above, the managed realignment policies here are intended to increase the tidal prism and so strengthen these channels. The effect is therefore major positive. | Navigation | Length of navigable channel and number of operating harbours |

**Protection of historic and archaeological features on a dynamic coastline**

<p>| Cultural heritage, including architectural and archaeological heritage | Will the SMP policy result in changes to historic features identified through the RCZAS? | Potential erosion could result in the loss of part of Blakeney and Cley conservation areas, two nationally important, four regionally important and 12 locally important historic assets. Policies prevent an increase in the rate of deterioration of one regionally important historic asset. Overall effect is therefore minor negative due to the number of nationally important historic assets lost through these policies. However, it is understood that these nationally important historic assets have already been excavated and | Historic environment | Qualitative judgement |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEA receptor (based on SI 1633)</th>
<th>SEA assessment criteria</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Feature identified in the SEA scoping report baseline</th>
<th>SEA indicator (blue shading is where there is a directly equivalent SMP indicator)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Threats from inappropriate coastal management on the coastal landscape and AONB, with regard to providing a mosaic of landscape features that is characteristic of the north Norfolk coast</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Landscape | Will the SMP policy result in changes in the quality of the coastal landscape? | The policies will maintain the channels that are a key historical and social feature in the landscape. The managed realignments will lead to a change in the appearance of the coastal landscape to reflect a more dynamic system. Overall the combined effect is considered minor positive. | Landscape | Extent and overall balance of features identified as fundamental in supporting the AONB designation |